

M. Fuchs, G. Zhang

ON ENTIRE SOLUTIONS OF THE EQUATIONS
FOR THE DISPLACEMENT FIELDS IN THE
DEFORMATION THEORY OF PLASTICITY WITH
LOGARITHMIC HARDENING

ABSTRACT. Let $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ denote an entire solution of the homogeneous Euler-Lagrange equation associated to the energy used in the deformation theory of plasticity with logarithmic hardening. If $|u(x)|$ is of slower growth than $|x|$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, then u must be constant. Moreover we show that u is affine if either $\sup_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u| < \infty$ or $\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{-1} |u(x)| < \infty$.

In their paper [5], Frehse and Seregin propose to approximate the Henc-ky model used in perfect plasticity (cf. [4, 11] or [12]) by a variational problem formulated in terms of the displacement fields, in which the energy density $G(\varepsilon(u))$ is of quadratic growth with respect to the trace of $\varepsilon(u)$ and of $L \log L$ -growth with respect to the deviator $\varepsilon^D(u) = \varepsilon(u) - \frac{1}{n}(\operatorname{div} u)\mathbf{1}$ of $\varepsilon(u)$. Here u is a displacement field defined on some region in \mathbb{R}^n , $\varepsilon(u)$ denotes the symmetric part of the Jacobian matrix of u and $\mathbf{1}$ is the unit matrix. Modulo physical constants we have in the case of logarithmic hardening

$$G(\varepsilon) = h(|\varepsilon^D|) + \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{trace} \varepsilon)^2 \quad (1)$$

for symmetric $(n \times n)$ -matrices ε , where

$$h(t) = t \ln(1 + t), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

Frehse and Seregin discuss solvability of the associated boundary value problems in suitable weak spaces and prove smoothness of local solutions at least in the case that $n = 2$. Later Seregin and the first author (see [7]) established partial regularity in the $3D$ case.

Key words and phrases: plasticity, logarithmic hardening, deformation theory, entire solutions.

The second author is supported by the Academy of Finland, project 127639.

A related problem arises in the study of certain models describing the flow of generalized Newtonian fluids, for which the stress-strain relation takes the form

$$T^D = DH(\varepsilon). \quad (3)$$

If we let

$$H(\varepsilon) = h(|\varepsilon|) \quad (4)$$

with h defined in equation (2), then (3) is the constitutive law for the so-called Prandtl–Eyring fluid, which has been the subject of the paper [7] and also of the monograph [8]. Very recently the authors discussed the behaviour of entire solutions of this fluid model at least in the stationary case for two spatial variables and proved Liouville-type results (see [9]). The purpose of the present paper now is the investigation of planar entire solutions in the setting of plasticity with logarithmic hardening.

Definition 1. A field $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ of class C^1 is an entire local minimizer of the energy

$$I[v, \Omega] = \int_{\Omega} G(\varepsilon(v)) dx \quad (5)$$

with density G defined according to Eqs. (1) and (2) if for any bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and all fields $v : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\text{spt}(u - v)$ is compactly contained in Ω it holds

$$I[u, \Omega] \leq I[v, \Omega].$$

Remark 1. The smoothness assumption concerning u in Definition 1 is justified by the results in [5].

Remark 2. If u is an entire local I -minimizer, then it holds

$$\int_{\Omega} DH(\varepsilon^D(u)) : \varepsilon^D(\varphi) dx + \int_{\Omega} \text{div } u \text{ div } \varphi dx = 0 \quad (6)$$

for any domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and all fields $\varphi \in C_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$. In equation (6) the symbol “:” is the scalar product of matrices and H is introduced in Eq. (4).

Now we can state our main results:

Theorem 1. Let $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ denote an entire local I -minimizer (cf. Eq. (5)) in the sense of Definition 1. If u satisfies the asymptotic condition

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|u(x)|}{|x|} = 0, \quad (7)$$

then the displacement field u is a constant vector. In particular, the boundedness of the field implies its constancy.

The next theorem concerns entire solutions satisfying a global Lipschitz condition.

