

ПРЕПРИНТЫ ПОМИ РАН

ГЛАВНЫЙ РЕДАКТОР

С.В. Кисляков

РЕДКОЛЛЕГИЯ

В.М.Бабич, Н.А.Вавилов, А.М.Вершик, М.А.Всемирнов, А.И.Генералов, И.А.Ибрагимов,
Л.Ю.Колотилина, Б.Б.Лурье, Ю.В.Матиясевич, Н.Ю.Нецеветаев, С.И.Репин, Г.А.Серегин

Учредитель: Федеральное государственное бюджетное учреждение науки
Санкт-Петербургское отделение Математического института
им. В. А. Стеклова Российской академии наук

Свидетельство о регистрации средства массовой информации: ЭЛ №ФС 77-33560 от 16
октября 2008 г. Выдано Федеральной службой по надзору в сфере связи и массовых
коммуникаций

Контактные данные: 191023, г. Санкт-Петербург, наб. реки Фонтанки, дом 27

телефоны:(812)312-40-58; (812) 571-57-54

e-mail: admin@pdmi.ras.ru

[http://www.pdmi.ras.ru /preprint/](http://www.pdmi.ras.ru/preprint/)

Заведующая информационно-издательским сектором Симонова В.Н

**L_p -THEORY OF FREE BOUNDARY PROBLEMS
OF MAGNETOHYDRODYNAMICS
IN SIMPLY CONNECTED DOMAINS**

V. A. Solonnikov

Dedicated to the memory of O. A. Ladyzhenskaya

St.Petersburg Department of V. A. Steklov Institute
of Mathematics of Russian Academy of Sciences

solonnik@pdmi.ras.ru

September 3, 2013

ABSTRACT:

We present L_p -theory of solvability of free boundary problems of magnetohydrodynamics for a viscous incompressible fluid constructed in the paper [1] for $p = 2$. We consider the simplest problem studied in this paper.

Key words: Sobolev spaces, free boundaries, magnetohydrodynamics

The paper was supported by the RFBR grant 11-01-00-324.

ГЛАВНЫЙ РЕДАКТОР

С. В. Кисляков

РЕДКОЛЛЕГИЯ

В. М. Бабич, А. Н. Бородин, Н. А. Вавилов, А. М. Вершик, М. А. Всемирнов, А. И. Генералов, А. Ю. Зайцев, И. А. Ибрагимов, Л. Ю. Колотилина, Г. В. Кузьмина, П. П. Кулиш, М. А. Лифшиц, Б. Б. Лурье, Ю. В. Матиясевич, Н. Ю. Нецветаев, С. И. Репин, Г. А. Серегин, В. Н. Судаков, О. М. Фоменко

1 Introduction.

The paper is concerned with the problem of finding a bounded variable domain $\Omega_{1t} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with the boundary Γ_t , $t > 0$, that is a strictly interior subdomain of another bounded fixed domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with the boundary S . In addition, it is required to find the vector fields $\mathbf{H}(x, t) = (H_1, H_2, H_3)$, $x \in \Omega_{1t} \cup \Omega_{2t}$, $\mathbf{v}(x, t) = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$, and a scalar function $p(x, t)$, $x \in \Omega_{1t}$, satisfying the relations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{v}_t + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} - \nabla \cdot T(\mathbf{v}, p) - \nabla \cdot T_M(\mathbf{H}) = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega_{1t}, \quad t > 0, \\ \mu_1 \mathbf{H}_t + \alpha^{-1} \text{rot} \text{rot} \mathbf{H} - \mu_1 \text{rot}(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{H}) = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{H}(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega_{1t}, \\ \text{rot} \mathbf{H} = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{H}(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega_{2t}, \\ (T(\mathbf{v}, p) + [T_M(\mathbf{H})]) \mathbf{n} = \sigma \mathbf{n} H, \quad V_n = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}, \quad x \in \Gamma_t, \\ [\mu \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{n}] = 0, \quad [\mathbf{H}_\tau] = 0, \quad x \in \Gamma_t, \\ \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \quad x \in S, \\ \mathbf{v}(x, 0) = \mathbf{v}_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega_{10}, \quad \mathbf{H}(x, 0) = \mathbf{H}_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega_{10} \cup \Omega_{20}, \end{array} \right. \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Omega_{2t} = \Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{1t}$, $T(\mathbf{v}, p)$ is the viscous stress tensor: $T(\mathbf{v}, p) = -pI + \nu S(\mathbf{v})$, $S(\mathbf{v}) = \nabla \mathbf{v} + (\nabla \mathbf{v})^T$ is the doubled rate-of-strain tensor, $T_M(\mathbf{H}) = \mu(\mathbf{H} \otimes \mathbf{H} - \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{H}|^2 I)$, $x \in \Omega_{it}$, is the magnetic stress tensor, μ is a piece-wise constant function equal to μ_i in Ω_{it} , \mathbf{n} is the exterior normal to Γ_t and to S , V_n is the velocity of evolution of Γ_t in the direction \mathbf{n} , $\mathbf{H}_\tau = \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{H})$ is the tangential component of \mathbf{H} , H is the doubled mean curvature of Γ_t negative for convex domains. The parameters ν , μ_i , α , σ are positive constants. By $[u]$ we mean the jump on Γ_t of the function defined in Ω_{it} : $[u] = u^{(1)} - u^{(2)}$, and $u^{(i)} = u(x, t)|_{x \in \Omega_{it}}$.

Both Ω_{1t} and Ω are assumed to be simply connected.

The problem (1.1) is studied in the paper [1] where the local in time existence theorem of the problem is proved in the Sobolev-Slobodetskii spaces $W_2^{2+l, 1+l/2}$, $l \in (1/2, 1)$. In the present paper the solution belonging to $W_p^{2,1}$ with $p > 3$ is obtained. Working in these spaces allows one to get rid of some technical difficulties, in particular, in the estimates of non-linear terms.

In the case of fixed domain Ω_1 filled with the liquid the problem has been studied in the joint papers [2,3] of the author and his teacher O.A.Ladyzhenskaya to whose memory the present article is dedicated.

We assume that Γ_0 is located in the neighborhood of a smooth connected surface \mathcal{G} of arbitrary shape (but such that the domain \mathcal{F}_1 bounded by \mathcal{G} is simply connected). Then Γ_0 can be regarded as a normal perturbation of \mathcal{G} :

$$\Gamma_0 = \{x = y + \mathbf{N}(y)\rho_0(y), \quad y \in \mathcal{G}\},$$

where ρ_0 is a given small function and $\mathbf{N}(y)$ is the exterior normal to \mathcal{G} . Moreover, we assume that also for $t > 0$

$$\Gamma_t = \{x = y + \mathbf{N}(y)\rho(y, t), \quad y \in \mathcal{G}\},$$

with an unknown function $\rho(y, t)$ such that $\rho(y, 0) = \rho_0(y)$. We extend $\mathbf{N}(y)$ and $\rho(y, t)$ from \mathcal{G} into Ω in such a way that the extension \mathbf{N}^* of \mathbf{N} is a smooth non-zero regular function in Ω and ρ^* vanishes near S and satisfies the inequalities (5.7), (5.8) (hence ρ^* is small for small ρ).

