

R. Preusser

ELEMENTARY COVERING NUMBERS IN ODD-DIMENSIONAL UNITARY GROUPS

ABSTRACT. Let (K, Δ) be a Hermitian form field and $n \geq 3$. We prove that if $\sigma \in \mathrm{U}_{2n+1}(K, \Delta)$ is a unitary matrix of level (K, Δ) , then any short root transvection $T_{ij}(x)$ is a product of 4 elementary unitary conjugates of σ and σ^{-1} . Moreover, the bound 4 is sharp. We also show that any extra short root transvection $T_i(x, y)$ is a product of 12 elementary unitary conjugates of σ and σ^{-1} . If the level of σ is $(0, K \times 0)$, then any $(0, K \times 0)$ -elementary extra short root transvection $T_i(x, 0)$ is a product of 2 elementary unitary conjugates of σ and σ^{-1} .

§1. INTRODUCTION

The investigation of products of conjugacy classes in different types of groups is a popular topic in group theory during the last 30–40 years. Many papers were devoted to this theme, for example [1, 4–14, 17, 18]. A lot of these works are concerned with the computation of covering numbers.

Let G be a group and $S \subseteq G$ a subset. For any subset $X \subseteq G$ define $\mathrm{cn}_X(S)$ as the least positive integer m such that $S \subseteq X^m = \{x_1 \dots x_m \mid x_1, \dots, x_m \in X\}$. If no such m exists, then $\mathrm{cn}_X(S) := \infty$. We call $\mathrm{cn}_X(S)$ the *covering number of S with respect to X* . For any set \mathcal{X} of subsets of G , define $\mathrm{cn}_{\mathcal{X}}(S)$ as the supremum of all covering numbers $\mathrm{cn}_X(S)$, where $X \in \mathcal{X}$. We call $\mathrm{cn}_{\mathcal{X}}(S)$ the *covering number of S with respect to \mathcal{X}* . Note that if \mathcal{X} is the set of all conjugacy classes in G that are not contained in a proper normal subgroup, then $\mathrm{cn}_{\mathcal{X}}(G)$ is the usual covering number $\mathrm{cn}(G)$ as defined in [8]. We call $\mathrm{scn}_X(S) := \mathrm{cn}_{X \cup X^{-1}}(S)$ the *symmetric covering number of S with respect to X* and $\mathrm{scn}_{\mathcal{X}}(S) := \sup\{\mathrm{scn}_X(S) \mid X \in \mathcal{X}\}$ the *symmetric covering number of S with respect to \mathcal{X}* .

The hyperbolic unitary groups $\mathrm{U}_{2n}(R, \Lambda)$ were defined by A. Bak in 1969 [2]. They embrace the classical Chevalley groups of type C_m and D_m , namely the even-dimensional symplectic and orthogonal groups $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R)$

Key words and phrases: classical-like groups, conjugacy classes, covering numbers.
The work is supported by the Russian Science Foundation grant 19-71-30002.

and $O_{2n}(R)$. In 2018, A. Bak and the author defined odd-dimensional unitary groups $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ [3]. These groups generalise the even-dimensional unitary groups $U_{2n}(R, \Lambda)$ and embrace all classical Chevalley groups. The groups $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ are in turn embraced by V. Petrov's odd unitary groups, which were introduced in [15].

Let (K, Δ) be a Hermitian form field and $n \geq 3$. Denote the odd unitary group $U_{2n+1}(K, \Delta)$ by G and its elementary subgroup $EU_{2n+1}(K, \Delta)$ by E . It follows from the Sandwich Classification Theorem 28 that if H is a subgroup of G normalised by E , then there is a unique odd form ideal (I, Ω) of (K, Δ) such that

$$EU_{2n+1}((K, \Delta), (I, \Omega)) \subseteq H \subseteq CU_{2n+1}((K, \Delta), (I, \Omega)),$$

where $EU_{2n+1}((K, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$ denotes the relative elementary subgroup of level (I, Ω) and $CU_{2n+1}((K, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$ the full congruence subgroup of level (I, Ω) . The odd form ideal (I, Ω) is called the *level* of H . The *level* of a conjugacy class C in G is the level of the subgroup of G generated by C .

Let \mathcal{C} denote the set of all conjugacy classes of level (K, Δ) , S_{short} the set of all nontrivial short root transvections, and S_{extra} the set of all nontrivial extra short root transvections. In this paper we prove that $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{short}}) \leq 4$ and $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{extra}}) \leq 12$. Moreover, we show that the bound $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{short}}) \leq 4$ is sharp, i.e., there is no better bound valid for all Hermitian form fields (K, Δ) and $n \geq 3$.

If the Hermitian form B is degenerate and $K \times 0 \subseteq \Delta$, then there is a second nonzero odd form ideal, namely, $(0, K \times 0)$. Let \mathcal{D} denote the set of all conjugacy classes of level $(0, K \times 0)$ and T the set of all nontrivial $(0, K \times 0)$ -elementary extra short root transvections. We prove that $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{D}}(T) = 1$ if $K = \mathbb{F}_2$ and $(0, 1) \in \Delta$, and $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{D}}(T) = 2$ otherwise.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In Sec. 2 we recall some standard notation which is used throughout the paper. In Sec. 3, we recall the definitions of the groups $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ and some important subgroups. In Sec. 4, we prove our main results, namely, Theorems 33, 35, 36, and 39. The results of Sec. 4 are still valid if one replaces all occurrences of “conjugacy class” by “ E -class” or more generally by “ H -class,” where $E \leq H \leq G$ is a fixed intermediate group.

§2. NOTATION

\mathbb{N} denotes the set of all positive integers. If G is a group and $g, h \in G$, we let $g^h := h^{-1}gh$, ${}^h g := hgh^{-1}$, and $[g, h] := ghg^{-1}h^{-1}$. By a ring we

mean an associative ring with 1 such that $1 \neq 0$. By an ideal we mean a two-sided ideal. If $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and R is a ring, then the set of all $m \times n$ matrices over R is denoted by $M_{m \times n}(R)$. Instead of $M_{n \times n}(R)$ we may write $M_n(R)$. If $\sigma \in M_{m \times n}(R)$, we denote the transpose of σ by σ^t , the entry of σ at position (i, j) by σ_{ij} , the i th row of σ by σ_{i*} and the j th column of σ by σ_{*j} . The group of all invertible matrices in $M_n(R)$ is denoted by $GL_n(R)$ and the identity element of $GL_n(R)$ by e or $e_{n \times n}$. If $\sigma \in GL_n(R)$, then the entry of σ^{-1} at position (i, j) is denoted by σ'_{ij} , the i th row of σ^{-1} by σ'_{i*} and the j th column of σ^{-1} by σ'_{*j} . Furthermore, we denote by nR the set of all row vectors of length n with entries in R and by R^n the set of all column vectors of length n with entries in R . We consider nR as left R -module and R^n as right R -module.

§3. ODD-DIMENSIONAL UNITARY GROUPS

We describe Hermitian form rings (R, Δ) and odd form ideals (I, Ω) first, then the odd-dimensional unitary group $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ and its elementary subgroup $EU_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ over a Hermitian form ring (R, Δ) . For an odd form ideal (I, Ω) , we recall the definitions of the following subgroups of $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$: the preelementary subgroup $EU_{2n+1}(I, \Omega)$ of level (I, Ω) , the elementary subgroup $EU_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$ of level (I, Ω) , the principal congruence subgroup $U_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$ of level (I, Ω) , the normalised principal congruence subgroup $NU_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$ of level (I, Ω) , and the full congruence subgroup $CU_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$ of level (I, Ω) .

