

Yu. Davydov, V. Paulauskas

MORE ON THE CONVERGENCE OF GAUSSIAN CONVEX HULLS

ABSTRACT. A “law of large numbers” for consecutive convex hulls for weakly dependent Gaussian sequences $\{X_n\}$, having the same marginal distribution, is extended to the case when the sequence $\{X_n\}$ has a weak limit. Let \mathbb{B} be a separable Banach space with a conjugate space \mathbb{B}^* . Let $\{X_n\}$ be a centered \mathbb{B} -valued Gaussian sequence satisfying two conditions: 1) $X_n \Rightarrow X$ and 2) For every $x^* \in \mathbb{B}^*$

$$\lim_{n, m, |n-m| \rightarrow \infty} E\langle X_n, x^* \rangle \langle X_m, x^* \rangle = 0.$$

Then with probability 1 the normalized convex hulls

$$W_n = \frac{1}{(2 \ln n)^{1/2}} \operatorname{conv}\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$$

converge in Hausdorff distance to the concentration ellipsoid of a limit Gaussian \mathbb{B} -valued random element X . In addition, some related questions are discussed.

§1. INTRODUCTION AND FORMULATION OF RESULTS

Let \mathbb{B} be a separable Banach space with a norm $\|\cdot\|$ and let \mathbb{B}^* and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote its conjugate space and the corresponding inner product, respectively. For $A \in \mathbb{B}$ the notation $\operatorname{conv}\{A\}$ is used for the closed convex hull of A . If X is a \mathbb{B} -valued centered Gaussian random element with a distribution \mathcal{P} then by H we denote its reproducing kernel Hilbert space and \mathcal{E} will stand for the closed unit ball in H , see, e.g., [8, p. 207]. The set \mathcal{E} is also called *concentration ellipsoid* of X .

Finally, we introduce the separable complete metric space $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{B}}$ of all nonempty compact subsets of a Banach space \mathbb{B} equipped with the Hausdorff distance $d_{\mathbb{B}}$:

$$d_{\mathbb{B}}(A, B) = \max\{\inf\{\epsilon \mid A \subset B^\epsilon\}, \inf\{\epsilon \mid B \subset A^\epsilon\}\},$$

Key words and phrases: Gaussian sequences, convex hull, limit behavior.

The work on this topic was carried out in March–May 2020, at that time the first author was supported by the grant VIZIT-3-TYR-013 of Lithuanian Research Council.

where A^ϵ is the open ϵ -neighborhood of A . Convergence of compact sets in \mathbb{B} always will be considered in this metric.

It is well known that the set \mathcal{E} belongs to $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{B}}$ (see, e.g. the previous reference).

Investigation of the asymptotic behavior of convex hulls

$$W_n = \text{conv}\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$$

of multivariate Gaussian random variables is an important part of Extreme Value Theory and has various applications, see for example, [9] and reference list, containing 160 items, in it. In 1988 Goodman [7] proved a fundamental result that the normalized set $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ of independent and identically distributed B -valued centered Gaussian random elements with a distribution \mathcal{P} is approaching the concentration ellipsoid of \mathcal{P} as n grows to infinity. From this result one can immediately derive that a.s.

$$\frac{1}{b(n)} W_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{B}}} \mathcal{E}, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1)$$

where $b(t) = \sqrt{2 \ln(t)}$, $t > 1$. Moreover, the rate of convergence in this relation is of the order $o(b(n)^{-1})$.

Later the convergence of the type (1) was proved first for stationary d -dimensional weakly dependent Gaussian sequences in [2], and then the similar result was proved for \mathbb{B} -valued Gaussian random fields on \mathbb{R}^m or \mathbb{Z}^m in [3]. Although at the introduction of the paper [3] it was said that only the case $m > 1$ is considered, inspection of the proof of the main result – Theorem 1.1 in [3] – shows that the result holds for $m = 1$, too. In particular, this result states that if a \mathbb{B} -valued centered Gaussian sequence $\{X_k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has the same marginal distribution \mathcal{P} and satisfies the following condition:

$$E \langle X_n, x^* \rangle \langle X_m, x^* \rangle \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{for all } x^* \in \mathbb{B}^* \text{ as } n, m, |n - m| \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2)$$

then (1) holds.

In the paper we show that the condition of equality of marginal distributions can be essentially relaxed substituting it by the weak convergence of the sequence $\{X_n\}$, and for weak convergence we use the sign \Rightarrow .