The transformation

$$x \equiv e_\rho(y, t) = y + \mathbf{N}^*(y)\rho^*(y, t), \quad y \in \Omega \quad (1.2)$$

maps \mathcal{F}_1 on Ω_{1t} , $\mathcal{F}_2 = \Omega \setminus \overline{\mathcal{F}_1}$ on Ω_{2t} and, as shown in [1], it converts (1.1) in

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{u}_t - \rho_t^* (\mathcal{L}^{-1} \mathbf{N}^*(y) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} + (\mathcal{L}^{-1} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - \tilde{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{T}(\mathbf{u}, q) - \tilde{\nabla} \cdot T_M(\frac{\mathcal{L}}{L} \mathbf{h}) = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot \hat{\mathcal{L}} \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \quad t > 0, \\ \mu_1 \left(\mathbf{h}_t - \frac{1}{L} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_t \mathcal{L} \mathbf{h} - \rho_t^* \hat{\mathcal{L}} (\mathcal{L}^{-1} \mathbf{N}^*(y) \cdot \nabla) \frac{1}{L} \mathcal{L} \mathbf{h} \right) \\ + \alpha^{-1} \text{rot} \mathcal{P} \text{rot} \mathcal{P} \mathbf{h} - \mu_1 \text{rot} (\mathcal{L}^{-1} \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{h}) = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{h} = 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \\ \text{rot} \mathcal{P} \mathbf{h} = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{h} = 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_2, \\ \tilde{T}(\mathbf{u}, q) \mathbf{n}(e_\rho) + [T_M(\frac{1}{L} \mathcal{L} \mathbf{h})] \mathbf{n}(e_\rho) = \sigma H(e_\rho) \mathbf{n}(e_\rho), \\ \rho_t = \frac{\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathcal{L}}^T \mathbf{N}}{\mathbf{N} \cdot \hat{\mathcal{L}}^T \mathbf{N}}, \quad y \in \mathcal{G}, \\ [\mu \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{N}] = 0, \quad [\mathbf{h}_\tau] = \left(\frac{\hat{\mathcal{L}} \hat{\mathcal{L}}^T \mathbf{N}}{|\hat{\mathcal{L}}^T \mathbf{N}|^2} - \mathbf{N} \right) [\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{N}], \quad y \in \mathcal{G}, \\ \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \quad y \in S, \\ \mathbf{u}(y, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0(y), \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \quad \mathbf{h}(y, 0) = \mathbf{h}_0(y), \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2, \\ \rho(y, 0) = \rho_0(y), \quad y \in \mathcal{G}, \end{array} \right. \quad (1.3)$$

where $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(y, \rho^*) = (\delta_{ij} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} N_i^*(y) \rho^*(y, t))_{i,j=1,2,3}$ is the Jacobi matrix of the transformation (1.2), $L = \det \mathcal{L}$, $\hat{\mathcal{L}} = L \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ is the co-factors matrix of \mathcal{L} , $\mathcal{P}(y, \rho) = L^{-1} \mathcal{L}^T \mathcal{L}$, $\mathbf{u}(y, t) = \mathbf{v}(e_\rho(y, t), t)$, $q(y, t) = p(e_\rho, t)$, $\mathbf{h}(y, t) = \hat{\mathcal{L}}(y, \rho^*) \mathbf{H}(e_\rho, t)$,

$\tilde{\nabla} = \mathcal{L}^{-T}(y, \rho^*) \nabla_y$ is the transformed gradient $\nabla_x = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \right)$,

$\mathcal{L}^{-T} = (\mathcal{L}^{-1})^T$ (the sign T means transposition),

$\tilde{T}(\mathbf{u}, q)$ is the transformed stress tensor: $\tilde{T} = -qI + \nu \tilde{S}(\mathbf{u})$,

$\tilde{S}(\mathbf{u}) = (\tilde{\nabla} \mathbf{u}) + (\tilde{\nabla} \mathbf{u})^T$ is the transformed rate-of-strain tensor,

ρ is an additional unknown function,

$\mathbf{u}_0(y) = \mathbf{v}_0(e_{\rho_0}(y))$, $\mathbf{h}_0(y) = \hat{\mathcal{L}}(y, \rho_0^*) \mathbf{H}_0(e_{\rho_0}(y))$.

The vectors $\mathbf{n}(e_\rho)$ and $\mathbf{N}(y)$ are connected by

$$\mathbf{n}(e_\rho) = \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^T \mathbf{N}(y)}{|\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^T \mathbf{N}|}, \quad y \in \mathcal{G}.$$

We consider the problem (1.3) in the spaces

$$W_p^{2,1}(\mathcal{D}_T) = W_p^{2,0}(\mathcal{D}_T) \cap W_p^{0,1}(\mathcal{D}_T), \quad p > 3, \quad \mathcal{D}_T = \mathcal{D} \times (0, T), \quad \mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $W_p^{2,0}(\mathcal{D}_T) = L_p(0, T; W_p^2(\mathcal{D}))$, $W_2^{0,1}(\mathcal{D}_T) = W_p^1(0, T; L_p(\mathcal{D}))$. The norm in $W_p^{2,1}(\mathcal{D}_T)$ is defined by

$$\|u\|_{W_p^{2,1}(\mathcal{D}_T)}^p = \int_0^T \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{W_p^2(\mathcal{D})}^p dt + \int_0^T (\|u_t(\cdot, t)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{D})}^p + \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{D})}^p) dt, \quad (1.4)$$

and the integrals in the right-hand side represent the norms in $W_p^{2,0}(\mathcal{D}_T)$ and $W_p^{0,1}(\mathcal{D}_T)$, respectively. By $W_p^l(\mathcal{D})$ we mean the space of functions $u(x)$, $x \in \mathcal{D}$, with finite norm

$$\|u\|_{W_p^l(\mathcal{D})} = \left(\sum_{|j| \leq l} \|D^j u\|_{L_p(\mathcal{D})}^p \right)^{1/p},$$

if l is an integer, and

$$\|u\|_{W_p^l(\mathcal{D})} = \left(\|u\|_{W_p^{[l]}(\mathcal{D})}^p + \sum_{|j|=l} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{|D^j u(x) - D^j u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p\lambda}} dx dy \right)^{1/p},$$

if $l = [l] + \lambda$, $0 < \lambda < 1$. The anisotropic space $W_p^{l,l/2}(\mathcal{D}_T)$ is defined as $W_p^{l,0}(\mathcal{D}_T) \cap W_p^{0,l/2}(\mathcal{D}_T) = L_p(0, T; W_p^l(\mathcal{D})) \cap W_p^{l/2}(0, T; L_p(\mathcal{D}))$.

The W_p^l -spaces on manifolds, in particular, on the surfaces \mathcal{G} and S , are introduced with the help of local maps and partition of unity.