3.1. Hermitian form rings and odd form ideals. First we recall the definitions of a ring with involution with symmetry and a Hermitian ring.

Definition 1. Let R be a ring and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{}: R &\rightarrow R \\ x &\mapsto \bar{x} \end{aligned}$$

an antiisomorphism of R (i.e., $\bar{}$ is bijective, $\overline{x+y} = \bar{x} + \bar{y}$, $\overline{xy} = \bar{y}\bar{x}$ for any $x, y \in R$ and $\bar{\bar{1}} = 1$). Furthermore, let $\lambda \in R$ such that $\bar{\bar{x}} = \lambda x \lambda$ for any $x \in R$. Then λ is called a *symmetry* for $\bar{}$, the pair $(\bar{}, \lambda)$ an *involution with symmetry* and the triple $(R, \bar{}, \lambda)$ a *ring with involution with symmetry*. A subset $A \subseteq R$ is called *involution invariant* if and only if $\bar{x} \in A$ for any $x \in A$. A *Hermitian ring* is a quadruple $(R, \bar{}, \lambda, \mu)$, where $(R, \bar{}, \lambda)$ is a ring with involution with symmetry and $\mu \in R$ is a ring element such that $\mu = \bar{\mu}\lambda$.

Remark 2. Let $(R, \bar{\cdot}, \lambda, \mu)$ be a Hermitian ring.

- (a) It is easy to show that $\bar{\bar{\lambda}} = \lambda^{-1}$.
- (b) The map

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\cdot} : R &\rightarrow R, \\ x &\mapsto \underline{x} := \bar{\lambda} \bar{x} \lambda \end{aligned}$$

is the inverse map of $\bar{\cdot}$. One checks easily that $(R, \underline{\cdot}, \lambda, \underline{\mu})$ is a Hermitian ring.

Next we recall the definition of an R^\bullet -module.

Definition 3. If R is a ring, let R^\bullet denote the underlying set of the ring equipped with the multiplication of the ring, but not the addition of the ring. A (right) R^\bullet -module is a not necessarily Abelian group $(G, \dot{+})$ equipped with a map

$$\begin{aligned} \circ : G \times R^\bullet &\rightarrow G, \\ (a, x) &\mapsto a \circ x \end{aligned}$$

such that the following holds:

- (i) $a \circ 0 = 0$ for any $a \in G$,
- (ii) $a \circ 1 = a$ for any $a \in G$,
- (iii) $(a \circ x) \circ y = a \circ (xy)$ for any $a \in G$ and $x, y \in R$, and
- (iv) $(a \dot{+} b) \circ x = (a \circ x) \dot{+} (b \circ x)$ for any $a, b \in G$ and $x \in R$.

Let G and G' be R^\bullet -modules. A group homomorphism $f : G \rightarrow G'$ satisfying $f(a \circ x) = f(a) \circ x$ for any $a \in G$ and $x \in R$ is called a *homomorphism of R^\bullet -modules*. A subgroup H of G which is \circ -stable (i.e., $a \circ x \in H$ for any $a \in H$ and $x \in R$) is called an R^\bullet -submodule. Moreover, if $A \subseteq G$ and $B \subseteq R$, we denote by $A \circ B$ the subgroup of G generated by $\{a \circ b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$. We treat \circ as an operator with higher priority than $\dot{+}$.

An important example of an R^\bullet -module is the Heisenberg group, which we define next.

Definition 4. Let $(R, \bar{\cdot}, \lambda, \mu)$ be a Hermitian ring. Define the map

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{+} : (R \times R) \times (R \times R) &\rightarrow R \times R, \\ ((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &\mapsto (x_1, y_1) \dot{+} (x_2, y_2) := (x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2 - \bar{x}_1 \mu x_2). \end{aligned}$$

Then $(R \times R, \dot{+})$ is a group, which we call the *Heisenberg group* and denote by \mathfrak{H} . Equipped with the map

$$\begin{aligned} \circ : (R \times R) \times R^\bullet &\rightarrow R \times R, \\ ((x, y), a) &\mapsto (x, y) \circ a := (xa, \bar{a}ya), \end{aligned}$$

\mathfrak{H} becomes an R^\bullet -module.

Remark 5. We denote the inverse of an element $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{H}$ by $\dot{-}(x, y)$. One checks easily that $\dot{-}(x, y) = (-x, -y - \bar{x}\mu x)$ for any $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{H}$.

In order to define the odd-dimensional unitary groups we need the notion of a Hermitian form ring.

Definition 6. Let $(R, \bar{\cdot}, \lambda, \mu)$ be a Hermitian ring. Let $(R, \dot{+})$ have the R^\bullet -module structure defined by $x \circ a = \bar{a}xa$. Define the *trace map*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} : \mathfrak{H} &\rightarrow R, \\ (x, y) &\mapsto \bar{x}\mu x + y + \bar{y}\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

One checks easily that tr is a homomorphism of R^\bullet -modules. Set

$$\Delta_{\min} := \{(0, x - \bar{x}\lambda) \mid x \in R\}$$

and

$$\Delta_{\max} := \ker(\text{tr}).$$

An R^\bullet -submodule Δ of \mathfrak{H} lying between Δ_{\min} and Δ_{\max} is called an *odd form parameter* for $(R, \bar{\cdot}, \lambda, \mu)$. Since Δ_{\min} and Δ_{\max} are R^\bullet -submodules of \mathfrak{H} , they are respectively the smallest and largest odd form parameters. A pair $((R, \bar{\cdot}, \lambda, \mu), \Delta)$ is called a *Hermitian form ring*. We shall usually abbreviate it by (R, Δ) .

Next we define an odd form ideal of a Hermitian form ring.

Definition 7. Let (R, Δ) be a Hermitian form ring and I an involution invariant ideal of R . Set $J(\Delta) := \{y \in R \mid \exists z \in R : (y, z) \in \Delta\}$ and $\tilde{I} := \{x \in R \mid \overline{J(\Delta)\mu x} \subseteq I\}$. Obviously \tilde{I} and $J(\Delta)$ are right ideals of R and $I \subseteq \tilde{I}$. Moreover, set

$$\Omega_{\min}^I := \{(0, x - \bar{x}\lambda) \mid x \in I\} \dot{+} \Delta \circ I$$

and

$$\Omega_{\max}^I := \Delta \cap (\tilde{I} \times I).$$

An R^\bullet -submodule Ω of \mathfrak{H} lying between Ω_{\min}^I and Ω_{\max}^I is called a *relative odd form parameter of level I* . Since Ω_{\min}^I and Ω_{\max}^I are R^\bullet -submodules

of \mathfrak{H} , they are respectively the smallest and the largest relative odd form parameters of level I . If Ω is a relative odd form parameter of level I , then (I, Ω) is called an *odd form ideal* of (R, Δ) .