Theorem 1. *Suppose that a centered Gaussian sequence of \mathbb{B} -valued random elements $\{X_k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ satisfies (2) and the following condition:*

$$X_n \Rightarrow X. \quad (3)$$

Then a.s.

$$\frac{1}{b(n)}W_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}} \mathcal{E}, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4)$$

where \mathcal{E} is concentration ellipsoid of X .

Since the proof of this theorem will be carried in two steps, and in the first step we consider the case $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{R}$, we look more closely what is the meaning of the result in this particular case. Let $N(0, \sigma^2)$ stand for a Gaussian random variable with mean zero and variance σ^2 , and $\{X_k\}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, be a sequence of $N(0, \sigma_k^2)$ random variables. Without loss of generality we can assume that X in (3) is $N(0, 1)$. We have

$$W_n = [\min\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}, \max\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}] \quad (5)$$

and $\mathcal{E} = [-1, 1]$. If the covariance function $\rho(m, n) := EX_m X_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m, |n - m| \rightarrow \infty$, then we have the relation (4). It is clear, that if the dependence between elements X_k of the sequence is stronger, the sequence of their convex hulls is more concentrated. One can consider the extreme case, when $X_k \equiv X_0$ for all $k \geq 1$, then $W_n = \{X_0\}$ is one point and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (g(n))^{-1} W_n = \{0\}$ for any sequence $g(n) \rightarrow \infty$. The following example gives us additional information in this question.

Remark 2. Let us consider the sequence of i.i.d. $N(0, 1)$ random variables $\{\xi_j\}$, $j \geq 1$, and let $S_k = k^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^k \xi_j$. Taking $X_k = S_k$, we are in the setting of Theorem 1, but the condition (2) is not satisfied, since, if $n = m + k$, $k > 0$, then

$$\rho(m, n) = \frac{ES_m S_{m+k}}{(m(m+k))^{1/2}} = \frac{m}{(m(m+k))^{1/2}} = \left(1 + \frac{k}{m}\right)^{-1/2}. \quad (6)$$

Thus, in order to get $\rho(m, n) \rightarrow 0$, it is not sufficient to require $m \rightarrow \infty$, $k \rightarrow \infty$, but stronger condition is required $m \rightarrow \infty$, $k/m \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, S_k is a sum of i.i.d. random variables, therefore, denoting $c(t) = (2 \ln \ln t)^{1/2}$, $t > e$, the classical LIL gives us that the cluster set for the sequence $\{X_n/c(n)\}$ is $[-1, 1]$, while for the sequence $\{X_n/b(n)\}$ with probability one limit is zero. We shall prove that for this example we have the following result:

Proposition 3. *With probability one*

$$\frac{1}{c(n)}W_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}} [-1, 1], \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (7)$$

where W_n is from (5).

In connection with this example it is possible to formulate the following problem.

Suppose that a sequence $\{X_n\}$ has standard normal marginal distributions and covariance function $\rho(m, n)$. For which functions $g(n)$ and under what conditions for covariance function ρ we can get the relation (7) with function g instead of c ?

This Proposition and Theorem 1 give us two examples of such functions g . What other normalizing functions are possible in relation (7)?

Let us make three final remarks.

Remark 4. As in [3], having (4), we can get some information on asymptotic behavior of $Ef(W_n)$ for some functions defined on $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{B}}$. In case $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{R}^m$ typical examples of such functions are diameter, volume or surface measure.

Remark 5. From the proof of Theorem 1 we can extract some information about the rate of convergence in (4). Namely, in the proof we have the equality

$$\mathbb{P}\{d_{\mathbb{B}}(X, b(n)\mathcal{E}) > \varepsilon\} = \mathbb{P}\{\exp \frac{1}{2}\psi_{\varepsilon} \geq n\},$$

and since these probabilities are monotonically non-increasing and

$$\sum_n \mathbb{P}\{\exp \psi_{\varepsilon}/2 \geq n\} = E\{\exp \psi_{\varepsilon}/2\} < \infty$$

we get

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{d\left(\frac{X_n}{b_n}, \mathcal{E}\right) > \varepsilon\right\} = o(n^{-1}).$$

Let us note that this result cannot be compared with the result from [7], where it is proved that with probability 1

$$d_{\mathbb{B}}\left(\frac{X_n}{b_n}, \mathcal{E}\right) = o(b_n^{-1}).$$

Remark 6. In Theorem 1 and in previous results for Gaussian sequences limit set of convex hulls was ellipsoid of some Gaussian measure. If we dismiss the condition of weak convergence of Gaussian sequence $\{X_k\}$, the limit set may exist, but not necessarily will be an ellipsoid. For example, the following statement holds.