We recall some imbedding theorems for the space $W_p^{2,1}(\mathcal{D}_T)$:

1. If $u \in W_p^{2,1}(\mathcal{D}_T)$, then $u|_{t=t_0} \in W_p^{2-2/p}(\mathcal{D})$, $u|_{x \in \Sigma} \in W_p^{2-1/p, 1-1/(2p)}(\Sigma_T)$, $\Sigma_T = \Sigma \times (0, T)$, where $\Sigma = \partial \mathcal{D}$, and

$$\|u(\cdot, t_0)\|_{W_p^{2-2/p}(\mathcal{D})} \leq c \|u\|_{W_p^{2,1}(\mathcal{D}_T)},$$

$$\|u\|_{W_p^{2-1/p, 1-1/(2p)}(\Sigma_T)} \leq c \|u\|_{W_p^{2,1}(\mathcal{D}_T)}.$$

2. For arbitrary $\varphi \in W_p^{2-2/p}(\mathcal{D})$ there exists such $u \in W_p^{2,1}(\mathcal{D}_T)$ that $u(x, 0) = \varphi(x)$ and

$$\|u\|_{W_p^{2,1}(\mathcal{D}_T)} \leq c \|\varphi\|_{W_p^{2-2/p}(\mathcal{D})};$$

for arbitrary $\psi \in W_p^{2-1/p, 1-1/(2p)}(\Sigma_T)$ there exists such $u \in W_p^{2,1}(\mathcal{D}_T)$ that $u|_{x \in \Sigma} = \psi(x, t)$ and

$$\|u\|_{W_p^{2,1}(\mathcal{D}_T)} \leq c \|\psi\|_{W_p^{2-1/p, 1-1/(2p)}(\Sigma_T)}.$$

3. If $\rho \in W_p^{3-1/p, 0}(\Sigma_T) \cap W_p^1(0, T; W_p^{2-1/p}(\Sigma))$, then $\rho(\cdot, t_0) \in W_p^{3-2/p}(\Sigma)$ and

$$\|\rho(\cdot, t_0)\|_{W_p^{3-2/p}(\Sigma)} \leq c(\|\rho\|_{W_p^{3-1/p, 0}(\Sigma_T)} + \|\rho_t\|_{W_p^{2-1/p, 0}(\Sigma_T)}).$$

For arbitrary $\rho_0 \in W_p^{3-2/p}(\Sigma)$ there exists $\rho \in W_p^{3-1/p, 0}(\Sigma_T)$ with $\rho_t \in W_p^{2-1/p, 1-1/2p}(\Sigma_T)$ such that $\rho(x, 0) = \rho_0(x)$ and

$$\|\rho\|_{W_p^{3-1/p, 0}(\Sigma_T)} + \|\rho_t\|_{W_p^{2-1/p, 1-1/2p}(\Sigma_T)} \leq c \|\rho_0\|_{W_p^{3-2/p}(\Sigma)}$$

(cf. Proposition 4.1 in [4] for $p = 2$ and [10]).

4. If $lp > n$, then

$$\sup_{\mathcal{D}} |u(x)| \leq c \|u\|_{W_p^l(\mathcal{D})}.$$

Now we present the main result of the paper.

Theorem 1. Let $\mathbf{u}_0 \in W_p^{2-2/p}(\mathcal{F}_1)$, $\rho_0 \in W_p^{3-2/p}(\mathcal{G})$, $\mathbf{h}_0 \in W_r^{2-2/r}(\mathcal{F}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, with

$$3 < r < p, \quad 1/r - 1/p \leq 1/5(1 - 2/p) \quad (1.5)$$

and let the compatibility conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{L}}(y, \rho_0^*) \mathbf{u}_0 &= 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \quad \widetilde{S}(\mathbf{u}_0) \mathbf{n}_0(e_{\rho_0}) - \mathbf{n}_0(\mathbf{n}_0 \cdot \widetilde{S}(\mathbf{u}_0) \mathbf{n}_0) = 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{G}, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{h}_0 &= 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \\ rot \mathcal{P}(y, \rho_0^*) \mathbf{h}_0 &= 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_2, \\ [\mu \mathbf{h}_0 \cdot \mathbf{N}] &= 0, \quad [\mathbf{h}_{0\tau}] = \left(\frac{\widehat{\mathcal{L}}(y, \rho_0) \widehat{\mathcal{L}}^T \mathbf{N}}{|\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^T \mathbf{N}|^2} - \mathbf{N} \right) [\mathbf{h}_0 \cdot \mathbf{N}], \quad y \in \mathcal{G}, \\ \mathbf{h}_0 \cdot \mathbf{n} &= 0, \quad y \in S, \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

where \mathbf{n}_0 is the normal to Γ_0 , and the smallness condition

$$\|\rho_0\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \leq \epsilon \ll 1 \quad (1.7)$$

be satisfied. Then the problem (1.3) has a unique solution defined in a certain (small) time interval $(0, T)$ with the following regularity properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\in W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^1), \quad \nabla q \in L_p(Q_T^1), \quad q \in W_p^{l-1/p, 0}(G_T), \quad \rho \in W_p^{3-1/p, 0}(G_T) \\ \rho_t &\in W_p^{2-1/p, 1-1/2p}(G_T), \quad \mathbf{h}^{(i)} \in W_r^{2,1}(Q_T^i), \quad i = 1, 2, \end{aligned}$$

where $Q_T^i = \mathcal{F}_i \times (0, T)$, $G_T = \mathcal{G} \times (0, T)$, $\mathbf{h}^{(i)} = \mathbf{h}|_{Q_T^i}$, $i = 1, 2$. The solution satisfies the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^1)} + \|\nabla q\|_{L_p(Q_T^1)} + \|q\|_{W_p^{1-1/p,0}(G_T)} + \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{2-2/p}(\mathcal{F}_1)} \\
& + \|\rho\|_{W_p^{3-1/p,0}(G_T)} + \|\rho_t\|_{W_p^{2-1/p,1-1/2p}(G_T)} + \sup_{t < T} \|\rho\|_{W_p^{3-2/p}(\mathcal{G})} \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^2 (\|\mathbf{h}^{(i)}\|_{W_r^{2,1}(Q_T^i)} + \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{h}^{(i)}\|_{W_r^{2-2/r}(\mathcal{F}_i)}) \\
& \leq c \left(\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L_p(Q_T^1)} + \|\mathcal{H}\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} + \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{W_p^{2-2/p}(\mathcal{F}_1)} + \|\rho_0\|_{W_p^{3-2/p}(\mathcal{G})} \right. \\
& \left. + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\mathbf{h}_0\|_{W_r^{2-2/r}(\mathcal{F}_i)} \right), \tag{1.8}
\end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{H} is the doubled mean curvature of \mathcal{G} .