3.2. The odd-dimensional unitary group. Let (R, Δ) be a Hermitian form ring and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $M := R^{2n+1}$. We use the following indexing for the elements of the standard basis of M : $(e_1, \dots, e_n, e_0, e_{-n}, \dots, e_{-1})$. That means that e_i is the column whose i th coordinate is one and all the other coordinates are zero if $1 \leq i \leq n$, the column whose $(n+1)$ th coordinate is one and all the other coordinates are zero if $i = 0$, and the column whose $(2n+2+i)$ th coordinate is one and all the other coordinates are zero if $-n \leq i \leq -1$. If $u \in M$, then we call $(u_1, \dots, u_n, u_{-n}, \dots, u_{-1})^t \in R^{2n}$ the *hyperbolic part* of u and denote it by u_{hb} . We set $u^* := \bar{u}^t$ and $u_{\text{hb}}^* := \bar{u}_{\text{hb}}^t$. Moreover, we define the maps

$$B : M \times M \rightarrow R,$$

$$(u, v) \mapsto u^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \pi \\ 0 & \mu & 0 \\ \pi\lambda & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} v = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{u}_i v_{-i} + \bar{u}_0 \mu v_0 + \sum_{i=-n}^{-1} \bar{u}_i \lambda v_{-i}$$

and

$$Q : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{H},$$

$$u \mapsto (Q_1(u), Q_2(u)) := (u_0, u_{\text{hb}}^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} u_{\text{hb}}) = (u_0, \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{u}_i u_{-i}),$$

where $\pi \in M_n(R)$ denotes the matrix with ones on the skew diagonal and zeros elsewhere.

Lemma 8 ([3, Lemma 12]). (i) B is a λ -Hermitian form, i.e., B is biadditive,

$$B(ux, vy) = \bar{x}B(u, v)y \quad \forall u, v \in M, x, y \in R$$

$$\text{and } B(u, v) = \overline{B(v, u)}\lambda \quad \forall u, v \in M.$$

(ii) $Q(ux) = Q(u) \circ x \quad \forall u \in M, x \in R$, $Q(u+v) \equiv Q(u) \dot{+} Q(v) \dot{+} (0, B(u, v)) \pmod{\Delta_{\min}}$ $\forall u, v \in M$ and $\text{tr}(Q(u)) = B(u, u) \quad \forall u \in M$.

Definition 9. The group

$$\begin{aligned} \text{U}_{2n+1}(R, \Delta) &:= \{\sigma \in \text{GL}_{2n+1}(R) \mid B(\sigma u, \sigma v) \\ &= B(u, v) \wedge Q(\sigma u) \equiv Q(u) \pmod{\Delta} \quad \forall u, v \in M\} \end{aligned}$$

is called the *odd-dimensional unitary group*.

Remark 10. The groups $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ include as special cases the even-dimensional unitary groups $U_{2n}(R, \Delta)$ and all classical Chevalley groups. On the other hand, the groups $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ are embraced by Petrov's odd unitary groups $U_{2l}(R, \mathfrak{L})$. For details see [3, Remark 14(c) and Example 15].

Definition 11. We define the sets $\Theta_+ := \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\Theta_- := \{-n, \dots, -1\}$, $\Theta := \Theta_+ \cup \Theta_- \cup \{0\}$, and $\Theta_{\text{hb}} := \Theta \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover, we define the map

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon : \Theta_{\text{hb}} &\rightarrow \{\pm 1\}, \\ i &\mapsto \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \in \Theta_+, \\ -1, & \text{if } i \in \Theta_-. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 12 ([3, Lemma 17]). *Let $\sigma \in \text{GL}_{2n+1}(R)$. Then $\sigma \in U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ if and only if Conditions (i) and (ii) below are satisfied.*

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'_{ij} &= \lambda^{-(\epsilon(i)+1)/2} \bar{\sigma}_{-j, -i} \lambda^{(\epsilon(j)+1)/2} \quad \forall i, j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}, \\ \mu \sigma'_{0j} &= \bar{\sigma}_{-j, 0} \lambda^{(\epsilon(j)+1)/2} \quad \forall j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}, \\ \sigma'_{i0} &= \lambda^{-(\epsilon(i)+1)/2} \bar{\sigma}_{0, -i} \mu \quad \forall i \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}, \text{ and} \\ \mu \sigma'_{00} &= \bar{\sigma}_{00} \mu. \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$Q(\sigma_{*j}) \equiv (\delta_{0j}, 0) \pmod{\Delta} \quad \forall j \in \Theta.$$

Lemma 13. *Let $\sigma \in U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$. If $\sigma_{*j} = e_k x$ for some $j, k \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$ and invertible $x \in R$, then $\sigma_{-k, *} = (e_{-j} \hat{x})^t$, where $\hat{x} = \lambda^{(\epsilon(k)-1)/2} \bar{x}^{-1} \lambda^{(1-\epsilon(j))/2}$.*

Proof. Since $e = \sigma^{-1} \sigma$, we have

$$\delta_{ij} = (\sigma^{-1} \sigma)_{ij} = \sigma'_{i*} \sigma_{*j} = \sigma'_{i*} e_k x = \sigma'_{ik} x$$

for any $i \in \Theta$. It follows from the previous lemma that $\sigma_{-k, *} = (e_{-j} \hat{x})^t$. \square

3.3. The polarity map.

Definition 14. The map

$$\begin{aligned} \sim : M &\longrightarrow M^*, \\ u &\longmapsto (\bar{u}_{-1} \lambda \quad \dots \quad \bar{u}_{-n} \lambda \quad \bar{u}_0 \mu \quad \bar{u}_n \quad \dots \quad \bar{u}_1), \end{aligned}$$

where $M^* = {}^{2n+1}R$, is called the *polarity map*. Clearly \sim is *involutory linear*, i.e., $\widetilde{u+v} = \widetilde{u} + \widetilde{v}$ and $\widetilde{ux} = \bar{x} \widetilde{u}$ for any $u, v \in M$ and $x \in R$.

Lemma 15 ([16, Lemma 16]). *If $\sigma \in U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ and $u \in M$, then $\widetilde{\sigma}u = \widetilde{u}\sigma^{-1}$.*

3.4. The elementary subgroup. We introduce the following notation. Let $(R, _, \lambda, \underline{\mu})$ be the Hermitian ring defined in Remark 2(b) and \mathfrak{H}^{-1} the corresponding Heisenberg group. Note that the underlying set of both \mathfrak{H} and \mathfrak{H}^{-1} is $R \times R$. We denote the group operation (respectively, scalar multiplication) of \mathfrak{H} by $\dot{+}_1$ (respectively, \circ_1) and the group operation (respectively, scalar multiplication) of \mathfrak{H}^{-1} by $\dot{+}_{-1}$ (respectively, \circ_{-1}). Furthermore, we set $\Delta^1 := \Delta$ and $\Delta^{-1} := \{(x, y) \in R \times R \mid (x, \bar{y}) \in \Delta\}$. One checks easily that $((R, _, \lambda, \underline{\mu}), \Delta^{-1})$ is a Hermitian form ring. Analogously, if (I, Ω) is an odd form ideal of (R, Δ) , we set $\Omega^1 := \Omega$ and $\Omega^{-1} := \{(x, y) \in R \times R \mid (x, \bar{y}) \in \Omega\}$. One checks easily that (I, Ω^{-1}) is an odd form ideal of (R, Δ^{-1}) .

If $i, j \in \Theta$, let e^{ij} denote the matrix in $M_{2n+1}(R)$ with 1 in the (i, j) th position and 0 in all other positions.