Theorem 2. *Consider an entire local I -minimizer $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ in the sense of Definition 1. If we know that $|\nabla u| \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then u must be affine.*

Finally we relax the global boundedness of the gradient by imposing a growth condition on u :

Theorem 3. *If the entire local I -minimizer $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ satisfies $\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{-1} |u(x)| < \infty$, then u must be affine.*

Remark 3. It would be interesting to know what can be said about entire solutions in the 3D-case. Due to the lack of regularity (cf. [7, 8]) one either has to deal with weak local minimizers or the smoothness of u has to be imposed as a severe extra condition. In the latter case we think that for $n = 3$ condition (7) has to be replaced by $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|u(x)|}{\sqrt{|x|}} = 0$ in order to obtain the constancy of u , and this conclusion probably also holds in the case that $\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|u(x)|}{\sqrt{|x|}} < \infty$ (compare the proof of Theorem 3).

For the proof of Theorem 1 we need two auxiliary results:

Lemma 1. (Korn-type inequality) *For fields $v : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ with compact support it holds*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 dx \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\varepsilon^D(v)|^2 dx. \quad (8)$$

Korn-type inequalities involving ε^D have been established by Reshetnyak [13] in a much more general setting. Recently Dain rediscovered these estimates in the L^2 -setting (see [3]), and the first author together with Bildhauer proved variants in the context of Orlicz–Sobolev spaces (cf. [6]).

The next lemma is essentially due to Giaquinta and Modica (compare Lemma 0.5 in [10]), in the formulation given below it corresponds to Lemma 3.1 in [9].

Lemma 2. *Let f, f_1, \dots, f_ℓ denote non-negative functions from the space $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and suppose that we are given exponents $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell > 0$. Then*

we can find a number $\delta_0 > 0$ depending on $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell$ as follows: if for $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ it is possible to calculate a constant $c(\delta) > 0$ such that the inequality

$$\int_{Q_R(z)} f \, dx \leq \delta \int_{Q_{2R}(z)} f \, dx + c(\delta) \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} R^{-\alpha_j} \int_{Q_{2R}(z)} f_j \, dx$$

holds for any choice of $Q_R(z) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x_i - z_i| < R, i = 1, 2\}$, then there is a constant $c > 0$ with the property

$$\int_{Q_R(z)} f \, dx \leq c \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} R^{-\alpha_j} \int_{Q_{2R}(z)} f_j \, dx$$

again for all squares $Q_R(z)$.

Remark 4. Of course Lemma 2 extends to \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, replacing squares by cubes, and it is easy to see that estimate (8) remains valid in higher dimensions.

Now we pass to the *proof of Theorem 1* proceeding in several steps.

Step 1. A growth estimate for the energy

We fix a square $Q_{2R}(x_0)$ and choose $\eta \in C_0^1(Q_{2R}(x_0))$ such that $\eta = 1$ on $Q_R(x_0)$, $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $|\nabla \eta| \leq c/R$. Then we apply equation (6) by selecting $\varphi = \eta^2 u$. We get with H defined in (4)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} \eta^2 DH(\varepsilon^D(u)) : \varepsilon^D(u) \, dx + \int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} \eta^2 (\operatorname{div} u)^2 \, dx \\ &= -2 \int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} \eta DH(\varepsilon^D(u)) : (\nabla \eta \otimes u)^D \, dx - 2 \int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} \eta \operatorname{div} u \nabla \eta \cdot u \, dx \\ &\leq c \left[\int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} \eta h'(|\varepsilon^D(u)|) |\nabla \eta| |u| \, dx + \int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} \eta |\operatorname{div} u| |\nabla \eta| |u| \, dx \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Using Young's inequality we obtain for any $\delta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \eta h'(|\varepsilon^D(u)|) |\nabla \eta| |u| &\leq \delta \eta^2 h'(|\varepsilon^D(u)|) |\varepsilon^D(u)| + \delta^{-1} |\nabla \eta|^2 \frac{h'(|\varepsilon^D(u)|)}{|\varepsilon^D(u)|} |u|^2, \\ \eta |\operatorname{div} u| |\nabla \eta| |u| &\leq \delta \eta^2 (\operatorname{div} u)^2 + \delta^{-1} |\nabla \eta|^2 |u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting these estimates in inequality (9) and observing that $\frac{h'(t)}{t} \leq 2$, we deduce after appropriate choice of δ and recalling the properties of η

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_R(x_0)} G(\varepsilon(u)) \, dx &= \int_{Q_R(x_0)} \left[H(\varepsilon^D(u)) + \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{div} u)^2 \right] \, dx \\ &\leq cR^{-2} \int_{Q_{2R}(x_0) - \overline{Q}_R(x_0)} |u|^2 \, dx. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

In particular, if we choose $x_0 = 0$ and abbreviate

$$\Theta(R) := \sup \{ |x|^{-1} |u(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^2 - \overline{Q}_R \},$$

then (10) implies

$$\int_{Q_R} G(\varepsilon(u)) \, dx \leq cR^2 \Theta(R)^2 \quad (11)$$

with $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \Theta(R) = 0$ according to our hypothesis (7).