For the problem (1.1) this means that it is solvable in the time interval $(0, T)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{v} \circ e_\rho \in W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^1), \quad \nabla p \circ e_\rho \in L_p(Q_T^1), \quad p \circ e_\rho \in W_p^{1-1/p,0}(G_T), \\
& \rho \in W_2^{3-1/p,0}(G_T), \quad \rho_t \in W_p^{2-1/p,1-1/2p}(G_T), \quad \mathbf{H}^{(i)} \circ e_\rho \in W_r^{2,1}(Q_T^i). \quad i = 1, 2.
\end{aligned}$$

Once the solution with the above-mentioned properties is obtained, it can be shown that

$$\mathbf{h}^{(i)} \in W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^i), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

provided $\mathbf{h}_0 \in W_p^{2-2/p}(\Omega_{i0})$, $i = 1, 2$ (see Sec. 5).

2 Linear problems

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the analysis of the following non-homogeneous linear problems:

1. Find (\mathbf{v}, p, ρ) such that

$$\begin{cases}
\mathbf{v}_t - \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} + \nabla p = \mathbf{f}(y, t), \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = f(y, t) = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}(y, t), \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \quad t > 0, \\
T(\mathbf{v}, p) \mathbf{N}(y) + \sigma \mathbf{N}(y) \mathfrak{B} \rho = \mathbf{d}(y, t), \\
\rho_t + \mathbf{V}(y) \cdot \nabla_\tau \rho - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{N}(y) = g(y, t), \quad y \in \mathcal{G}, \\
\mathbf{v}(y, 0) = \mathbf{v}_0(y), \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \quad \rho(y, 0) = \rho_0(y), \quad y \in \mathcal{G},
\end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathfrak{B} \rho = -\Delta_{\mathcal{G}} \rho - b(y) \rho$, $b = (\mathcal{H}^2 - 2\mathcal{K})$, $\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathcal{G} , \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} are the doubled mean curvature and the Gaussian curvature of \mathcal{G} , respectively, $\mathbf{V}(x)$ is a given vector field from $W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})$.

2. Find the vector field $\mathbf{H}(y, t)$, satisfying the equations

$$\begin{cases} \mu_1 \mathbf{H}_t + \alpha^{-1} \operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{G}(y, t), \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{H} = 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \\ \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{H} = \operatorname{rot} \ell(y, t), \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{H} = 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_2, \\ [\mu \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{N}] = 0, \quad [\mathbf{H}_\tau] = \mathbf{a}(y, t), \quad y \in \mathcal{G}, \quad \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{n}(y) = 0, \quad y \in S, \\ \mathbf{H}(y, 0) = \mathbf{H}_0(y), \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

In addition, we need to consider the auxiliary problem

3.

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{h}(y, t) = \mathbf{k}(y, t), \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{h} = 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2, \\ [\mu \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{N}] = 0, \quad [\mathbf{h}_\tau] = \mathbf{a}, \quad y \in \mathcal{G}, \\ \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}(y) = 0, \quad y \in S. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Theorem 2 [5]. Let $p > 3$. Assume that $\mathbf{f} \in L_p(Q_T^1)$, $f \in W_p^{1,0}(Q_T^1)$, $f = \nabla \mathbf{F}$, $\mathbf{F} \in W_p^{0,1}(Q_T^1)$, $\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{N} \in W_2^{1-1/p,0}(G_T)$, $\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{N}) \equiv \mathbf{d}_\tau \in W_p^{1-1/p,1/2-1/(2p)}(G_T)$, $g \in W_p^{2-1/p,1-1/2p}(G_T)$, $\mathbf{v}_0 \in W_p^{2-2/p}(\mathcal{F}_1)$, $\rho_0 \in W_p^{3-2/p}(\mathcal{G})$, $\mathbf{V} \in W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})$ and let the compatibility conditions

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_0(x) = f(x, 0), \quad x \in \mathcal{F}_1, \quad \nu(S(\mathbf{v}_0)\mathbf{N})_\tau = \mathbf{d}_\tau(x, 0), \quad x \in \mathcal{G}, \quad (2.4)$$

be satisfied. Then the problem (2.1) has a unique solution \mathbf{v}, p, ρ such that $\mathbf{v} \in W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^1)$, $\nabla p \in L_p(Q_T^1)$, $p \in W_p^{1-1/p,0}(G_T)$, $\rho \in W_p^{3-1/p,0}(G_T)$, $\rho_t \in W_p^{2-1/p,1-1/2p}(G_T)$, $\rho(\cdot, t) \in W_p^{3-2/p}(\mathcal{G})$, $\forall t \in (0, T)$, and the solution satisfies the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{v}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^1)} + \|\nabla p\|_{L_p(Q_T^1)} + \|p\|_{W_p^{1-1/p,0}(G_T)} \\ & + \|\rho\|_{W_p^{3-1/p,0}(G_T)} + \|\rho_t\|_{W_2^{2-1/p,1-1/2p}(G_T)} + \sup_{t < T} \|\rho(\cdot, t)\|_{W_p^{3-2/p}(\mathcal{G})} \\ & \leq c(T) \left(\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L_p(Q_T^1)} + \|f\|_{W_p^{1,0}(Q_T^1)} + \|\mathbf{F}\|_{W_p^{0,1}(Q_T^1)} \right. \\ & + \|\mathbf{d}_\tau\|_{W_2^{1-1/p,1/2-1/(2p)}(G_T)} + \|\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{N}\|_{W_2^{1-1/p,0}(G_T)} \\ & \left. + \|g\|_{W_p^{2-1/p,1-1/2p}(G_T)} + \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{W_p^{2-2/p}(\mathcal{F}_1)} + \|\rho_0\|_{W_p^{3-2/p}(\mathcal{G})} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

The compatibility condition $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_0(x) = f(x, 0)$, $x \in \mathcal{F}_1$ can be understood in a weak sense as

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}_1} (\mathbf{v}_0(x) - \mathbf{F}(x, 0)) \cdot \nabla \eta(x) dx = 0$$

for arbitrary smooth $\eta(x)$ vanishing on \mathcal{G} .

Theorem 3. Assume that $\mathbf{k} = \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{K}(y, t)$, $\mathbf{a} = [\mathbf{A}]$, $\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{A} \in W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^i)$, $i = 1, 2$,

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{A} = 0, \quad y \in S, \quad [\mathbf{K}_\tau] = \mathbf{a}, \quad \mathbf{A}^{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{N}(y) = \mathbf{A}^{(2)} \cdot \mathbf{N}(y) = 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{G}. \quad (2.6)$$

Then the problem (2.3) has a unique solution $\mathbf{h} \in W_p^{2,1}(\mathcal{F}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, that satisfies the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(\mathcal{F}_i)} \leq c \sum_{i=1}^2 (\|\mathbf{K}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^i)} + \|\mathbf{A}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^i)}). \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. Following [1], Theorem 3, we seek the solution in the form

$$\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{A} + \nabla\phi + \mathbf{X},$$

where ϕ and \mathbf{X} are solutions to the problems

$$\begin{cases} \nabla^2\phi(x) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}(x), & x \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2, \quad \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial n} = 0, \quad x \in S, \\ [\phi] = 0, \quad [\mu \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial N}] = 0, & x \in \mathcal{G}, \\ rot\mathbf{X} = rot(\mathbf{K}(x, t) - \mathbf{A}(x, t)), & \nabla \cdot \mathbf{X} = 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2, \\ [\mu \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{N}] = 0, \quad [\mathbf{X}_\tau] = 0, & x \in \mathcal{G}, \quad \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \quad x \in S. \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

