A. Stavrova

CHEVALLEY GROUPS OVER LAURENT POLYNOMIAL RINGS

Abstract. Let G be a simply connected Chevalley–Demazure group scheme without SL_2 -factors. For any unital commutative ring R, we denote by E(R) the standard elementary subgroup of G(R), that is, the subgroup generated by the elementary root unipotent elements. Set $K_1^G(R) = G(R)/E(R)$. We prove that the natural map

$$K_1^G(R[x_1^{\pm 1},\ldots,x_n^{\pm 1}]) \to K_1^G(R((x_1))\ldots((x_n)))$$

is injective for any $n \ge 1$, if R is either a Dedekind domain or a Noetherian ring that is geometrically regular over a Dedekind domain with perfect residue fields. For n=1 this map is also an isomorphism. As a consequence, we show that if D is a PID such that $SL_2(D) = E_2(D)$ (e. g. $D = \mathbb{Z}$), then

$$G(D[x_1^{\pm 1},\dots,x_n^{\pm 1}]) = E(D[x_1^{\pm 1},\dots,x_n^{\pm 1}]).$$

This extends earlier results for special linear and symplectic groups due to A. A. Suslin and V. I. Kopeiko.

§1. Introduction

For any commutative (unital) ring R, let $E_N(R)$ denote the elementary subgroup of $SL_N(R)$, i.e., the subgroup generated by elementary matrices $I+te_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq N, i \neq j, t \in R$. A. Suslin [20, Corollary 7.10] established that for any regular ring R such that $SK_1(R) = 1$, one has

$$\mathrm{SL}_N(R[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}, y_1, \dots, y_m]) = E_N(R[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}, y_1, \dots, y_m])$$

for any $N\geqslant \max(3,\dim(R)+2)$ and any $n,m\geqslant 0$. The corresponding statement for N=2 is well known to be wrong: for any field k one has

$$\mathrm{SL}_2(k[x_1^{\pm 1},\ldots,x_n^{\pm 1},y_1,\ldots,y_m]) \neq E_2(R[x_1^{\pm 1},\ldots,x_n^{\pm 1},y_1,\ldots,y_m]),$$

as soon as $m \ge 2$ or m=1 and $n \ge 1$, see e.g. [3]; to the best of our knowledge, it is not known whether $\mathrm{SL}_2(k[x_1^{\pm 1},x_2^{\pm 1}])=E_2(k[x_1^{\pm 1},x_2^{\pm 1}])$. Let D be a principal ideal domain (PID for short). Following [9], we say

that D is a special PID, if $SL_n(D) = E_n(D)$ for all $n \ge 2$. (In fact, it is

Key words and phrases: Chevalley group, elementary subgroup, Chevalley-Demazure group scheme, non-stable K_1 -functor, Laurent polynomials, special PID.

enough to require that $SL_2(D) = E_2(D)$, see Lemma 2.8 below.) Clearly, a special PID D satisfies $SK_1(D) = 1$. Examples of special PIDs are Euclidean domains and localizations of 2-dimensional regular local rings at a regular parameter, including the rings A(x) for a discrete valuation ring A [9, Corollaries 6.2 and 6.3]. Any localization of a special PID is also a special PID [9, Corollary 6.4]. The following lemma of V. I. Kopeiko provides one more class of examples.

Lemma 1.1 ([8, Lemma 4]). If D is a special PID, then D((x)) is a special PID.

The above-mentioned theorem of A. Suslin implies that for any special PID D one has

$$\operatorname{SL}_N(D[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}, y_1, \dots, y_m]) = E_N(D[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}, y_1, \dots, y_m])$$

for any $N \geqslant 3$ and any $n, m \geqslant 0$. The main result of [8] is that for any special PID D one has

$$\operatorname{Sp}_{2N}(D[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}, y_1, \dots, y_m]) = Ep_{2N}(D[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}, y_1, \dots, y_m])$$

for any $N \geqslant 2$ and any $n, m \geqslant 0$. Our aim is to extend these two results to all simply connected semisimple Chevalley–Demazure group schemes of isotropic rank $\geqslant 2$.