Step 2. Discussion of the second derivatives

Returning to equation (6) and performing an integration by parts we get for $\alpha = 1, 2$ and $\varphi \in C_0^1(Q_{\frac{3}{2}R}(x_0))$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{Q_{\frac{3}{2}R}(x_0)} D^2 H(\varepsilon^D(u)) (\varepsilon^D(\partial_\alpha u), \varepsilon^D(\varphi)) \, dx \\ &+ \int_{Q_{\frac{3}{2}R}(x_0)} \operatorname{div}(\partial_\alpha u) \operatorname{div} \varphi \, dx. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

In Eq. (12), we choose $\varphi = \eta^2 \partial_\alpha u$ (from now on summation with respect to $\alpha = 1, 2$), where η is as in Step 1 with $2R$ replaced by $\frac{3}{2}R$. From (12) we easily obtain by applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to the quantity

$$D^2 H(\varepsilon^D(u)) (\eta \varepsilon^D(\partial_\alpha u), (\nabla \eta \otimes \partial_\alpha u)^D)$$

and appropriate use of Young's inequality (observing the boundedness of $|D^2 H(\varepsilon^D(u))|$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_{\frac{3}{2}R}(x_0)} D^2 H(\partial_\alpha \varepsilon^D(u), \partial_\alpha \varepsilon^D(u)) \eta^2 dx \\ & + \int_{Q_{\frac{3}{2}R}(x_0)} \eta^2 |\nabla(\operatorname{div} u)|^2 dx \leq c \int_{Q_{\frac{3}{2}R}(x_0)} |\nabla \eta|^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

hence by the properties of η

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_R(x_0)} D^2 H(\varepsilon^D(u)) (\varepsilon^D(\partial_\alpha u), \varepsilon^D(\partial_\alpha u)) dx \\ & + \int_{Q_R(x_0)} |\nabla(\operatorname{div} u)|^2 dx \leq cR^{-2} \int_{Q_{\frac{3}{2}R}(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 dx, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

and inequality (13) holds for all squares $Q_R(x_0)$. Note that (13) implies that entire local minimizers having finite Dirichlet integral must be affine. This follows by letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ and observing that on the right-hand side of (13) the domain of integration can be replaced by $Q_{\frac{3}{2}R}(x_0) - \overline{Q_R(x_0)}$. In order to control $\int_{Q_{\frac{3}{2}R}(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 dx$ we choose $\Psi \in C_0^1(Q_{2R}(x_0))$ such that

$0 \leq \Psi \leq 1$, $\Psi = 1$ on $Q_{\frac{3}{2}R}(x_0)$ and $|\nabla \Psi| \leq c/R$. From estimate (8) in Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_{\frac{3}{2}R}(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 dx & \leq c \left[\int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} |\nabla(\Psi u)|^2 dx + \int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} |\nabla \Psi|^2 |u|^2 dx \right] \\ & \leq c \left[\int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} |\varepsilon^D(\Psi u)|^2 dx + \int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} |\nabla \Psi|^2 |u|^2 dx \right] \\ & \leq c \left[\int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} \Psi^2 |\varepsilon^D(u)|^2 dx + R^{-2} \int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} |u|^2 dx \right] \end{aligned}$$

or by the support properties of Ψ

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_{\frac{3}{2}R}(x_0)} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ & \leq c \left[\int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} \Psi^2 |\varepsilon^D(u)|^2 dx + R^{-2} \int_{Q_{2R}(x_0) - Q_{\frac{3}{2}R}(x_0)} |u|^2 dx \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