Proposition 7. *Let \mathbb{B} be a separable Banach space. Let $V \subset \mathbb{B}$ be a central symmetric polytope, $V = \text{conv}\{a_k, -a_k, k = 1, \dots, m\}$. Then there exists a sequence of independent Gaussian vectors $\{X_k\}$ such that a.s.*

$$\frac{1}{b(n)}W_n \rightarrow V.$$

§2. PROOFS

Proof of Theorem 1. As it was mentioned above, the proof will be carried in two steps, and in the first step we consider the case $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{R}$.

I. Without loss of generality we can suppose that X has a standard Gaussian distribution; then $\mathcal{E} = [-1, 1]$.

The condition (2) transforms now in

$$EX_nX_m \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n, m, |n - m| \rightarrow \infty.$$

From weak convergence of X_n to X it follows that $\sigma_n^2 := EX_n^2 \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

For r.v. $Y_n = X_n/\sigma_n$ the conditions (3) and (2) are fulfilled. Since Y_n are identically distributed, setting $U_n = \text{conv}\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$, by Theorem 1.1 from [3]

$$\frac{1}{b(n)}U_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{K}_1} [-1, 1], \quad \text{a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (8)$$

Let us show that a.s.

$$\Delta_n := d_{\mathbb{R}^1} \left(\frac{1}{b(n)}W_n, \frac{1}{b(n)}U_n \right) \rightarrow 0. \quad (9)$$

We have

$$\Delta_n \leq \frac{1}{b(n)} \max_{k \leq n} \{|X_k - Y_k|\} = \frac{1}{b(n)} \max_{k \leq n} \{|Y_k||1 - \sigma_k|\}. \quad (10)$$

Let $Z_n = \max_{k \leq n} \{|Y_k|\}$; $M_n = \max_{k \leq n} \{|1 - \sigma_k|\}$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ find n_0 such that $\sup_{k > n_0} |1 - \sigma_k| < \varepsilon$. Then for $n \geq n_0$ by (10)

$$\Delta_n \leq \frac{1}{b(n)} \max_{n_0 \leq k \leq n} \{|Y_k|\} \varepsilon + \frac{M_{n_0} Z_{n_0}}{b(n)}.$$

It follows from (8) that $\limsup_n \Delta_n \leq \varepsilon$. Hence we get (9) and (4) is proved for $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{R}$.

II. General case. We shall show that with the probability 1 the sequence $\{b(n)^{-1}W_n\}$ is relatively compact in $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{B}}$. Due to Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 from [3] it is sufficient to prove that there exists a compact set K such that

for every $\varepsilon > 0$ with probability 1 for all sufficiently large n we have the following inclusion $\{b(n)^{-1}W_n\} \subset K^\varepsilon$. We take $K = \mathcal{E}$. Since the space \mathbb{B} is fixed, instead of $d_{\mathbb{B}}$ we shall write simply d . It is clear that this inclusion will follow from the following relation: for every $\varepsilon > 0$ a.s.

$$\limsup_n d(X_n, (1 + \varepsilon)b(n)\mathcal{E}) = 0. \quad (11)$$

By Skorokhod representation theorem we can suppose that $X_n \rightarrow X$ a.s.

Let $\sigma^2 = E\|X\|^2$, $\sigma_{\max}^2 = \sup_n E\|X_n\|^2$. It follows from Fernique's theorem about integrability of exponential moments (see [6]) that for every γ , $0 < \gamma < (2\sigma^2)^{-1}$,

$$E \exp\{\gamma\|X\|^2\} < \infty.$$

Moreover, from the proof of Fernique's theorem one can deduce that for every γ , $0 < \gamma < (2\sigma_{\max}^2)^{-1}$,

$$\limsup_n E \exp\{\gamma\|X_n\|^2\} < \infty.$$

It means, in particular, that for every γ , $0 < \gamma < (2\sigma_{\max}^2)^{-1}$, the family $\exp\{\gamma\|X_n\|^2\}$ is uniformly integrable. Therefore

$$\delta_n^2 := E\|X_n - X\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } E \exp\{\gamma\|X_n - X\|^2\} \rightarrow 1.$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$ let n_ε be such that $\sigma_\varepsilon^2 := \sup_{n > n_\varepsilon} \delta_n^2 < \varepsilon^2$.