The problem (2.8) can be written in a weak form as

$$\int_{\Omega} \mu \nabla\phi \cdot \nabla\eta dx = - \int_{\Omega} \mu \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla\eta dx$$

with arbitrary smooth $\eta(x)$. It is uniquely solvable, up to a constant, and

$$\|\nabla\phi\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq c \|\mathbf{A}\|_{L_p(\Omega)}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\nabla\phi\|_{W_p^2(\mathcal{F}_i)} \leq c \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\mathbf{A}\|_{W_p^2(\mathcal{F}_i)}, \quad (2.9)$$

which implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \|\nabla\phi\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^i)} \leq c \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\mathbf{A}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^i)}. \quad (2.10)$$

As for \mathbf{X} , we represent it as the sum

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{X}(x, t) = \mathbf{X}_1(x, t) + \nabla U(x, t), \\ \mathbf{X}_1(x, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi} rot \int_{\Omega} \frac{rot(\mathbf{K}(y, t) - \mathbf{A}(y, t))}{|x - y|} dy, \\ \nabla^2 U(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2, \quad [U(x, t)] = 0, \quad [\mu \frac{\partial U}{\partial N}] = -[\mu] \mathbf{X}_1 \cdot \mathbf{N}, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}, \\ \frac{\partial U}{\partial n} = -\mathbf{X}_1 \cdot \mathbf{n}, \quad x \in S, \end{cases}$$

or, in a weak form,

$$\int_{\Omega} \mu \nabla U \cdot \nabla\eta dx = \int_{\mathcal{G}} [\mu] \mathbf{X}_1 \cdot \mathbf{N} \eta dS + \int_S \mu_2 \mathbf{X}_1 \cdot \mathbf{n} \eta dS = \int_{\Omega} \mu \mathbf{X}_1 \cdot \nabla\eta dx.$$

Since $[\mathbf{N} \times (\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{A})]|_{x \in \mathcal{G}} = 0$, $\mathbf{K}_\tau = \mathbf{A}_\tau|_{x \in S} = 0$, it holds $\text{rot } \mathbf{X}_1 = \mathbf{K} - \mathbf{A}$,

$$\mathbf{X}_1(x, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \text{rot} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \frac{1}{|x-y|} \times (\mathbf{K}(y, t) - \mathbf{A}(y, t)) dy,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{X}_1(\cdot, t)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} &\leq c(\|\mathbf{K}(\cdot, t)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{A}(\cdot, t)\|_{L_p(\Omega)}), \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\mathbf{X}_1(\cdot, t)\|_{W_p^2(\mathcal{F}_i)} &\leq c \sum_{i=1}^2 (\|\mathbf{K}(\cdot, t)\|_{W_p^2(\mathcal{F}_i)} + \|\mathbf{A}(\cdot, t)\|_{W_p^2(\mathcal{F}_i)}) \end{aligned}$$

and, as a consequence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \|\mathbf{X}_1\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^i)} \leq c \sum_{i=1}^2 (\|\mathbf{K}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^i)} + \|\mathbf{A}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(\mathcal{F}_i)}). \quad (2.11)$$

In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla U(\cdot, t)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} &\leq c \|\mathbf{X}_1(\cdot, t)\|_{L_p(\Omega)}, \\ \|\nabla U(\cdot, t)\|_{W_p^2(\Omega)} &\leq c \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\mathbf{X}_1(\cdot, t)\|_{W_p^2(\mathcal{F}_i)} \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\nabla U\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^i)} &\leq c \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\mathbf{X}_1\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^i)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Inequality (2.7) follows from (2.9)-(2.12). If $\mathbf{k} = 0$, $\mathbf{a} = 0$, then $\mathbf{h} = 0$, hence the solution of (2.3) constructed above is unique. The theorem is proved.

Theorem 4. Assume that the data of the problem (2.2) possess the following properties: $\mathbf{G} \in L_p(Q_T^1)$, $\mathbf{H}_0 \in W_p^{2-2/p}(\mathcal{F}_1) \cap W_p^{2-2/p}(\mathcal{F}_2)$, $\mathbf{a} \in W_p^{2-1/p, 1-1/2p}(G_T)$, $\boldsymbol{\ell} \in W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^2)$, $\boldsymbol{\ell}|_{x \in S} = 0$, moreover, $\mathbf{a} = [\mathbf{A}]$ with $\mathbf{A}^{(i)} \in W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^i)$, $\mathbf{A}^{(i)} \cdot \mathbf{N}(x)|_{x \in \mathcal{G}} = 0$, $i = 1, 2$, and the compatibility conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{G}(x, t) &= 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}_1, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{H}_0(x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2, \\ \text{rot } \mathbf{H}_0(x) &= \text{rot } \boldsymbol{\ell}(x, 0), \quad x \in \mathcal{F}_2, \\ [\mu \mathbf{H}_0 \cdot \mathbf{N}] &= 0, \quad [\mathbf{H}_{0\tau}] = \mathbf{a}(x, 0) = [\mathbf{A}(x, 0)], \quad x \in \mathcal{G}, \quad \mathbf{H}_0 \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \quad x \in S \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

are satisfied. Then the problem (2.2) has a unique solution $\mathbf{H} \in W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^1) \cap W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^2)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\mathbf{H}^{(i)}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^i)} &\leq c(T) \left(\|\mathbf{G}\|_{L_p(Q_T^1)} + \|\boldsymbol{\ell}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^2)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^2 (\|\mathbf{H}_0\|_{W_p^{2-2/p}(\mathcal{F}_i)} + \|\mathbf{A}^{(i)}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^i)}) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Proof. We reduce the problem to the particular case $\ell = 0$, $\mathbf{a} = 0$. We extend ℓ into Ω with the preservation of class, i.e., so that the extended function ℓ^* satisfies

$$\|\ell^*\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T)} \leq c\|\ell\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^2)}, \quad (2.15)$$

and we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}^*(x, t) &= \mathbf{A}^{(1)}(x, t) - \mathbf{A}^{(2)*}(x, t), \quad x \in \mathcal{F}_1, \\ \mathbf{a}^*(x, t) &= 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{A}^{(2)*}$ is the extension of $\mathbf{A}^{(2)}$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{A}^{(2)*}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T)} \leq c\|\mathbf{A}^{(2)}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^2)}.$$

It is easily verified that

$$[\mathbf{a}^*] = [\mathbf{A}] = \mathbf{a}, \quad [\ell^* + \mathbf{a}^*] = \mathbf{a}, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}.$$

Now we define $\mathbf{h}_1(x, t)$ as a solution of the problem (2.3) with $\mathbf{k}(x, t) = \text{rot}(\ell^* + \mathbf{a}^*)$. By (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\mathbf{h}_1\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^i)} &\leq c \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \|\ell^*\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^i)} + \|\mathbf{a}^*\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^i)} \right) \\ &\leq c(\|\ell\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^2)} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\mathbf{A}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^i)}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

For $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{h}_1$ we obtain the problem

$$\begin{cases} \mu_1 \mathbf{h}_t + \alpha^{-1} \text{rotroth} = \mathbf{G}'(y, t), \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{h} = 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \\ \text{roth} = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{h} = 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_2, \\ [\mu \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{N}] = 0, \quad [\mathbf{h}_\tau] = 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{G}, \quad \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}(y) = 0, \quad y \in S, \\ \mathbf{h}(y, 0) = \mathbf{h}_0(y) = \mathbf{H}_0(x) - \mathbf{h}_1(y, 0), \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2, \end{cases} \quad (2.17)$$

where $\mathbf{G}' = \mathbf{G} - \mu_1 \mathbf{h}_{1t} - \alpha^{-1} \text{rotroth}_1$.