In order to proceed we observe

$$\varepsilon_{ij}^D(u) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x_j} \right) - \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{div} u) \delta_{ij},$$

hence by the symmetry of $\varepsilon^D(u)$ and the fact that $\varepsilon_{ij}^D(u) \delta_{ij} = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} \Psi^2 |\varepsilon^D(u)|^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} \Psi^2 \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x_i} \right) - (\operatorname{div} u) \delta_{ij} \right\} \varepsilon_{ij}^D(u) dx \\ &= - \int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} \partial_i (\Psi^2 \varepsilon_{ij}^D(u)) u^j dx. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} \Psi^2 |\varepsilon^D(u)|^2 dx \\ & \leq c \left[\int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} |\nabla \Psi^2| |u| |\varepsilon^D(u)| dx + \int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} \Psi^2 |\nabla \varepsilon^D(u)| |u| dx \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq c \left[R^{-1} \int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} |u| |\varepsilon^D(u)| dx + \delta \int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} \frac{|\nabla \varepsilon^D(u)|^2}{1 + |\varepsilon^D(u)|} dx \right. \\ \left. + \delta^{-1} \int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} |u|^2 (1 + |\varepsilon^D(u)|) dx \right].$$

Let $\omega := D^2 H(\varepsilon^D(u)) (\partial_\alpha \varepsilon^D(u), \partial_\alpha \varepsilon^D(u))$. If we combine (13), (14) and the inequalities from above, we obtain for any $\delta > 0$ and all squares $Q_R(x_0)$

$$\int_{Q_R(x_0)} \omega dx + \int_{Q_R(x_0)} |\nabla(\operatorname{div} u)|^2 dx \quad (15) \\ \leq c \left[R^{-4} \int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} |u|^2 dx + R^{-2} \delta \int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} \omega dx \right. \\ \left. + R^{-3} \int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} |u| |\varepsilon^D(u)| dx + R^{-2} \delta^{-1} \int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} |u|^2 (1 + |\varepsilon^D(u)|) dx \right].$$

Replacing δ by $\delta' R^2$ an application of Lemma 2 yields

$$\int_{Q_R(x_0)} \omega dx + \int_{Q_R(x_0)} |\nabla(\operatorname{div} u)|^2 dx \\ \leq c \left[R^{-4} \int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} |u|^2 dx + R^{-3} \int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} |u| |\varepsilon^D(u)| dx \quad (15') \right. \\ \left. + R^{-4} \int_{Q_{2R}(x_0)} |u|^2 (1 + |\varepsilon^D(u)|) dx \right].$$

Let $x_0 = 0$ and $R \geq 1$. From our hypothesis (7) we obtain $|u(x)| \leq cR$ on Q_{2R} . Therefore (15') implies

$$\int_{Q_R} \omega dx + \int_{Q_R} |\nabla(\operatorname{div} u)|^2 dx \leq c \left[R^{-4} R^4 + R^{-2} \int_{Q_{2R}} |\varepsilon^D(u)| dx \right]. \quad (16)$$

Clearly we have $(Q^+ := Q_{2R} \cap [|\varepsilon^D(u)| \geq 1], Q^- := \dots)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_{2R}} |\varepsilon^D(u)| \, dx &= \int_{Q^+} |\varepsilon^D(u)| \, dx + \int_{Q^-} |\varepsilon^D(u)| \, dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_{Q^-} 1 \, dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{Q^-} |\varepsilon^D(u)|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{\ln 2} \int_{Q^+} H(\varepsilon^D(u)) \, dx \\ &\leq cR \left(\int_{Q_{2R}} H(\varepsilon^D(u)) \, dx \right)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{\ln 2} \int_{Q_{2R}} H(\varepsilon^D(u)) \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

and if we use (10), we find

$$\int_{Q_{2R}} |\varepsilon^D(u)| \, dx \leq cR^2. \quad (17)$$

This shows that the right-hand side of (16) stays bounded as $R \rightarrow \infty$, which means

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \omega \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla(\operatorname{div} u)|^2 \, dx < \infty. \quad (18)$$

Step 3. Conclusion

We claim that the integral in (18) vanishes. In order to prove this we choose $x_0 = 0$ and return to inequality (13) recalling that in place of (13) we actually have

$$\int_{Q_R} |\nabla(\operatorname{div} u)|^2 \, dx + \int_{Q_R} \omega \, dx \leq cR^{-2} \int_{Q_{\frac{3}{2}R} - \overline{Q}_R} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx.$$