We have

$$\mathbb{P}\{d(X_n, (1 + \varepsilon)b(n)\mathcal{E}) > \varepsilon\} = A_n + B_n,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= \mathbb{P}\{d(X_n, (1 + \varepsilon)b(n)\mathcal{E}) > \varepsilon, \|X_n - X\| < \varepsilon b(n)\}, \\ B_n &= \mathbb{P}\{d(X_n, (1 + \varepsilon)b(n)\mathcal{E}) > \varepsilon, \|X_n - X\| \geq \varepsilon b(n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Evidently

$$A_n \leq \mathbb{P}\{d(X, b(n)\mathcal{E}) > \varepsilon\}.$$

Now we formulate Talagrand's lemma [11] as it is formulated in [7], see Lemma 3.1 therein.

Lemma 8. *Let X be a \mathbb{B} -valued centered Gaussian random element with a concentration ellipsoid \mathcal{E} . Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a random variable ψ_ε such that*

$$E\{\exp\{\psi_\varepsilon/2\}\} < \infty$$

and for all $\lambda > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\{d(X, \lambda\mathcal{E}) \leq \varepsilon\} = \mathbb{P}\{\psi_\varepsilon < \lambda^2\}.$$

We apply this lemma taking $\lambda = b(n)$ and obtain

$$A_n \leq \mathbb{P}\{d(X, b(n)\mathcal{E}) > \varepsilon\} = \mathbb{P}\{\psi_\varepsilon \geq 2 \ln n\} = \mathbb{P}\{\exp\{\psi_\varepsilon/2\} \geq n\}$$

Hence $\sum_n A_n < \infty$.

For $0 < \gamma < (2\sigma_\varepsilon^2)^{-1}$ we have $E \exp\{\gamma \|X_n - X\|^2\} < \infty$ for each $n > n_\varepsilon$, therefore, denoting

$$L_\varepsilon(a) = \sup_{n > n_\varepsilon} \{E \exp\{a \|X_n - X\|^2\}\},$$

for $a \in \left(\frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2}, \frac{1}{2\sigma_\varepsilon^2}\right)$ and $n \geq n_\varepsilon$ we apply once more Fernique's theorem and get

$$B_n \leq \mathbb{P}\{\|X_n - X\| > \varepsilon b(n)\} \leq \frac{E \exp\{a \|X_n - X\|^2\}}{n^{2a\varepsilon^2}} \leq \frac{L_\varepsilon(a)}{n^{2a\varepsilon^2}}.$$

Since $2a\varepsilon^2 > 1$, this estimate gives the convergence of the series $\sum_n B_n$.

Therefore we see that for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\sum_n \mathbb{P}\{d(X_n, (1 + \varepsilon)b(n)\mathcal{E}) > \varepsilon\} < \infty.$$

Then the Borel–Cantelli lemma gives us (11), which shows that for every $\delta > 0$ with probability 1 for all sufficiently large n

$$\frac{1}{b(n)} W_n \subset \mathcal{E}^\delta.$$

This proves the relative compactness of $\{b(n)^{-1}W_n\}$.

It follows from Lemma 2.7 [3] that now it is sufficient to prove the convergence for every $\theta \in S_1^*(0) := \{x^* \in \mathbb{B}^* : \|x^*\| = 1\}$

$$M_n(\theta) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} M_{\mathcal{E}}(\theta), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (12)$$

where $M_n, M_{\mathcal{E}}$ are support functions for $b(n)^{-1}W_n$ and \mathcal{E} , respectively. We recall that a function $M_A(\theta)$, defined by the relation

$$M_A(\theta) := \sup_{x \in A} \langle x, \theta \rangle, \quad A \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{B}}, \quad \theta \in S_1^*(0),$$

is called the support function of a set $A \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{B}}$. Since

$$M_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{b(n)} \max_{k \leq n} \{\langle X_k, \theta \rangle\},$$

the convergence (12) follows from the first part of the proof. \square

Proof of Proposition 3. Let us denote

$$c_1 := \liminf_n \frac{V(n)}{c(n)}.$$

Let $l \geq 2$ be a fixed integer, then we have $c(l^m) \sim b(m)$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$. $V(n)$ is non-decreasing, therefore, for $n \in [l^k, l^{k+1}]$, we have

$$\frac{V(n)}{c(n)} \geq \frac{c(l^k)}{c(n)} \frac{V(l^k)}{c(l^k)}.$$

Since

$$\frac{c(l^k)}{c(n)} \geq \frac{c(l^k)}{c(l^{k+1})} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

we get

$$c_1 \geq \liminf_m \left\{ \frac{V(l^m)}{c(l^m)} \right\} \geq \liminf_m \left\{ \frac{\max\{X_l, X_{l^2}, \dots, X_{l^m}\}}{c(l^m)} \right\}.$$