Definition 16. If $i, j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$, $i \neq \pm j$ and $x \in R$, the element

$$T_{ij}(x) := e + xe^{ij} - \lambda^{(\epsilon(j)-1)/2} \bar{x} \lambda^{(1-\epsilon(i))/2} e^{-j, -i}$$

of $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ is called an (*elementary*) *short root transvection*. If $i \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$ and $(x, y) \in \Delta^{-\epsilon(i)}$, the element

$$T_i(x, y) := e + xe^{0, -i} - \lambda^{-(1+\epsilon(i))/2} \bar{x} \underline{\mu} e^{i0} + ye^{i, -i}$$

of $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ is called an (*elementary*) *extra short root transvection*. The extra short root transvections of the kind

$$T_i(0, y) = e + ye^{i, -i}$$

are called (*elementary*) *long root transvections*. If an element of $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ is a short or extra short root transvection, then it is called an *elementary transvection*. The subgroup of $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ generated by all elementary transvections is called the *elementary subgroup* and is denoted by $EU_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$.

Lemma 17 ([3, Lemma 20]). *The following relations hold for the elementary transvections:*

$$T_{ij}(x) = T_{-j,-i}(-\lambda^{(\epsilon(j)-1)/2}\bar{x}\lambda^{(1-\epsilon(i))/2}), \quad (\text{S1})$$

$$T_{ij}(x)T_{ij}(y) = T_{ij}(x+y), \quad (\text{S2})$$

$$[T_{ij}(x), T_{kl}(y)] = e \text{ if } k \neq j, -i \text{ and } l \neq i, -j, \quad (\text{S3})$$

$$[T_{ij}(x), T_{jk}(y)] = T_{ik}(xy) \text{ if } i \neq \pm k, \quad (\text{S4})$$

$$[T_{ij}(x), T_{j,-i}(y)] = T_i(0, xy - \lambda^{(-1-\epsilon(i))/2}\bar{y}\bar{x}\lambda^{(1-\epsilon(i))/2}), \quad (\text{S5})$$

$$T_i(x_1, y_1)T_i(x_2, y_2) = T_i((x_1, y_1) \dot{+}_{-\epsilon(i)} (x_2, y_2)), \quad (\text{E1})$$

$$[T_i(x_1, y_1), T_j(x_2, y_2)] = T_{i,-j}(-\lambda^{-(1+\epsilon(i))/2}\bar{x}_1\mu x_2) \text{ if } i \neq \pm j, \quad (\text{E2})$$

$$[T_i(x_1, y_1), T_i(x_2, y_2)] = T_i(0, -\lambda^{-(1+\epsilon(i))/2}(\bar{x}_1\mu x_2 - \bar{x}_2\mu x_1)), \quad (\text{E3})$$

$$[T_{ij}(x), T_k(y, z)] = e \text{ if } k \neq j, -i, \text{ and} \quad (\text{SE1})$$

$$[T_{ij}(x), T_j(y, z)] = T_{j,-i}(z\lambda^{(\epsilon(j)-1)/2}\bar{x}\lambda^{(1-\epsilon(i))/2}) \\ \cdot T_i(y\lambda^{(\epsilon(j)-1)/2}\bar{x}\lambda^{(1-\epsilon(i))/2}, xz\lambda^{(\epsilon(j)-1)/2}\bar{x}\lambda^{(1-\epsilon(i))/2}). \quad (\text{SE2})$$

Definition 18. Let $x \in R$ be invertible and $i, j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$ be such that $i \neq \pm j$. Define

$$D_{ij}(x) := e + (x-1)e^{ii} + (x^{-1}-1)e^{jj} \\ + (\lambda^{(\epsilon(i)-1)/2}\bar{x}^{-1}\lambda^{-(\epsilon(i)-1)/2} - 1)e^{-i,-i} \\ + (\lambda^{(\epsilon(j)-1)/2}\bar{x}\lambda^{-(\epsilon(j)-1)/2} - 1)e^{-j,-j} \\ = T_{ij}(x-1)T_{ji}(1)T_{ij}(x^{-1}-1)T_{ji}(-x) \in \text{EU}_{2n+1}(R, \Delta).$$

Clearly, $(D_{ij}(x))^{-1} = D_{ij}(x^{-1})$.

Definition 19. Let $i, j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$ be such that $i \neq \pm j$. Define

$$P_{ij} := e - e^{ii} - e^{jj} - e^{-i,-i} - e^{-j,-j} + e^{ij} - e^{ji} + \lambda^{(\epsilon(i)-\epsilon(j))/2}e^{-i,-j} \\ - \lambda^{(\epsilon(j)-\epsilon(i))/2}e^{-j,-i} \\ = T_{ij}(1)T_{ji}(-1)T_{ij}(1) \in \text{EU}_{2n+1}(R, \Delta).$$

Clearly, $(P_{ij})^{-1} = P_{ji}$.

The two lemmas below are easy to check.

Lemma 20. Let $i, j, k \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$ be such that $i \neq \pm j$ and $k \neq \pm i, \pm j$. Let $a \in R$ be invertible, $x \in R$, and $(y, z) \in \Delta^{-\epsilon(i)}$. Then

- (1) $D_{ik}^{(a)}T_{ij}(x) = T_{ij}(ax)$,
- (2) $D_{kj}^{(a)}T_{ij}(x) = T_{ij}(xa)$, and
- (3) $D_{-i,k}^{(a^{-1})}T_i(y, z) = T_i(ya, \lambda^{-(\epsilon(i)+1)/2}\bar{a}\lambda^{(\epsilon(i)+1)/2}za)$.

Lemma 21 ([3, Lemma 23]). *Let $i, j, k \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$ be such that $i \neq \pm j$ and $k \neq \pm i, \pm j$. Let $x \in R$ and $(y, z) \in \Delta^{-\epsilon(i)}$. Then*

- (i) $P_{ki}T_{ij}(x) = T_{kj}(x)$,
- (ii) $P_{kj}T_{ij}(x) = T_{ik}(x)$, and
- (iii) $P_{-k,-i}T_i(y, z) = T_k(y, \lambda^{(\epsilon(i)-\epsilon(k))/2}z)$.

3.5. Relative subgroups. In this subsection, (I, Ω) denotes an odd form ideal of (R, Δ) .

Definition 22. A short root transvection $T_{ij}(x)$ is called (I, Ω) -*elementary* if $x \in I$. An extra short root transvection $T_i(x, y)$ is called (I, Ω) -*elementary* if $(x, y) \in \Omega^{-\epsilon(i)}$. The subgroup $\text{EU}_{2n+1}(I, \Omega)$ of $\text{EU}_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ generated by the (I, Ω) -elementary transvections is called the *preelementary subgroup of level (I, Ω)* . Its normal closure $\text{EU}_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$ in $\text{EU}_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ is called the *elementary subgroup of level (I, Ω)* .

If $\sigma \in M_{2n+1}(R)$, we call the matrix $(\sigma_{ij})_{i,j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}} \in M_{2n}(R)$ the *hyperbolic part* of σ and denote it by σ_{hb} . Furthermore, we define the submodule $M(R, \Delta) := \{u \in M \mid u_0 \in J(\Delta)\}$ of M .

Definition 23. The subgroup

$$\begin{aligned} \text{U}_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega)) := \{ \sigma \in \text{U}_{2n+1}(R, \Delta) \mid \sigma_{\text{hb}} \equiv e_{\text{hb}} \pmod{I} \text{ and} \\ Q(\sigma u) \equiv Q(u) \pmod{\Omega} \forall u \in M(R, \Delta) \} \end{aligned}$$

of $\text{U}_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ is called the *principal congruence subgroup of level (I, Ω)* .