The equations $\text{roth}(y, t) = 0$, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{h}(y, t) = 0$ in \mathcal{F}_2 imply

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}(y, t) &= \nabla \varphi(y, t), \\ \nabla^2 \varphi(y, t) &= 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_2, \\ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n}|_{y \in S} &= 0, \quad \mu_2 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} - \mu_1 \mathbf{h}^{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{N}|_{y \in \mathcal{G}} = 0, \\ \mathbf{h}_\tau^{(1)} - \nabla_\tau \varphi|_{y \in \mathcal{G}} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

so (2.17) can be written in the form

$$\begin{cases} \mu_1 \mathbf{h}_t + \alpha^{-1} \operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{G}'(y, t), \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{h} = 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \\ \nabla^2 \varphi(y, t) = 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_2, \quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n}|_{y \in S} = 0, \\ \mu_2 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial N} - \mu_1 \mathbf{h}^{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{N}|_{y \in \mathcal{G}} = 0, \quad \mathbf{h}_\tau^{(1)} - \nabla_\tau \varphi|_{y \in \mathcal{G}} = 0, \\ \mathbf{h}(y, 0) = \mathbf{h}_0(y), \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2, \end{cases} \quad (2.19)$$

As shown in [6], this problem is uniquely solvable, and

$$\|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^1)} + \|\nabla \varphi\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^2)} \leq c(\|\mathbf{G}'\|_{L_p(Q_T^1)} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\mathbf{h}_0\|_{W_2^{2-2/p}(\mathcal{F}_i)}).$$

Together with (2.16), this inequality implies (2.14). The theorem is proved.

Equations (2.18) show that $\mathbf{h}^{(2)} = \nabla \varphi$ is completely determined by $\mathbf{h}^{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{N}|_{y \in \mathcal{G}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{h}^{(2)}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^2)} &\leq c \|\mathbf{h}^{(1)}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^1)}, \\ \|\mathbf{h}_0^{(2)}\|_{W_p^{2-2/p}(\mathcal{F}_2)} &\leq c \|\mathbf{h}_0^{(1)}\|_{W_p^{2-2/p}(\mathcal{F}_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

3 Nonlinear problem

In this section we outline main ideas of the proof of Theorem 1. Following [1], we write the problem (1.3) in the form

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}_t(y, t) - \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \nabla q = \mathbf{l}_1(\mathbf{u}, q, \mathbf{h}, \rho), \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = l_2(\mathbf{u}, \rho), \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \quad t > 0, \\ \Pi_{\mathcal{G}} S(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{N} = \mathbf{l}_3(\mathbf{u}, \rho), \\ -q + \nu \mathbf{N} \cdot S(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{N}(y) + \sigma \mathfrak{B} \rho = l_4(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{h}, \rho) + l_5(\rho) + \sigma \mathcal{H}(y), \\ \rho_t + \mathbf{V}(x) \cdot \nabla_\tau \rho - \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{N}(y) = l_6(\mathbf{u}, \rho), \quad y \in \mathcal{G}, \\ \mu_1 \mathbf{h}_t + \alpha^{-1} \operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{l}_7(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{u}, \rho), \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{h} = 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \\ \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{h} = \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{l}_8(\mathbf{h}, \rho), \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{h} = 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_2, \\ [\mu \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{N}] = 0, \quad [\mathbf{h}_\tau] = \mathbf{l}_9(\mathbf{h}, \rho), \quad y \in \mathcal{G}, \quad \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \quad y \in S, \\ \mathbf{u}(y, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0(y), \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \quad \mathbf{h}(y, 0) = \mathbf{h}_0(y), \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2, \\ \rho(y, 0) = \rho_0(y), \quad y \in \mathcal{G}, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\left\{
\begin{aligned}
l_1(\mathbf{u}, q, \mathbf{h}, \rho) &= \nu(\tilde{\nabla}^2 - \nabla^2)\mathbf{u} + (\nabla - \tilde{\nabla})q + \rho_t^*(\mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathbf{N}^*(y) \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} \\
&\quad - (\mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} + \tilde{\nabla} \cdot T_M\left(\frac{\mathcal{L}}{L}\mathbf{h}\right), \\
l_2(\mathbf{u}, \rho) &= (I - \widehat{\mathcal{L}}^T)\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{u}, \rho), \\
\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{u}, \rho) &= (I - \widehat{\mathcal{L}})\mathbf{u}, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \\
l_3(\mathbf{u}, \rho) &= \Pi_{\mathcal{G}}(\Pi_{\mathcal{G}} S(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{N}(y)) - \Pi \widetilde{S}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{n}(e_\rho(y)), \\
l_4(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{h}, \rho) &= \nu(\mathbf{N} \cdot S(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{n} \cdot \widetilde{S}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{n}) - [\mathbf{n} \cdot T_M\left(\frac{\mathcal{L}}{L}\mathbf{h}\right)\mathbf{n}], \\
l_5(\rho) &= - \int_0^1 (1-s) \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \mathcal{L}^{-T}(y, s\rho) \nabla \cdot \frac{\mathcal{L}^T(y, s\rho)\mathbf{N}}{|\mathcal{L}^T(y, s\rho)\mathbf{N}|} ds, \\
l_6(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{h}, \rho) &= \left(\frac{\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^T \mathbf{N}}{\mathbf{N} \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{L}}^T \mathbf{N}} + \nabla_\tau \rho - \mathbf{N} \right) \cdot \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{u}) \cdot \nabla_\tau \rho, \quad y \in \mathcal{G}, \\
l_7(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{u}, \rho) &= \alpha^{-1} \text{rot}(\text{rot} \mathbf{h} - \mathcal{P} \text{rot} \mathcal{P} \mathbf{h}) + \mu_1 \left(\frac{1}{L} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_t \mathcal{L} \mathbf{h} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \rho_t^* \widehat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \mathbf{N} \cdot \nabla) \frac{1}{L} \mathcal{L} \mathbf{h} \right) + \mu_1 \text{rot}(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{h}), \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \\
l_8(\mathbf{h}, \rho) &= (I - \mathcal{P})\mathbf{h}, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_2, \\
l_9(\mathbf{h}, \rho) &= \left(\frac{\widehat{\mathcal{L}} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}^T \mathbf{N}}{|\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^T \mathbf{N}|^2} - \mathbf{N} \right) [\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{N}] = [\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{h}, \rho)], \quad y \in \mathcal{G}, \\
\mathbf{A}^{(i)}(\mathbf{h}, \rho^*) &= \left(\frac{\widehat{\mathcal{L}}(y, \rho^*) \widehat{\mathcal{L}}^T(y, \rho^*) \mathbf{N}^*}{|\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^T(y, \rho^*) \mathbf{N}^*|^2} - \frac{\mathbf{N}^*}{|\mathbf{N}^*|^2} \right) \mathbf{h}^{(i)} \cdot \mathbf{N}^*, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_i, \quad i = 1, 2. \\
\Pi \mathbf{f} &= \mathbf{f} - \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{f}), \quad \Pi_{\mathcal{G}} \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g} - \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{N}).
\end{aligned} \right. \tag{3.2}$$