By a Chevalley–Demazure group scheme we mean a split reductive group scheme in the sense of [4]. These group schemes are defined over \mathbb{Z} . Their groups of points are usually called just Chevalley groups. We say that a Chevalley-Demazure group scheme G has isotropic rank $\geq n$ if and only if every irreducible component of its root system has rank $\geq n$. For any commutative ring R with 1 and any fixed choice of a pinning, or épinglage of G in the sense of [4], we denote by E the elementary subgroup functor of G. That is, E(R) is the subgroup of G(R) generated by elementary root unipotent elements $x_{\alpha}(r)$, $\alpha \in \Phi$, $r \in R$, in the notation of [2, 10], where Φ is the root system of G. If G has isotropic rank ≥ 2 , then E(R) is independent of the choice of the pinning and normal in G(R) [23, 11]. If a simply connected Chevalley-Demazure group scheme G has isotropic rank 1, it means that G is a direct product of several simply connected Chevalley– Demazure group schemes including at least one factor isomorphic to SL_2 . If this is the case, then we always assume that such an isomorphism is fixed throughout every specific argument, and the corresponding direct factor of E(R) is a fixed standard elementary subgroup $E_2(R)$ of $SL_2(R)$; this is to take care of the fact that $E_2(R)$ is not, in general, a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(R)$. We also denote

$$K_1^G(R) = G(R)/E(R);$$

this is a group if the isotropic rank of G is ≥ 2 , and a pointed set otherwise. Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. Let D be a special PID. Let G be a simply connected Chevalley–Demazure group scheme of isotropic rank ≥ 2 . Then

$$K_1^G(D[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}, y_1, \dots, y_m]) = K_1^G(D) = 1$$

for any $m, n \ge 0$.

If n=0, this result is a special case of our earlier results [16, Theorems 1.1 and 1.5] (see also Theorem 2.6 below). If $n\geqslant 1$, and if D is semilocal and contains a field, or if D is itself a field, the claim of Theorem 1.2 is already known by [17, Corollary 3.3]. In fact, it was even proved there for arbitrary simply connected semisimple reductive group schemes G of isotropic rank $\geqslant 2$ and arbitrary equicharacteristic semilocal regular rings D. However, if $n\geqslant 1$, there is no suitable local-global principle that would allow to deduce any result for non-semilocal rings from the semilocal case. Instead, in order to prove Theorem 1.2 we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.3. Let A be a Dedekind ring, or a Noetherian ring which is geometrically regular over a Dedekind ring D with perfect residue fields. Let G be a simply connected Chevalley–Demazure group scheme of isotropic rank ≥ 2 . Then the natural map

$$K_1^G(A[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]) \to K_1^G(A((x_1)) \dots ((x_n)))$$

is injective for any $n \ge 1$. If n = 1, this map is an isomorphism.

Recall that a pair (A, I), where A is a commutative ring and I is an ideal of A, is called a *Henselian pair* if I is contained in the Jacobson radical of A and for any monic polynomial $f \in A[x]$ and any factorization $\bar{f} = g_0 h_0$, where \bar{f} is the image of f in A/I[x] and g_0, h_0 are two monic polynomials in A/I[x] generating the unit ideal, there exists a factorization f = gh in A[x] with g, h monic and $\bar{g} = g_0, \bar{h} = h_0$.

Proposition 2.1. Let G be a simply connected Chevalley–Demazure group scheme. Let A be a commutative ring and let I be an ideal of A.

- (1) If I is contained in the Jacobson radical of A, then the natural map $K_1^G(A) \to K_1^G(A/I)$ is injective.
- (2) If (A, I) is a Henselian pair, then $K_1^G(A) \cong K_1^G(A/I)$.

Proof. (1) Since $G(A) \to G(A/I)$ is a group homomorphism and $E(A) \to E(A/I)$ is surjective, it is enough to show that any element $g \in G(A)$ belongs to E(A), once it is mapped to 1 under $G(A) \to G(A/I)$. Let B, B^- be a pair of standard opposite Borel subgroups of G, let U_B, U_{B^-} be their unipotent radicals, and let $T = B \cap B^-$ be their common maximal torus. The group scheme G contains an open \mathbb{Z} -subscheme $\Omega_B = U_B \cdot T \cdot U_{B^-}$, isomorphic to the direct product of schemes $U_B \times_{\mathbb{Z}} T \times_{\mathbb{Z}} U_{B^-}$, and this subscheme Ω_B is a principal open subscheme [2]. That is, there an element $G \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ such that $G \in G(A) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[G], A)$ belongs to $G(A) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[G], A)$ if and only if $G(A) \in A^{\times}$. Since $G(A) \in G(A)$ is mapped to $G(A) \in G(A)$ is mapped to $G(A) \in G(A)$. Then, if $G(A) \in G(A)$ is mapped to $G(A) \in G(A)$ is contained in the Jacobson radical of $G(A) \in G(A)$ is mapped to $G(A) \in G(A)$. Since $G(A) \in G(A)$ is simply connected, we have $G(A) \in G(A)$. Hence $G(A) \in G(A)$. Since $G(A) \in G(A)$ is simply connected, we have $G(A) \in G(A)$. Hence $G(A) \in G(A)$.