Lemma 24 ([3, Lemma 28]). *Let $\sigma \in \text{U}_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$. Then*

$$\sigma \in \text{U}_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$$

if and only if Conditions (i) and (ii) below are satisfied.

- (i) $\sigma_{\text{hb}} \equiv e_{\text{hb}} \pmod{I}$.
- (ii) $Q(\sigma_{*j}) \in \Omega \forall j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$ and $(Q(\sigma_{*0}) - (1, 0)) \circ a \in \Omega \forall a \in J(\Delta)$.

Definition 25. The subgroup $\text{NU}_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega)) :=$

$$\text{Normaliser}_{\text{U}_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)}(\text{U}_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega)))$$

of $\text{U}_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ is called the *normalised principal congruence subgroup of level (I, Ω)* .

Definition 26. The subgroup $\text{CU}_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega)) :=$

$$\{\sigma \in \text{NU}_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega)) \mid [\sigma, \text{EU}_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)] \leq \text{U}_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega))\}$$

of $\text{U}_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ is called the *full congruence subgroup of level (I, Ω)* .

3.6. The standard commutator formulas and the sandwich classification theorem. We call the ring R *quasifinite*, if it is a direct limit of subrings R_i ($i \in \Phi$) which are almost commutative (i.e., finitely generated as modules over their centers), involution invariant, and contain λ and μ .

Theorem 27 ([3, Theorem 39]). *Suppose that R is quasifinite and $n \geq 3$. Then $\text{EU}_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$ is a normal subgroup of*

$$\text{NU}_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$$

and the standard commutator formulas

$$\begin{aligned} & [\text{CU}_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega)), \text{EU}_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)] \\ &= [\text{EU}_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega)), \text{EU}_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)] \\ &= \text{EU}_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega)) \end{aligned}$$

hold. In particular, from the absolute case $(I, \Omega) = (R, \Delta)$, it follows that $\text{EU}_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ is perfect and normal in $\text{U}_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$.

Theorem 28 ([3, Theorem 80]). *Suppose that R is quasifinite and $n \geq 3$. Let H be a subgroup of $\text{U}_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$. Then H is normalised by*

$$\text{EU}_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$$

if and only if there is an odd form ideal (I, Ω) of (R, Δ) such that

$$\text{EU}_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega)) \subseteq H \subseteq \text{CU}_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega)).$$

Moreover, (I, Ω) is uniquely determined.

Recall that if H is a subgroup of $\text{U}_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ normalised by

$$\text{EU}_{2n+1}(R, \Delta),$$

then the uniquely determined odd form ideal (I, Ω) in Theorem 28 is called the level of H .

§4. ELEMENTARY COVERING NUMBERS IN $U_{2n+1}(K, \Delta)$

In this section, $n \geq 3$ denotes an integer and (K, Δ) a Hermitian form field (i.e., (K, Δ) is a Hermitian form ring and K a field). We denote the odd unitary group $U_{2n+1}(K, \Delta)$ by G and its elementary subgroup $EU_{2n+1}(K, \Delta)$ by E .

If $\mu \neq 0$ or $K \times 0 \not\subseteq \Delta$, then there are only two odd form ideals in (K, Δ) , namely, $(0, 0)$ and (K, Δ) . If $\mu = 0$ and $K \times 0 \subseteq \Delta$, then there is a third odd form ideal, namely, $(0, K \times 0)$. It follows from [3, Remark 26] that $NU_{2n+1}((K, \Delta), (I, \Omega)) = U_{2n+1}(K, \Delta)$ for any odd form ideal (I, Ω) . Hence,

$$EU_{2n+1}((K, \Delta), (I, \Omega)), U_{2n+1}((K, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$$

and $CU_{2n+1}((K, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$ are normal subgroups of $U_{2n+1}(K, \Delta)$.

Recall that the level of a conjugacy class C in G is the level of the subgroup generated by C . In Subsec. 4.1 we investigate covering numbers with respect to conjugacy classes of level (K, Δ) . In Subsec. 4.2 we investigate covering numbers with respect to conjugacy classes of level $(0, K \times 0)$.

Lemma 29. *Let C be a conjugacy class in G and σ an element of C . Set*

$$Y := \{\sigma_{ij}, \sigma_{ii} - \sigma_{jj}, \sigma_{i0}J(\Delta), \overline{J(\Delta)}\mu\sigma_{0j}, \overline{J(\Delta)}\mu(\sigma_{00} - \sigma_{jj})J(\Delta) \mid i, j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}, i \neq j\}$$

and

$$Z := \{Q(\sigma_{*j}), (Q(\sigma_{*0}) \dot{-} (1, 0)) \circ y \dot{+} (y, z) \dot{-} (y, z) \circ \sigma_{ii} \mid i, j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}, (y, z) \in \Delta\}.$$

Let I be the involution invariant ideal generated by Y and set $\Omega := \Omega_{\min}^I \dot{+} Z \circ K$. Then (I, Ω) is the level of C .

Proof. If (J, Σ) and (J', Σ') are odd form ideals, then we call (J, Σ) smaller than (J', Σ') if $J \subseteq J'$ and $\Sigma \subseteq \Sigma'$. In order to prove the assertion of the lemma, it suffices to show that (I, Ω) is the smallest odd form ideal such that $\langle C \rangle \subseteq CU_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$. But that follows from [16, Lemma 31]. \square

4.1. Elementary covering numbers with respect to conjugacy classes of level (K, Δ) . We denote by \mathcal{C} the set of all conjugacy classes of level (K, Δ) , by S_{short} the set of all nontrivial short root transvections, and by S_{extra} the set of all nontrivial extra short root transvections. We

will prove that $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{short}}) \leq 4$ and $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{extra}}) \leq 12$. In order to do that we need three lemmas.

Lemma 30. *Any two elements of S_{short} are conjugated.*

Proof. The lemma follows from Lemmas 20 and 21. \square

In the following lemma, we drop the assumption that $n \geq 3$.

Lemma 31. *Let $n \geq 1$ and $\sigma \in \text{U}_{2n+1}(K, \Delta)$. Then either $(\tau\sigma)_{*1} = e_{-1}x$ for some $\tau \in \text{EU}_{2n+1}(K, \Delta)$ and $x \in K$, or $(\tau\sigma)_{*1} = e_2x$ for some $\tau \in \text{EU}_{2n+1}(K, \Delta)$ and $x \in K$, or $\sigma_{*1} = e_1x + e_0y$ for some $x, y \in K$.*

Proof. First suppose that $\sigma_{-1,1} \neq 0$. Then $(\tau\sigma)_{*1} = e_{-1}x$ for some $x \in K$, where $\tau = (\prod_{i \neq 0, \pm 1} T_{i,-1}(*))T_1(*)$. Now suppose that $\sigma_{-1,1} = 0$ and $\sigma_{j1} \neq 0$ for some $j \neq 0, \pm 1$. We may assume that $j = 2$ (conjugate σ by a product of P_{kl} 's). Clearly, $(\tau\sigma)_{*1} = e_2x$ for some $x \in K$ where $\tau = (\prod_{i \neq 0, -1, \pm 2} T_{i2}(*))T_{-2}(*)$. The assertion of the lemma follows. \square

Lemma 32. *Let $\sigma \in G$ be such that $\sigma_{*1} = e_2x$ for some $x \in K$. Then there is a $\tau \in E$ such that $(\tau\sigma)_{*1} = e_2x$ and $(\tau\sigma)_{i,-2} = 0$ for some $i \in \{\pm 3\}$.*

Proof. We may assume that $\sigma_{\pm 3,-2} \neq 0$ (otherwise we can choose $\tau = e$). First suppose that $\sigma_{-1,-2} \neq 0$. Then the assertion of the lemma holds with $\tau = T_{-3,-1}(-\sigma_{-3,-2}(\sigma_{-1,-2})^{-1})$. Suppose now that $\sigma_{-1,-2} = 0$ (note that we also have $\sigma_{-2,-2} = 0$ by Lemma 13). Then the assertion of the lemma holds with

$$\tau = \left(\prod_{i \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3} T_{i,-3}(* \right) T_{-3}(*).$$

\square

Theorem 33. *Let \mathcal{C} denote the set of all conjugacy classes of level (K, Δ) and S_{short} the set of all nontrivial short root transvections. Then*

$$\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{short}}) \leq 4.$$

Proof. Let $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\sigma \in C$. In order to prove the theorem it suffices to show that $\text{scn}_C(S_{\text{short}}) \leq 4$.