Let $\Psi \in C_0^1(Q_{2R} - \overline{Q}_{R/2})$ such that $0 \leq \Psi \leq 1$ and $\Psi = 1$ on $Q_{\frac{3}{2}R} - \overline{Q}_R$ together with $|\nabla \Psi| \leq c/R$. Observing

$$\int_{Q_{\frac{3}{2}R} - \overline{Q}_R} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \leq c \left[\int_{Q_{2R} - \overline{Q}_{R/2}} |\nabla(\Psi u)|^2 \, dx + R^{-2} \int_{Q_{2R} - \overline{Q}_{R/2}} |u|^2 \, dx \right]$$

we obtain a variant of (14), in which now the term $\int_{Q_{2R}-\overline{Q}_{R/2}} \Psi^2 |\varepsilon^D(u)|^2 dx$ occurs on the right-hand side. Proceeding as before we get in place of (15)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_R} \omega dx + \int_{Q_R} |\nabla(\operatorname{div} u)|^2 dx \\ & \leq c \left[R^{-4} \int_{Q_{2R}-\overline{Q}_{R/2}} |u|^2 dx + R^{-2} \delta \int_{Q_{2R}-\overline{Q}_{R/2}} \omega dx \right. \\ & \quad \left. + R^{-3} \int_{Q_{2R}-\overline{Q}_{R/2}} |u| |\varepsilon^D(u)| dx + R^{-2} \delta^{-1} \int_{Q_{2R}-\overline{Q}_{R/2}} |u|^2 (1 + |\varepsilon^D(u)|) dx \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Let $\delta := \frac{1}{2c} R^2$. Inequality (19) then yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_R} \omega dx + \int_{Q_R} |\nabla(\operatorname{div} u)|^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{2R}-\overline{Q}_{R/2}} \omega dx \\ & + c \left[\Theta^2(R/2) + \Theta(R/2) R^{-2} \int_{Q_{2R}} |\varepsilon^D(u)| dx \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \Theta^2(R/2) R^{-2} \int_{Q_{2R}} (1 + |\varepsilon^D(u)|) dx \right], \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

and if we use (17) and (18) together with the hypothesis that $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \Theta(R) = 0$, estimate (20) implies after passing to the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$ that ω as well as $\nabla(\operatorname{div} u)$ must vanish, thus $\nabla \varepsilon(u) \equiv 0$. But then it holds $\nabla^2 u \equiv 0$, which means that u is affine and thereby constant on account of our assumption (7). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

For *proving Theorem 2* we observe that boundedness of $|\nabla u|$ implies the estimate

$$|u(x)| \leq cR, \quad x \in Q_{2R},$$

provided $R \geq 1$. Using this information we again arrive at inequality (18), and this estimate can be restated in the form (recall (1) and (2))

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} D^2 G(\varepsilon(u)) (\partial_\alpha \varepsilon(u), \partial_\alpha \varepsilon(u)) dx < \infty.$$

Note that this is also a direct consequence of estimate (13). Using $|\nabla^2 u| \leq c|\nabla \varepsilon(u)|$ together with the boundedness of $\varepsilon(u)$ we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla^2 u|^2 dx < \infty. \quad (21)$$

Similar to equation (12) it holds ($\alpha = 1, 2$)

$$0 = \int_{Q_{2R}} D^2 G(\varepsilon(u)) (\partial_\alpha \varepsilon(u), \varepsilon(\varphi)) dx,$$

and we may choose $\varphi = \eta^2 \partial_\alpha u$ with $\eta \in C_0^1(Q_{2R})$ such that $\eta = 1$ on Q_R , $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and $|\nabla \eta| \leq c/R$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_{2R}} D^2 G(\varepsilon(u)) (\partial_\alpha \varepsilon(u), \partial_\alpha \varepsilon(u)) \eta^2 dx \\ &= -2 \int_{Q_{2R} - \overline{Q}_R} D^2 G(\varepsilon(u)) (\partial_\alpha \varepsilon(u), \nabla \eta \otimes \partial_\alpha u) \eta dx, \end{aligned}$$

and the boundedness of $\varepsilon(u)$ yields (recall $|\nabla^2 u| \leq c|\nabla \varepsilon(u)|$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_R} |\nabla^2 u|^2 dx \leq cR^{-1} \int_{Q_{2R} - \overline{Q}_R} |\nabla^2 u| |\nabla u| dx \\ & \leq cR^{-1} \left(\int_{Q_{2R} - \overline{Q}_R} |\nabla^2 u|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{Q_{2R} - \overline{Q}_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}; \end{aligned}$$

hence, by the boundedness of the gradient,

$$\int_{Q_R} |\nabla^2 u|^2 dx \leq c \left(\int_{Q_{2R} - \overline{Q}_R} |\nabla^2 u|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}. \quad (22)$$