(2) By (1) the map $K_1^G(A) \to K_1^G(A/I)$ is injective. Since G is affine and smooth, the map $G(A) \to G(A/I)$ is surjective [6, Th. I.8]. It follows that $K_1^G(A) \to K_1^G(A/I)$ is surjective.

Remark 2.2. An analog of Proposition 2.1 for isotropic reductive groups G was established in [5, §7] under the additional assumption that G is defined over a semilocal ring C such that A is a C-algebra. The proof for non-split groups is much more complicated.

The following corollary generalizes [7, Lemma 1 and Remark on p. 1112] for SL_n , $n \ge 2$.

Corollary 2.3. Let G be a simply connected Chevalley–Demazure group scheme. Then $K_1^G(A) = K_1^G(A[[x]])$ for any commutative ring A.

Proof. The claim follows from Proposition 2.1 since (A[[x]], xA[[x]]) is a Henselian pair.

Theorem 2.4. Let G be a simply connected Chevalley–Demazure group scheme. Let A be a commutative ring. Then

$$G(A((x))) = G(A[x^{\pm 1}])E(A[[x]]).$$

In particular, $K_1^G(A[x^{\pm 1}]) \to K_1^G(A((x)))$ is surjective.

Proof. By [17, Corollary 4.4] we have $G(A((x))) = G(A[x^{\pm 1}])G(A[[x]])$. By Proposition 2.1 we have G(A[[x]]) = G(A)E(A[[x]]). The claim follows.

Lemma 2.5. Let G be a simply connected Chevalley–Demazure group scheme of isotropic rank $\geqslant 2$. Let A be a commutative ring such that $K_1^G(A) = K_1^G(A[x])$. Then $K_1^G(A[x^{\pm 1}]) = K_1^G(A(x))$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.4 the map $K_1^G(A[x^{\pm 1}]) \to K_1^G(A((x)))$ is surjective. By [15, Corollary 3.4] this map is injective.

Let $\phi: R \to A$ be a homomorphism of commutative rings. Following [22] we will say that ϕ is geometrically regular, if ϕ is flat and for every prime ideal p of R, and every prime ideal q of A lying over p, $A_q/pA_q = k(p) \otimes_A A_q$ is a geometrically regular k(p)-algebra, i. e. if for any purely inseparable finite field extension k'/k(p) the ring $k' \otimes_{k(p)} A_q/pA_q = k' \otimes_A A_q$ is regular in the usual sense. We will just say that A is a geometrically regular R-algebra, if the structure homomorphism $\phi: R \to A$ is clear from context.

The following theorem is a slight extension of the main result of [16].

Theorem 2.6 ([16, Theorems 1.1, 1.5]). Assume that either A is a Dedekind ring, or A is a Noetherian ring geometrically regular over a Dedekind ring with perfect residue fields. Let G be a simply connected Chevalley–Demazure group scheme of isotropic rank ≥ 2 . Then

$$K_1^G(A) = K_1^G(A[x_1, \dots, x_n])$$
 for any $n \ge 1$.

Proof. If A is a Dedekind ring, the claim is contained in [16, Theorem 1.1]. Assume that A is geometrically regular over a Dedekind ring D with perfect residue fields. Since $A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ is also geometrically regular over D for any $n \geq 1$, it is enough to show that $K_1^G(A) = K_1^G(A[x])$. By the generalized Quillen-Suslin local-global principle (see [20, Theorem 3.1], [21, Corollary 4.4], [11, Lemma 17], [19, Theorem 5.4]) in order to show that $K_1^G(A) = K_1^G(A[x])$, it is enough to show that $K_1^G(A_m) = K_1^G(A_m[x])$ for every maximal ideal m of A. By the very definition of a geometrically regular ring homomorphism given above, every maximal localization A_m is geometrically regular over the corresponding prime localization D_p of D. By Popescu's theorem [13] (see [22, Theorem 1.1]), it follows that A_m is a filtered direct limit of smooth D_p -algebras. Since K_1^G commutes with filtered direct limits, we can assume that A_m is actually a localization of a smooth D_p -algebra, and thus essentially smooth over D_p . Then $K_1^G(A_m[x]) = K_1^G(A_m)$ by [16, Theorem 1.5].