Case 1. Suppose that $\sigma_{ij} \neq 0$ for some $i, j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}, i \neq j$. Then there is a product τ of P_{kl} 's such that $(\tau\sigma)_{t1} \neq 0$ for some $t \neq 0, 1$. The proof of Lemma 31 shows that there is a $\rho \in E$ such that either $(\rho\tau\sigma)_{*1} = e_{-1}x$ or $(\rho\tau\sigma)_{*1} = e_2x$ for some $x \in K$. Set $\zeta := \rho\tau\sigma$.

Subcase 1.1. Suppose that $\zeta_{*1} = e_{-1}x$. It follows from Lemma 13 that

$$\zeta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \hat{x} \\ 0 & A & v \\ x & u & z \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $A \in M_{2n-1}(K)$, $u \in M_{1 \times (2n-1)}(K)$, $v \in M_{(2n-1) \times 1}(K)$, and $\hat{x}, z \in K$. Clearly, $A \in U_{2n-1}(K, \Delta)$ by Lemma 12. By Lemma 31 we may assume that $\zeta_{-3,2}$ (the penultimate entry of the first column of A) equals zero. One checks easily that $[T_{31}(1), [T_{1,-2}(1), \zeta]] = T_{3,-2}(1)$. It follows that $T_{3,-2}(1) \in CC^{-1}CC^{-1}$. Thus, $S_{\text{short}} \subseteq CC^{-1}CC^{-1}$ by Lemma 30.

Suppose that $\zeta_{*1} = e_2x$. By Lemma 32, we may assume that $\zeta_{i,-2} = 0$ for some $i \in \{\pm 3\}$. By Lemma 13, we have $\zeta_{-2,*} = (e_{-1}\hat{x})^t$ for some $\hat{x} \in K$. One checks easily that $[T_{2i}(-1), [T_{12}(1), \zeta]] = T_{1i}(1)$. It follows that $T_{1i}(1) \in CC^{-1}CC^{-1}$. Thus, $S_{\text{short}} \subseteq CC^{-1}CC^{-1}$ by Lemma 30.

Suppose that $\sigma_{ij} = 0$ for any $i, j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}, i \neq j$ and $\sigma_{kk} \neq \sigma_{ll}$ for some $k, l \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}, k \neq l$. Clearly $(T_{kl}(1)\sigma)_{kl} = \sigma_{ll} - \sigma_{kk} \neq 0$ and hence one can apply Case 1 to $T_{kl}(1)\sigma$.

Suppose that $\sigma_{ij}, \sigma_{jj} - \sigma_{ii} = 0$ for any $i, j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}, i \neq j$. Then σ has the form

$$\sigma = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} y & & & * & & \\ & \ddots & & \vdots & & \\ & & y & * & & \\ \hline * & \dots & * & * & * & \dots & * \\ \hline & & & * & y & & \\ & & & \vdots & & \ddots & \\ & & & * & & & y \end{array} \right)$$

for some $y \in K$. Since the level of C is (K, Δ) , it follows from Lemma 29 that $\mu \neq 0$ (note that if $\mu = 0$, then $\sigma_{i0} = 0$ for any $i \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$ by Lemma 12).

Subcase 3.1. Suppose that $\sigma_{0j} \neq 0$ for some $j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$. By Lemma 12, we have $(\sigma_{0j}, 0) = Q(\sigma_{*j}) \in \Delta$. Choose an $i \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$ such that $i \neq \pm j$. Then $(T_{i(\sigma_{0j}, 0)}\sigma)_{ij} \neq 0$ and hence one can apply Case 1 to $T_{i(\sigma_{0j}, 0)}\sigma$.

Suppose that $\sigma_{0j} = 0$ for any $j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$. It follows from Lemma 13 that σ is a diagonal matrix. Since the level of C equals (K, Δ) , it follows from Lemma 29 that $J(\Delta) = K$ and $\sigma_{00} \neq \sigma_{11}$. Since $J(\Delta) = K$ we can choose a $y \in K$ such that $(1, y) \in \Delta$. Clearly, $(T_{-1(1,y)}\sigma)_{01} = \sigma_{11} - \sigma_{00} \neq 0$ and hence one can apply Case 1 or Subcase 3.1 to $T_{-1(1,y)}\sigma$. \square

The corollary below follows from Relation (SE2) in Lemma 17.

Corollary 34. *Let \mathcal{C} denote the set of all conjugacy classes of level (K, Δ) and S_{extra} the set of all nontrivial extra short root transvections. Then $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{extra}}) \leq 12$.*

Theorem 35. *Suppose that $\bar{} = \text{id}$, $\lambda = -1$, $\mu = 1$, and $\Delta = \Delta_{\text{max}} = 0 \times K$ (hence G is isomorphic to the symplectic group $\text{Sp}_{2n}(K)$). Moreover, suppose that K has characteristic 2. Then $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{short}}) = 3$ or $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{short}}) = 4$.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 33 it suffices to find an $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\text{scn}_C(S_{\text{short}}) \geq 3$. Let C be the conjugacy class of $T_1(0, 1)$. Note that $C = C^{-1}$ since K has characteristic 2. Assume that $T_{12}(1) \in C$. Then there is a $\sigma \in H$ such that ${}^{\sigma}T_1(0, 1) = T_{12}(1)$. Let u be the first column of σ . It follows from Lemma 15 that ${}^{\sigma}T_1(0, 1) = e + u\tilde{u}$. Since by assumption ${}^{\sigma}T_1(0, 1) = T_{12}(1)$, we obtain $u_1 \neq 0$. But then

$$0 = (T_{12}(1))_{1,-1} = (e + u\tilde{u})_{1,-1} = u_1^2 \neq 0$$

which is absurd.