We have used the formula for the variation of the mean curvature under the normal perturbation of the surface:

$$H(e_\rho) - \mathcal{H}(y) = -\mathfrak{B}\rho - \int_0^1 (1-s) \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \mathcal{L}^{-T}(y, s\rho) \nabla \cdot \frac{\mathcal{L}^T(y, s\rho)\mathbf{N}}{|\mathcal{L}^T(y, s\rho)\mathbf{N}|} ds.$$

The vector field $\mathbf{V} \in W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})$ should be close to \mathbf{u}_0 in the norm $W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})$ (see (3.6)) and provide good estimates for the non-linear expression $l_6(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{h}, \rho)$.

The solvability of the problem (3.1), (3.2) is proved by successive approximations,

according to a standard scheme:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{u}_{m+1,t}(y, t) - \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_{m+1} + \nabla q_{m+1} = \mathbf{l}_1(\mathbf{u}_m, q_m, \mathbf{h}_m, \rho_m), \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_{m+1} = l_2(\mathbf{u}_m, \rho_m) = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{u}_m, \rho_m), \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \quad t > 0, \\ \Pi_{\mathcal{G}} S(\mathbf{u}_{m+1}) \mathbf{N} = \mathbf{l}_3(\mathbf{u}_m, \rho_m), \\ -q_{m+1} + \nu \mathbf{N} \cdot S(\mathbf{u}_{m+1}) \mathbf{N}(y) + \sigma \mathfrak{B} \rho_{m+1} = l_4(\mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{h}_m, \rho_m) + l_5(\rho_m) + \sigma \mathcal{H}(y), \\ \rho_{m+1,t} + \mathbf{V}(y) \cdot \nabla_{\tau} \rho_{m+1} - \mathbf{u}_{m+1} \cdot \mathbf{N}(y) = l_6(\mathbf{u}_m, \rho_m), \quad y \in \mathcal{G}, \\ \mu_1 \mathbf{h}_{m+1,t} + \alpha^{-1} \operatorname{rot} \operatorname{roth}_{m+1} = \mathbf{l}_7(\mathbf{h}_m, \mathbf{u}_m, \rho_m), \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{h}_{m+1} = 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \\ \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{h}_{m+1} = \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{l}_8(\mathbf{h}_m, \rho_m), \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{h}_{m+1} = 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_2, \\ [\mu \mathbf{h}_{m+1} \cdot \mathbf{N}] = 0, \quad [\mathbf{h}_{m+1,\tau}] = \mathbf{l}_9(\mathbf{h}_m, \rho_m), \quad y \in \mathcal{G}, \\ \mathbf{h}_{m+1} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \quad y \in S, \\ \mathbf{u}_{m+1}(y, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0(y), \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \quad \mathbf{h}_{m+1}(y, 0) = \mathbf{h}_0(y), \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2, \\ \rho_{m+1}(y, 0) = \rho_0(y), \quad y \in \mathcal{G}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots \end{array} \right. \quad (3.3)$$

The first approximation, $(\mathbf{u}_1, q_1, \rho_1, \mathbf{h}_1)$, is defined in the following way: $q_1 = 0$, \mathbf{u}_1 and ρ_1 satisfy the initial conditions

$$\mathbf{u}_1(y, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0(y), \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \quad \rho_1(y, 0) = \rho_0(y), \quad y \in \mathcal{G}$$

and the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}_1\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^1)} &\leq c \|\mathbf{u}_1\|_{W_P^{2,1}(Q_{\infty}^1)} \leq c \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{W_p^{2-2/p}(\mathcal{F}_1)}, \\ \|\rho_1\|_{W_p^{3-1/p,0}(G_{\infty})} + \|\rho_{1,t}\|_{W_p^{2-1/p,0}(G_{\infty})} &\leq c \|\rho_0\|_{W_p^{3-2/p}(\mathcal{G})}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

and \mathbf{h}_1 is defined as a divergence free vector field satisfying the equations (2.18), initial conditions

$$\mathbf{h}_1(y, 0) = \mathbf{h}_0(y), \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2,$$

and the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \|\mathbf{h}_1^{(i)}\|_{W_r^{2,1}(Q_{\infty}^i)} \leq c \|\mathbf{h}_0^{(1)}\|_{W_r^{2-2/r}(\mathcal{F}_1)}. \quad (3.5)$$

The existence of such \mathbf{u}_1 , ρ_1 follows from the inverse trace theorems (see Sec. 1) and \mathbf{h}_1 can be constructed exactly as in the proof of Theorem 3 in [1].

The proof of the solvability of (3.3) is based on Theorems 2, 4 (with the exponent r instead of p) and on the estimates of nonlinear terms (3.2) obtained in Sec. 5.

Theorem 5. *Assume that $\mathbf{u}_m \in W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^1)$, $\nabla q_m \in L_p(Q_T^1)$, $\rho_m \in W_p^{3-1/p,0}(G_T)$,*

$\rho_{m,t} \in W_p^{2-1/p, 1-1/2p}(G_T)$, $\mathbf{h}_m \in W_r^{2,0}(Q_T^i)$, $i = 1, 2$, and the conditions (1.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_m(\cdot, t)\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} &\leq \delta \ll 1, \\ \|\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{u}_0\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} &\leq \delta, \\ T^{1/2-1/p} \|\mathbf{V}\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} &\leq \delta \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

are satisfied. Then

$$Z_m(T) \leq \vartheta(\delta, T)(Y_m(T) + Y_m^2(T)), \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_m(T) &= \|l_1(\mathbf{u}_m, q_m, \mathbf{h}_m, \rho)\|_{L_p(Q_T^1)} + \|l_2(\mathbf{u}_m, \rho_m)\|_{W_p^{1,0}(Q_T^1)} + \|\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{u}_m, \rho_m)\|_{W_p^{0,1}(Q_T^1)} \\ &+ \|l_3(\mathbf{u}_m, \rho_m)\|_{W_p^{1-1/p, 1-1/2p}(G_T)} + \|l_4(\mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{h}_m, \rho_m)\|_{W_p^{1-1/p, 0}(G_T)} \\ &+ \|l_5(\rho_m)\|_{W_p^{1-1/p, 0}(G_T)} + \|l_6(\mathbf{u}_m, \rho_m)\|_{W_p^{2-1/p, 1-1/2p}(G_T)} + \|l_7(\mathbf{h}_m, \mathbf{u}_m, \rho_m)\|_{L_r(Q_T^1)} \\ &+ \|l_8(\mathbf{h}_m, \rho_m)\|_{W_r^{2,1}(Q_T^2)} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\mathbf{A}^{(i)}\|_{W_r^{2,1}(Q_T^i)}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\vartheta(\delta, T)$ is a constant that can be made arbitrarily small by the choice of small δ and T .