Lemma 2.7. Let D be a Dedekind ring with perfect residue fields, and let A be a Noetherian ring which is geometrically regular over D. Then A[[x]] and A((x)) are Noetherian rings geometrically regular over D.

Proof. Since A is Noetherian, the ring A[[x]] is flat over A, and hence its localization A((x)) is also flat over A. Then both these rings are flat over D. Since A is Noetherian and regular, both these rings are also Noetherian and regular. Set B = A[[x]] for brevity, and denote by $\phi: D \to A$ the structure morphism of A over D. It remains to check that for every prime ideal p of D, and every prime ideal q of B lying over p, $B_q/\phi(p)B_q =$ $k(p) \otimes_B B_q$ is a geometrically regular k(p)-algebra. Since k(p) is perfect by assumption, it is enough to know that $B_q/\phi(p)B_q$ is a regular local ring. Now if $\phi^{-1}(q \cap A) = p = 0$, then B_q contains the field $D_p = k(p)$, and hence $k(p) \otimes_B B_q = B_q$, which is obviously regular. If $\phi^{-1}(q \cap A) = p = (\pi)$, where π is a prime element of D, then let n be a maximal ideal of A containing $\phi(\pi)$, and let m = n + xB be the corresponding maximal ideal of B. Since $k(p) \otimes_A A_n = A_n/\phi(p)A_n$ is regular, $\phi(\pi)$ is a regular element of A_n . Hence $\phi(\pi)$ is a regular element of $B_m = A_n[[x]]$, and hence $B_m/\phi(p)B_m$ is also regular. Then $B_q/\phi(p)B_q=(B_m/\phi(p)B_m)_{q/\phi(p)B_m}$ is also regular. This shows that A[[x]] is geometrically regular over D. Since A((x)) is a localization of A[[x]], it follows that A((x)) is also geometrically regular over D.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Theorem 2.6 we have

$$K_1^G(A[x_2,\ldots,x_n][x_1]) = K_1^G(A[x_2,\ldots,x_n]).$$
 (1)

Now if n = 1, the claim of the theorem follows from Lemma 2.5. We prove the rest of the claim by induction on n.

Since $A[x_2^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$ is a localization of $A[x_2, \ldots, x_n]$, by [14, Lemma 4.6] (or [1, Lemma 4.2]) the equality (1) implies that

$$K_1^G(A[x_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}][x_1]) = K_1^G(A[x_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]).$$

Hence by Lemma 2.5 the map

$$K_1^G(A[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]) \to K_1^G(A[x_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]((x_1)))$$
 (2)

is an isomorphism. Since the map (2) factors through the map

$$K_1^G(A[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]) \to K_1^G(A((x_1))[x_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]),$$

the latter map is also injective.

Now if A is a Dedekind ring, then $A[[x_1]]$ is a regular ring of dimension 2, and, since x_1 belongs to every maximal ideal of $A[[x_1]]$, we conclude that $A((x_1))$ is a regular ring of dimension 1, hence also Dedekind. If A is Noetherian and geometrically regular over D, by Lemma 2.7 the ring $A((x_1))$ is also Noetherian and geometrically regular over D. Summing up, the induction assumption applies to $A((x_1))$, and we are done.

For the proof of Theorem 1.2 we need the following lemma which follows from the stability theorems of M. R. Stein and E. B. Plotkin [18, 12].