Assume now that $T_{12}(1) \in CC$. Then there are $\sigma, \tau \in H$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &({}^{\sigma}T_1(0, 1))({}^{\tau}T_1(0, 1)) = T_{12}(1) \\ \Leftrightarrow &{}^{\sigma}T_1(0, 1) = T_{12}(1)({}^{\tau}T_1(0, 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Let u and v be the first columns of σ and τ , respectively. It follows from Lemma 15 that

$$e + u\tilde{u} = T_{12}(1)(e + v\tilde{v}). \quad (1)$$

Let $i \in \Theta \setminus \{1, -2\}$. It follows from Equation (1) that

$$u_i\tilde{u} = v_i\tilde{v}. \quad (2)$$

Clearly, either $u_i, v_i \neq 0$ or $u_i, v_i = 0$. Assume $u_i, v_i \neq 0$. Then $\tilde{v} = v_i^{-1}u_i\tilde{u}$ which implies that $v = uk$ for some nonzero $k \in K$. Choose a $j \in \Theta$ such that $(\tilde{u})_j \neq 0$. It follows from Equation (2) that $u_i(\tilde{u})_j = v_i(\tilde{v})_j = k^2u_i(\tilde{u})_j$ whence $k^2 = 1$. Hence, $v\tilde{v} = uk^2\tilde{u} = u\tilde{u}$ which leads to a contradiction (consider the first two rows of the matrices in Equation (1)). Hence, we have shown that $u_i, v_i = 0$ for any $i \in \Theta \setminus \{1, -2\}$. By considering the entries of the matrices in Equation (1) at positions $(1, 2)$, $(1, -1)$, and $(-2, 2)$, we obtain $u_1u_{-2} = v_1v_{-2} + 1$, $u_1^2 = v_1^2$, and $u_{-2}^2 = v_{-2}^2$. It follows that $(u_1u_{-2})^2 = (v_1v_{-2})^2 + 1 = (u_1u_{-2})^2 + 1$ which is absurd.

We have shown that neither $S_{\text{short}} \subseteq C$ nor $S_{\text{short}} \subseteq CC$. It follows that $\text{scn}_C(S_{\text{short}}) \geq 3$. Thus, $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{short}}) = 3$ or $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{short}}) = 4$ by Theorem 33. \square

Theorem 36. *Suppose that $\bar{} = \text{id}$, $\lambda = -1$, $\mu = 0$, and $\Delta = \Delta_{\max} = K \times K$ (hence G is Proctor's odd symplectic group $\text{Sp}_{2n+1}(K)$, see [3, Example 15(4)]). Moreover, suppose that K has characteristic 2 and contains an element of order ≥ 4 . Then $\text{scn}_C(S_{\text{short}}) = 4$.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 33, it suffices to find an $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\text{scn}_C(S_{\text{short}}) \geq 4$. Choose an $x \in K$ of order ≥ 4 and set

$$\alpha := \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, x, 1, \dots, 1) \in G,$$

where x is at position $(0, 0)$. Let C be the conjugacy class of

$$\beta := \alpha T_1(0, 1) = e + e^{1,-1} + (x - 1)e^{00}.$$

Assume that $T_{12}(1) \in C^{i_1} \dots C^{i_m}$ for some $m \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $i_1, \dots, i_m \in \{\pm 1\}$. Since $\det(\beta) = x$ has order ≥ 4 , it follows that $m = 2$ and $p_1 = -p_2$. We only consider the case $p_1 = 1$ and $p_2 = -1$ and leave the case $p_1 = -1$ and $p_2 = 1$ to the reader. So assume that $T_{12}(1) \in CC^{-1}$. Then there are $\sigma, \tau \in H$ such that

$$\sigma\beta(\tau\beta^{-1}) = T_{12}(1) \Leftrightarrow \sigma\beta = T_{12}(1)(\tau\beta).$$

Let u and v be the first columns of σ and τ , respectively. It follows from Lemmas 12 and 15 that

$$e + u_{\text{hb}}\tilde{u}_{\text{hb}} + e_0w = T_{12}(1)(e + v_{\text{hb}}\tilde{v}_{\text{hb}} + e_0w') \tag{3}$$

for some $w, w' \in M_{1 \times (2n+1)}(K)$. Let $i \in \Theta_{\text{hb}} \setminus \{1, -2\}$. It follows from Equation (3) that

$$u_i\tilde{u}_{\text{hb}} = v_i\tilde{v}_{\text{hb}}. \tag{4}$$

Clearly, either $u_i, v_i \neq 0$ or $u_i, v_i = 0$. Assume $u_i, v_i \neq 0$. Then $\tilde{v}_{\text{hb}} = v_i^{-1}u_i\tilde{u}_{\text{hb}}$ which implies that $v_{\text{hb}} = u_{\text{hb}}k$ for some nonzero $k \in K$. Choose a $j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$ such that $(\tilde{u})_j \neq 0$. It follows from Equation (4) that $u_i(\tilde{u})_j = v_i(\tilde{v})_j = u_ik^2(\tilde{u})_j$ whence $k^2 = 1$. Hence, $v_{\text{hb}}\tilde{v}_{\text{hb}} = u_{\text{hb}}\tilde{u}_{\text{hb}}$ which leads to a contradiction (consider the first two rows of the matrices in Equation (3)). Hence we have shown that $u_i, v_i = 0$ for any $i \in \Theta_{\text{hb}} \setminus \{1, -2\}$. By considering the entries of the matrices in Equation (3) at positions $(1, 2)$, $(1, -1)$, and $(-2, 2)$, we obtain $u_1u_{-2} = v_1v_{-2} + 1$, $u_1^2 = v_1^2$ and $u_{-2}^2 = v_{-2}^2$. It follows that $(u_1u_{-2})^2 = (v_1v_{-2})^2 + 1 = (u_1u_{-2})^2 + 1$ which is absurd.

We have shown that there is no $m \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $i_1, \dots, i_m \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that $S_{\text{short}} \subseteq C^{i_1} \dots C^{i_m}$. It follows that $\text{scn}_C(S_{\text{short}}) \geq 4$. Thus $\text{scn}_C(S_{\text{short}}) = 4$ by Theorem 33. \square

4.2. Elementary covering numbers with respect to conjugacy classes of level $(0, K \times 0)$. In this subsection we assume that $\mu = 0$ and $K \times 0 \subseteq \Delta$. We denote by \mathcal{D} the set of all conjugacy classes of level $(0, K \times 0)$ and by T the set of all nontrivial $(0, K \times 0)$ -elementary extra short root transvections. We will determine $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{D}}(T)$.

Lemma 37. *Any two elements of T are conjugated.*

Proof. The lemma follows from Lemmas 20 and 21. □

Lemma 38. *Let \mathcal{D} denote the set of all conjugacy classes of level $(0, K \times 0)$, and S the set of all nontrivial $(0, K \times 0)$ -elementary extra short root transvections. Then $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{D}}(T) \leq 2$.*

Proof. Let $D \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\sigma \in D$. In order to prove the theorem it suffices to show that $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{D}}(T) \leq 2$. Since the level of D equals $(0, K \times 0)$, there is an $x \in K$ and $u, v \in M_{1 \times n}(K)$ such that

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} e_{n \times n} & 0 & 0 \\ u & x & v \\ 0 & 0 & e_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Case 1. Suppose that $\sigma_{0i} \neq 0$ for some $i \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$. We may assume that $\sigma_{0j} = 0$ for some $j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}} \setminus \{\pm i\}$ (conjugate σ by $T_{ij}(-\sigma_{0i}^{-1}\sigma_{0j})$). One checks easily that $[\sigma, T_{i,-j}(1)] = T_j(\sigma_{0i}, 0)$. It follows that $T_j(\sigma_{0i}, 0) \in DD^{-1}$. Thus, $S \subseteq DD^{-1}$ by Lemma 37.