In our example we have (6), therefore $r := \sup_{i \neq j} EX_{l^i} X_{l^j} = l^{-1/2}$. Due to Lemma 2.5 from [3] we get

$$\liminf_m \left\{ \frac{X_l, X_{l^2}, \dots, X_{l^m}}{c(l^m)} \right\} \geq \sqrt{1-r} = \sqrt{1-l^{-1/2}}.$$

This quantity can be made close to 1 if we choose l sufficiently large, therefore with probability one we have

$$c_1 \geq 1. \tag{13}$$

In order to get the estimate from above for

$$c_2 := \limsup_n \left\{ \frac{V(n)}{c(n)} \right\}$$

we shall need the following lemmas.

Lemma 9. *Suppose that a sequence of random variables $\{Y_k\}$ satisfies the following condition: for all $\gamma < (2\sigma^2)^{-1}$, $\sigma > 0$,*

$$\sup_n E \exp\{\gamma Y_n^2\} < \infty. \tag{14}$$

Then

$$\limsup_n \left\{ \frac{\max_{k \leq n} \{Y_k\}}{b(n)} \right\} \leq \sigma.$$

The proof of this lemma coincides with the proof of Lemma 1 in [1], despite of the fact that in this paper the variables $\{Y_k\}$ was i.i.d. It turns out that independence is not used at all and condition of identical distributions of Y_k can be replaced by condition (14).

Lemma 10 ([10, Theorem 2.2]). *Let $\{\xi_k\}$, $k \geq 1$, be independent symmetric random variables, $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k$. Then for every $x \geq 0$*

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k| \geq x \right\} \leq 2 \mathbb{P} \{|S_n| \geq x\}.$$

Lemma 11. *Let X and Y be two non-negative random variables with distribution functions F and G . If for some $a \geq 1$ and for all $x \geq 0$ we have $1 - F(x) \leq a(1 - G(x))$. Then for every $\gamma > 0$*

$$E \exp\{\gamma X^2\} \leq a E \exp\{\gamma Y^2\}.$$

Elementary proof of this statement follows from equalities

$$E \exp\{\gamma X^2\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k (k!)^{-1} E(X^{2k}), \quad E(X^{2k}) = \int_0^{\infty} (1 - F(x)) d(x^{2k}).$$

Let us fix a non-integer (we have in mind that we shall choose a close to 1) number $a > 1$ and let us denote

$$\Delta_j = \{i \in N : a^j \leq i \leq a^{j+1}\}, \quad Y_j = \max_{i \in \Delta_j} X_i.$$

As in the case of lower bound we can prove that

$$c_2 \leq \limsup_m \left\{ \frac{V(\lfloor a^m \rfloor)}{c(\lfloor a^m \rfloor)} \right\}.$$

Note that

$$\Delta_j = \{i \in N : \lfloor a^j \rfloor + 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor a^{j+1} \rfloor\}$$

and $V(\lfloor a^m \rfloor) = \max_{0 \leq j \leq m-1} Y_j$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |Y_j| &\leq \max_{i \in \Delta_j} \{X_i\} = \max_{i \in \Delta_j} \left\{ \left| \frac{S_i}{\sqrt{i}} \right| \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lfloor a^j \rfloor + 1}} \max_{i \in \Delta_j} \{|S_i|\} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^j}} \max_{i \in [\lfloor a^j \rfloor + 1, \lfloor a^{j+1} \rfloor]} \{|S_i|\}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 10, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \max_{i \in \Delta_j} |S_i| \geq x \right\} \leq 2 \mathbb{P} \{|S_{\lfloor a^{j+1} \rfloor}| \geq x\},$$

whence

$$\mathbb{P}\{|Y_j| \geq x\} \leq 2\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{S_{\lfloor a^{j+1} \rfloor}}{\sqrt{a^j}}\right| \geq x\right\}.$$