Lemma 2.8. [16, Lemma 3.1] Let R be a Noetherian ring of Krull dimension ≤ 1 . If $SL_2(R) = E_2(R)$, then G(R) = E(R) for any simply connected Chevalley–Demazure group scheme G.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Theorem 2.6 we have

$$K_1^G(D[x_1,\ldots,x_n,y_1,\ldots,y_m]) = K_1^G(D[x_1,\ldots,x_n]).$$

Since $D[x_1^{\pm 1},\ldots,x_n^{\pm 1}]=D[x_1,\ldots,x_n]_{x_1\ldots x_n}$ is a localization of $D[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$, by [14, Lemma 4.6] (or [1, Lemma 4.2]) this implies that

$$K_1^G(D[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}][y_1, \dots, y_m]) = K_1^G(D[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]).$$

By Theorem 1.3 the map

$$K_1^G(D[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]) \to K_1^G(D((x_1)) \dots ((x_n)))$$

is injective. By Lemma 1.1 $A = D((x_1)) \dots ((x_n))$ is a special PID. By definition, it means that $SL_2(A) = E_2(A)$. Then by Lemma 2.8 we have $K_1^G(A) = 1$ for any simply connected Chevalley–Demazure group scheme of isotropic rank ≥ 2 . This finishes the proof.

References

- E. Abe, Whitehead groups of Chevalley groups over polynomial rings. Comm. Algebra 11 (1983), 1271–1307.
- 2. C. Chevalley, Sur certains groupes simples. Tohoku Math. J. 7 (1955), 14-66.
- 3. Huah Chu, On the GE_2 of graded rings. J. Algebra 90 (1984), 208–216.
- M. Demazure, A. Grothendieck, Schémas en groupes. —Lect. Notes Math. 151– 153, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.
- P. Gille, A. Stavrova, R-equivalence on group schemes and non-stable K¹-functors.
 http://arxiv.org/abs/2107.01950arXiv:2107.01950.
- L. Gruson, Une propriété des couples henséliens. Colloque d'Algèbre Commutative (Rennes, 1972), Exp. No. 10, 13 pp; Publ. Sém. Math. Univ. Rennes, 1972.
- 7. В. И. Копейко, О структуре специальной линейной группы над кольцами лорановских многочленов. Фундамент. и прикл. матем. 1, No. 4 (1995), 1111–1114

- 8. В. И. Копейко, Симплектические группы над кольцами лорановских многочленов и диаграммы склейки. Фундамент. и прикл. матем. 5, No. 3 (1999), 943–945.
- 9. T. Y. Lam, Serre's problem on projective modules, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- H. Matsumoto, Sur les sous-groupes arithmétiques des groupes semi-simples déployés. — Ann. Sci. de l'É.N.S. 4^e série, tome 2, n. 1 (1969), 1–62.
- V. Petrov, A. Stavrova, Elementary subgroups of isotropic reductive groups. St. Petersburg Math. J. 20 (2009), 625–644.
- E. B. Plotkin, Surjective stabilization of the K₁-functor for some exceptional Chevalley groups. — J. Soviet Math. 64, No. 1 (1993), 751–766.
- D. Popescu, Letter to the Editor: General Néron desingularization and approximation. Nagoya Math. J. 118 (1990), 45–53.
- A. Stavrova, Homotopy invariance of non-stable K₁-functors. J. K-Theory 13 (2014), 199–248.
- A. Stavrova, Non-stable K₁-functors of multiloop groups. Canad. J. Math. 68 (2016), 150–178.
- A. Stavrova, Chevalley groups of polynomial rings over Dedekind domains. J. Group Theory 23 (2020), 121–132.
- 17. A. Stavrova, A¹-invariance of non-stable K₁-functors in the equicharacteristic case. Indag. Math. 33, No. 2 (2022), 322–333.
- M. R. Stein, Stability theorems for K₁, K₂ and related functors modeled on Chevalley groups. Japan. J. Math. (N.S.) 4, No. 1 (1978), 77–108.
- A. Stepanov, Elementary calculus in Chevalley groups over rings. J. Prime Res. Math. 9 (2013), 79–95.
- A. A. Suslin, On the structure of the special linear group over polynomial rings.
 — Math. USSR Izv. 11 (1977), 221–238.
- A. A. Suslin, V. I. Kopeiko, Quadratic modules and the orthogonal group over polynomial rings. — J. Soviet Math. 20 (1982), 2665–2691.
- R. G. Swan, Néron-Popescu desingularization, in: Algebra and Geometry (Taipei, 1995), Lect. Alg. Geom. 2 (1998), 135–198. Int. Press, Cambridge, MA.
- G. Taddei, Normalité des groupes élémentaires dans les groupes de Chevalley sur un anneau. — Contemp. Math 55 (1986), 693–710.

St. Petersburg Department of Steklov Mathematical Institute, Fontanka 27, 191023 St. Petersburg, Russia

 $E ext{-}mail:$ anastasia.stavrova@gmail.com

Поступило 25 ноября 2024 г.