Suppose that $\sigma_{0i} = 0$ for any $i \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$. Then $x \neq 1$ since the level of D equals $(0, K \times 0)$. One checks easily that $[\sigma, T_1(1, 0)] = T_1(x - 1, 0)$. It follows that $T_1(x - 1, 0) \in DD^{-1}$. Thus, $S \subseteq DD^{-1}$ by Lemma 37. □

Theorem 39. *$\text{scn}_{\mathcal{D}}(T) = 1$ if $K = \mathbb{F}_2$ and $(0, 1) \in \Delta$, and $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{D}}(T) = 2$ otherwise.*

Proof. Case 1. Suppose that $K = \mathbb{F}_2$ and $(0, 1) \in \Delta$. Let $D \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\sigma \in D$. Since the level of D equals $(0, K \times 0)$, there are $u, v \in M_{1 \times n}(K)$ such that

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} e_{n \times n} & 0 & 0 \\ u & 1 & v \\ 0 & 0 & e_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, $\sigma_{0i} = 1$ for some $i \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$. One checks easily that $\sigma^\tau = T_{-i}(1, 0)$, where $\tau = (\prod_{j \neq \pm i} T_{ij}(*))T_i(0, *)$. It follows that $T_{-i}(1, 0) \in D$. Thus, $S \subseteq D$ by Lemma 37.

Suppose that $K = \mathbb{F}_2$ and $(0, 1) \notin \Delta$. Set $\sigma := T_1(1, 0)T_{-1}(1, 0) \in G$ and let D be the conjugacy class of σ . Then the level of D equals $(0, K \times 0)$. Assume that $\text{scn}_D(T) = 1$. Then there is a $\tau \in H$ such that ${}^\tau\sigma = T_{-1}(1, 0)$. Since $\sigma = e + e_{01} + e_{0,-1} = e + e_0(e_1^t + e_{-1}^t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\tau\sigma &= T_{-1}(1, 0) \\ \Leftrightarrow e + e_0(e_1^t + e_{-1}^t)\tau^{-1} &= e + e_0e_1^t \\ \Leftrightarrow e_0(e_1^t + e_{-1}^t) &= e_0e_1^t\tau \\ \Leftrightarrow e_0(e_1^t + e_{-1}^t) &= e_0\tau_{1*} \\ \Leftrightarrow e_1^t + e_{-1}^t &= \tau_{1*}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 12 that $\tau'_{*, -1} = e_1 + e_0x + e_{-1}$ for some $x \in \mathbb{F}_2$. Hence, $(x, 1) = Q(\tau'_{*, -1}) \in \Delta$ (also by Lemma 12). Since by assumption $(0, 1) \notin \Delta$, we obtain $(1, 1) \in \Delta$. Since $(1, 0) \in \Delta$, it follows that $(0, 1) = (1, 1) + (1, 0) \in \Delta$ which contradicts the assumption that $(0, 1) \notin \Delta$. Hence $\text{scn}_D(T) \geq 2$. It follows from Lemma 38 that $\text{scn}_D(T) = 2$.

Suppose that $K \neq \mathbb{F}_2$. Choose an $x \in K \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Let D be the conjugacy class of $\text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, x, 1, \dots, 1) \in G$, where x is in position $(0, 0)$. Then the level of D equals $(0, K \times 0)$. Since $\det(\text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, x, 1, \dots, 1)) = x \neq 1$, we have $\text{scn}_D(T) \geq 2$. It follows from Lemma 38 that $\text{scn}_D(T) = 2$. \square

4.3. Some open questions. As in Subsec. 4.1 we denote by \mathcal{C} the set of all conjugacy classes of level (K, Δ) , by S_{short} the set of all nontrivial short root transvections and by S_{extra} the set of all nontrivial extra short root transvections. By Theorem 33, we have $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{short}}) \leq 4$. By Theorem 36, 4 is the optimal uniform bound for $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{short}})$ (valid for all Hermitian form fields (K, Δ) and $n \geq 3$). One can ask Questions 40 and 41 below.

Question 40. Can the bound $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{short}}) \leq 4$ be improved if one restricts to Hermitian form fields (K, Δ) , where $\mu \neq 0$ (i.e., the Hermitian form B is nondegenerate) or 2 is invertible?

Question 41. What is the optimal bound for $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{short}})$ for the classical Chevalley groups $\text{Sp}_{2n}(K)$, $\text{O}_{2n}(K)$ and $\text{O}_{2n+1}(K)$, respectively?

By Corollary 34, we have $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{extra}}) \leq 12$. But it could be the case that this bound is not optimal.

Question 42. What is the optimal bound for $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{extra}})$?

REFERENCES

1. Z. Arad, J. Stavi, and M. Herzog, *Powers and products of conjugacy classes in groups*. In: Z. Arad, M. Herzog, *Products of conjugacy classes in groups*, Lect. Notes Math. **1112**, Springer, 1985.
2. A. Bak, *The stable structure of quadratic modules*, PhD thesis, Columbia University, 1969.
3. A. Bak and R. Preusser, *The E-normal structure of odd dimensional unitary groups*. — J. Pure Appl. Algebra **222** (2018), 2823–2880.
4. A. Beltran, M. J. Felipe, and C. Melchor, *Some problems about products of conjugacy classes in finite groups*. — Int. J. Group Theory **9** (2020), 59–68.
5. E. W. Ellers, N. Gordeev, and M. Herzog, *Covering numbers for Chevalley groups*. — Israel J. Math. **111** (1999), 339–372.
6. N. Gordeev, *Products of conjugacy classes in algebraic groups I*. — J. Algebra **173** (1995), 715–744.
7. N. Gordeev, *Products of conjugacy classes in algebraic groups II*. — J. Algebra **173** (1995), 745–779.
8. N. Gordeev and J. Saxl, *Products of conjugacy classes in Chevalley groups I. Extended covering numbers*. — Isr. J. Math. **130** (2002), 207–248.
9. N. Gordeev and J. Saxl, *Products of conjugacy classes in Chevalley groups II. Covering and generation*. — Isr. J. Math. **130** (2002), 249–258.
10. R. Guralnick, G. Malle, and P. Huu Tiep, *Products of conjugacy classes in finite and algebraic simple groups*. — Adv. Math. **234** (2013), 618–652.
11. F. Knüppel and K. Nielsen, *The extended covering number of SL_n is $n + 1$* . — Linear Algebra Appl. **418** (2006), 634–656.
12. A. Lev, *Products of cyclic conjugacy classes in the groups $PSL(n, F)$* . — Linear Algebra Appl. **179** (1993), 59–83.
13. A. Lev, *Products of cyclic similarity classes in the group $GL_n(F)$* . — Linear Algebra Appl. **202** (1994), 235–266.
14. A. Lev, *The covering number of the group $PSL_n(F)$* . — J. Algebra **182** (1996), 60–84.
15. V. A. Petrov, *Odd unitary groups*. — J. Math. Sci. **130**, No. 3 (2005), 4752–4766.
16. R. Preusser, *The subnormal structure of classical-like groups over commutative rings*, to appear in J. Group Theory, <https://doi.org/10.1515/jgth-2020-0136>.
17. D. M. Rodgers and J. Saxl, *Products of conjugacy classes in the special linear groups*. — Comm. Algebra **31** (2003), 4623–4638.
18. L. N. Vaserstein and E. Wheland, *Products of conjugacy classes of two by two matrices*. — Linear Algebra Appl. **230** (1995), 165–188.

Chebyshev Laboratory,
St. Petersburg State University, Russia
E-mail: raimund.preusser@gmx.de

Поступило 27 апреля 2021 г.