On account of (21) the right-hand side of (22) vanishes as $R \rightarrow \infty$, thus $\nabla^2 u \equiv 0$, which proves Theorem 2. \square

Let us finally discuss the *proof of Theorem 3*. As remarked in the beginning of the proof of Theorem 2 the growth condition imposed now on u is still sufficient to get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} D^2 G(\varepsilon(u)) (\partial_\alpha \varepsilon(u), \partial_\alpha \varepsilon(u)) \, dx < \infty. \quad (23)$$

We return to equation (12) choosing $x_0 = 0$, $R \geq 1$, and select η as done after (12). The Cauchy–Schwarz inequality together with Hölder’s estimate implies $(\xi_\alpha := \partial_\alpha u \otimes \nabla \eta^2)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_R} D^2 G(\varepsilon(u)) (\partial_\alpha \varepsilon(u), \partial_\alpha \varepsilon(u)) \, dx &= \int_{Q_R} \omega \, dx + \int_{Q_R} |\nabla(\operatorname{div} u)|^2 \, dx \\ &\leq c \left[\left(\int_{Q_{\frac{3}{2}R} - \overline{Q}_R} \omega \, dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{Q_{\frac{3}{2}R} - \overline{Q}_R} D^2 H(\varepsilon^D(u)) (\xi_\alpha^D, \xi_\alpha^D) \, dx \right)^{1/2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\int_{Q_{\frac{3}{2}R} - \overline{Q}_R} |\nabla(\operatorname{div} u)|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{Q_{\frac{3}{2}R} - \overline{Q}_R} |\nabla u|^2 |\nabla \eta|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

hence we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_R} \omega \, dx + \int_{Q_R} |\nabla(\operatorname{div} u)|^2 \, dx & \\ &\leq cR^{-1} \left(\int_{Q_{\frac{3}{2}R} - \overline{Q}_R} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} \left\{ \int_{Q_{\frac{3}{2}R} - \overline{Q}_R} \omega \, dx + \int_{Q_{\frac{3}{2}R} - \overline{Q}_R} |\nabla(\operatorname{div} u)|^2 \, dx \right\}^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

and with (23) and (24) our claim will follow by letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ as soon as we can show

$$\int_{Q_R} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \leq cR^2 \quad (25)$$

for all $R \geq 1$. For proving (25) we recall that by (14) it holds

$$\int_{Q_{\frac{3}{2}R}} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \leq c \left\{ \int_{Q_{2R}} \Psi^2 |\varepsilon^D(u)|^2 \, dx + R^{-2} \int_{Q_{2R} - \overline{Q}_{\frac{3}{2}R}} |u|^2 \, dx \right\} \quad (26)$$

with Ψ defined after (13), and from (26) in combination with our growth assumption imposed on u we infer that it remains to bound the quantity $\int_{Q_{2R}} \Psi^2 |\varepsilon^D(u)|^2 dx$ in terms of R^2 . Proceeding as done after inequality (14) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_{2R}} \Psi^2 |\varepsilon^D(u)|^2 dx &\leq c \left\{ \int_{Q_{2R}} |\nabla \Psi^2| |u| |\varepsilon^D(u)| dx + \int_{Q_{2R}} \Psi^2 |u| |\nabla \varepsilon^D(u)| dx \right\} \\ &\leq c \left\{ \frac{1}{R} \int_{Q_{2R}} |u| |\varepsilon^D(u)| dx + \left(\int_{Q_{2R}} \frac{|\nabla \varepsilon^D(u)|^2}{1 + |\varepsilon^D(u)|} dx \right)^{1/2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(\int_{Q_{2R}} |u|^2 (1 + |\varepsilon^D(u)|) dx \right)^{1/2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