Let $\xi(j, a) = (a^j)^{-1} S_{\lfloor a^{j+1} \rfloor}$. It is easy to see that $\xi(j, a)$ has distribution $N(0, \sigma^2(j, a))$ with

$$\sigma^2(j, a) = \frac{\lfloor a^{j+1} \rfloor}{a^j} \rightarrow a \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{and } \sigma^2(j, a) \leq a. \quad (15)$$

Applying Lemma 11 with $\gamma < 1/2a$, we get

$$E \exp\{\gamma Y_j^2\} \leq 2E \exp\{\gamma \xi(j, a)^2\}.$$

Due to (15) we have $\sup_j E \exp\{\gamma \xi(j, a)^2\} := C(a) < \infty$, therefore, using

Lemma 9 with $\sigma^2 = a$ and recalling that $c(\lfloor a^m \rfloor) \sim b(m)$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we get that with probability 1

$$c_2 \leq \limsup_m \frac{1}{b(m)} V(\lfloor a^m \rfloor) \leq \sqrt{a}.$$

Since the last estimate holds for any $a > 1$, we get that with probability 1

$$c_2 \leq 1. \quad (16)$$

Estimates (13) and (16) prove (7).

Proof of Proposition 7. Let $\mathbb{N} = \cup_{k=1}^m T_k$, where the sets T_k , $k = 1, \dots, m$, are disjoint and have positive densities p_k . Let $\{X_n\}$ be a sequence of independent random vectors such that for each k and $j \in T_k$, X_j has Gaussian distribution concentrated on the line $\{ta_k, t \in \mathbb{R}^1\}$ with zero mean and variance $\sigma_k^2 = \|a_k\|^2$. We denote $W_n^{(k)} = \text{conv}\{X_j : j \leq n, j \in T_k\}$. Since for any $p > 0$,

$$\lim_n \frac{b(np)}{b(n)} = 1,$$

Theorem 1 implies that a.s. for any $k = 1, \dots, m$,

$$\frac{1}{b(n)} W_n^{(k)} \rightarrow \text{conv}\{-a_k, a_k\}.$$

Clearly, we have $W_n = \text{conv}\{W_n^{(1)}, \dots, W_n^{(m)}\}$, therefore a.s.

$$\frac{1}{b(n)} W_n \rightarrow \text{conv}\{\cup_{k=1}^m \text{conv}\{-a_k, a_k\}\} = V. \quad \square$$

REFERENCES

1. Yu. Davydov, *On convex hull of Gaussian samples*. — Lith. Math. J. **51** (2011), 171–179.
2. Yu. Davydov, C. Dombry, *Asymptotic behavior of the convex hull of a stationary Gaussian process*. — Lith. Math. J. **52**, No. 3 (2012), 363–368.
3. Yu. Davydov, V. Paulauskas, *On the asymptotic form of convex hulls of Gaussian random fields*. — Cent. Eur. J. Math. **12**, No. 5 (2014), 711–720.
4. U. Einmahl, *Law of the iterated logarithm type results for random vectors with infinite second moment*. — Matematica Applicanda, **44**, No. 1 (2016), 167–181.
5. U. Einmahl, D. Li, *Some results on two-sided LIL behavior*. — Ann. Probab. **33**, No. 4 (2005), 1601–1624.
6. X. Fernique, *Régularité de processus gaussiens*. — Inventiones Mathematicae **12** (1971), 304–320.
7. V. Goodman, *Characteristics of normal samples*. — Ann. Probab. **16**, No. 3 (1988), 1281–1290.
8. M. Ledoux, M. Talagrand, *Probability in Banach Spaces*, Springer, 1991.
9. S. N. Majumdar, A. Comtet, J. Randon-Furling, *Random convex hulls and extreme value statistics*. — J. Stat. Phys. **138** (2010), 955–1009.
10. V. V. Petrov, *Limit Theorems of Probability Theory. Sequences of Independent Random Variables*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
11. M. Talagrand, *Sur l'intégrabilité des vecteurs gaussiens*. — Z. Wahrscheinlich. Verw. Geb. **68** (1984), 1–8.

Université de Lille, Laboratoire Paul Painlevé,
 42 rue Paul Duez 59000 Lille - France
 Saint Petersburg state university,
 7-9 Universitetskaya Embankment, St Petersburg, Russia
E-mail: `davydov.youri@gmail.com`

Поступило 25 июля 2022 г.

Vilnius University, Department of Mathematics and Informatics,
 Naugarduko st. 24, LT-03225, Vilnius, Lithuania
E-mail: `vygantas.paulauskas@mif.vu.lt`