On Q_{2R} it holds $|u(x)| \leq cR$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{Q_{2R}} \Psi^2 |\varepsilon^D(u)|^2 dx \\ &\leq c \left\{ \int_{Q_{2R}} |\varepsilon^D(u)| dx + R \left(\int_{Q_{2R}} (1 + |\varepsilon^D(u)|) dx \right)^{1/2} \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where we also made use of (23) to bound the term involving $\nabla \varepsilon^D(u)$. The discussion following inequality (16) shows

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{Q_{2R}} |\varepsilon^D(u)| dx \\ &\leq cR \left(\int_{Q_{2R}} H(\varepsilon^D(u)) dx \right)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{\ln 2} \int_{Q_{2R}} H(\varepsilon^D(u)) dx, \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

and if we go back to estimate (10) (being valid without any growth hypothesis imposed on u) we find that now this inequality yields

$$\int_{Q_{2R}} H(\varepsilon^D(u)) dx \leq cR^2. \tag{29}$$

Finally, we combine the inequalities (28) and (29) with the result that

$$\int_{Q_{2R}} |\varepsilon^D(u)| \, dx \leq cR^2, \quad (30)$$

and we see that (27) and (30) imply the correct bound for

$$\int_{Q_{2R}} \Psi^2 |\varepsilon^D(u)|^2 \, dx.$$

Thus we have established (25) and the proof of Theorem 3 is complete. \square

Remark 5. We leave it to the reader to discuss Theorem 1, 2, and 3 for nonlinear Hencky materials, which means that the energy density from equation (1) is replaced by

$$W(\varepsilon) := \Phi(|\varepsilon^D|) + \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{tr} \varepsilon)^2$$

for a “general” N -function Φ (compare, e.g. [1] for a definition). We refer to the article [2], where one will find natural hypotheses to be imposed on Φ under which one can expect a Liouville-type result.

REFERENCES

1. R. A. Adams, *Sobolev spaces*. Academic Press, New York-San-Francisco-London, 1975.
2. M. Bildhauer, M. Fuchs, *Differentiability and higher integrability results for local minimizers of splitting type variational integrals in 2D with applications to nonlinear Hencky materials*. — *Calc. Var.* **37** (2010), 167–186.
3. S. Dain, *Generalized Korn's inequality and conformal Killing vectors*. — *Calc. Var.* **25** (4) (2006), 535–540.
4. G. Duvaut, J. L. Lions, *Les Inéquations en Mécanique et en Physique*. Dunod, Paris, 1972.
5. J. Frehse, G. Seregin, *Regularity for solutions of variational problems in the deformation theory of plasticity with logarithmic hardening*. — *Transl. Amer. Math. Soc.* II **193** (1999), 127–152.
6. M. Fuchs, M. Bildhauer, *Compact embeddings of the space of functions with bounded logarithmic deformation*. — *J. Math. Sci.* **172**(1) (2011), 165–183.
7. M. Fuchs, G. Seregin, *Variational methods for fluids of Prandtl–Eyring type and plastic materials with logarithmic hardening*. — *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* **22** (1999), 317–351.
8. M. Fuchs, G. Seregin, *Variational methods for problems from plasticity theory and for generalized Newtonian fluids*. — *Lect. Notes Math.* **1749**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 2000.

9. M. Fuchs, G. Zhang, *Liouville theorems for entire local minimizers of energies defined on the class $L \log L$ and for entire solutions of the stationary Prandtl-Eyring fluid model.* — Calc. Var. DOI 10.1007/s00526-011-0434-7.
10. M. Giaquinta, G. Modica, *Nonlinear systems of the type of the stationary Navier-Stokes system.* — J. Reine Angew. Math. **330** (1982), 173–214.
11. H. Hencky, *Zur Theorie plastischer Deformationen.* — Z. Angew. Math. Mech. **4** (1924), 323–334.
12. V. D. Klyusnikov, *The mathematical theory of plasticity.* — Izd. Moscov. Gos. Univ., Moscow, 1979.
13. Y. G. Reshetnyak, *Estimates for certain differential operators with finite dimensional kernel.* — Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **11** (1970), 414–428.

Universität des Saarlandes
Fachbereich 6.1 Mathematik,
Postfach 15 11 50
D-66041 Saarbrücken, Germany
E-mail: fuchs@math.uni-sb.de

Поступило September 20, 2011

University of Jyväskylä
Dept. of Mathematics and Statistics
P.O. Box 35 (MaD) FI-40014
University of Jyväskylä, Finland
E-mail: guo.g.zhang@jyu.fi