Estimate (3.8) is a direct consequence of the inequalities (5.15)-(5.20), (5.22), (5.23), (5.26), (5.27), (5.32), (5.34)-(5.38) obtained below in Sec.5.

If $(\mathbf{u}_m, q_m, \rho_m, \mathbf{h}_m)$ are found, then, by Theorems 2 and 4, the problem (3.3) is uniquely solvable and

$$Y_{m+1}(T) \leq c\vartheta(\delta, T)(Y_m(T) + Y_m^2(T)) + c_1 N, \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Y_m(T) &= \|\mathbf{u}_m\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^1)} + \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{u}_m\|_{W_p^{2-2/p}(\mathcal{F}_1)} + \|\nabla q_m\|_{L_p(Q_T^1)} + \|q_m\|_{W_p^{1-1/p, 0}(G_T)} \\ &+ \|\rho_m\|_{W_p^{3-1/p, 0}(G_T)} + \|\rho_{m,t}\|_{W_p^{2-1/p, 1-1/2p}(G_T)} + \sup_{t < T} \|\rho_m(\cdot, t)\|_{W_p^{3-2/p}(\mathcal{G})} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^2 (\|\mathbf{h}_m\|_{W_r^{2,1}(Q_T^i)} + \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{h}_m\|_{W_r^{2-2/r}(\mathcal{F}_i)}) \equiv Y(\mathbf{u}_m, q_m, \rho_m, \mathbf{h}_m), \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

$$N = \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{W_p^{2-2/p}(\mathcal{F}_1)} + \|\rho_0\|_{W_p^{3-2/p}(\mathcal{G})} + \|\mathcal{H}\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\mathbf{h}_0\|_{W_r^{2-2/r}(\mathcal{F}_i)}. \quad (3.10)$$

It follows that

$$Y_{m+1}(T) \leq 2c_1 N, \quad (3.11)$$

if $Y_m(T) \leq 2c_1 N$ and ϑ is so small that

$$\vartheta(\delta, T)(2c_1 N + 4(c_1 N)^2) \leq c_1 N$$

i.e.,

$$\vartheta(\delta, T) \leq \frac{1}{2(1+2c_1N)} \leq \frac{1}{2}; \quad (3.12)$$

in addition,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_{m+1}(\cdot, t)\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} &\leq \|\rho_0\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} + \int_0^t \|\rho_{m+1,\tau}(\cdot, \tau)\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} d\tau \\ &\leq \epsilon + 2c_1T^{1-1/p}N \leq \delta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Hence if c_1 is chosen in such a way that (3.11) holds for $m = 1$, then (3.11) and (3.13) are satisfied for all m .

In order to prove the convergence of the sequence $(\mathbf{u}_m, q_m, \rho_m, \mathbf{h}_m)$, we estimate the differences

$$\mathbf{u}_{m+1} - \mathbf{u}_m, \quad q_{m+1} - q_m, \quad \rho_{m+1} - \rho_m, \quad \mathbf{h}_{m+1} - \mathbf{h}_m.$$

We show that

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\mathbf{u}_{m+1} - \mathbf{u}_m, q_{m+1} - q_m, \rho_{m+1} - \rho_m, \mathbf{h}_{m+1} - \mathbf{h}_m) \\ \leq \vartheta_1 Y(\mathbf{u}_m - \mathbf{u}_{m-1}, q_m - q_{m-1}, \rho_m - \rho_{m-1}, \mathbf{h}_m - \mathbf{h}_{m-1}), \end{aligned}$$

$m > 1$, with a small ϑ_1 , which guarantees the boundedness of

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y(\mathbf{u}_{m+1} - \mathbf{u}_m, q_{m+1} - q_m, \rho_{m+1} - \rho_m, \mathbf{h}_{m+1} - \mathbf{h}_m)$$

and the convergence of $(\mathbf{u}_m, q_m, \rho_m, \mathbf{h}_m)$. This is made in the same way as in [1,7] in the case $p, r = 2$, and we omit the details.

It is clear that $(\mathbf{u}_m, q_m, \rho_m, \mathbf{h}_m)$ tend to the solution of the problem (3.1), as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and the solution satisfies (3.11):

$$Y(T) \leq 2c_1N. \quad (3.14)$$

Remark. In the proof of (3.11) we have used the fact that the constants $c(T)$ in (2.5) and (2.14) are bounded for small T . This follows from the assumption $p > 3$, which implies $1/2 - 1/2p > 1/p$. As a consequence, the functions $d \in W_p^{k-1/p, k/2-1/2p}(G_T)$, $k = 1, 2$, vanishing for $t = 0$ (like \mathbf{d}_τ in (2.1) in the case of zero initial data) admit zero extension in the domain $t < 0$, and the extended functions $d^{(0)}$ satisfy the inequality

$$\|d^{(0)}\|_{W_p^{k-1/p, k/2-1/2p}(\mathcal{G} \times (-\infty, T))} \leq c \|d\|_{W_p^{k-1/p, k/2-1/2p}(G_T)}, \quad \forall t < T,$$

(see [8, Sec.4, §4]) without any additional terms of the type

$$\frac{1}{T^\alpha} \|d\|_{L_p(\mathcal{G})}, \quad \alpha > 0,$$

as it was in the case $p = 2$ (see details in [7]).

4 Construction of the electric field

The complete system of equations of magnetohydrodynamics contains the electric field $\mathbf{E}(x, t)$; in particular, the problem (1.1) consists of finding the free boundary Γ_t and the functions $\mathbf{v}, p, \mathbf{H}$ and \mathbf{E} such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{v}_t + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} - \nabla \cdot T(\mathbf{v}, p) - \nabla \cdot T_M(\mathbf{H}) = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega_{1t}, \quad t > 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

$$\begin{cases} \mu \mathbf{H}_t = -\text{rot} \mathbf{E}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{H} = 0, \quad x \in \Omega_{1t} \cup \Omega_{2t}, \\ \text{rot} \mathbf{H} = \alpha(\mathbf{E} + \mu(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{H})), \quad x \in \Omega_{1t}, \quad t > 0, \\ \text{rot} \mathbf{H} = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{H} = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0, \quad x \in \Omega_{2t}. \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \quad \mathbf{E}_\tau = 0, \quad x \in S, \\ [\mu \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{n}] = 0, \quad [\mathbf{H}_\tau] = 0, \\ (T(\mathbf{v}, p) + [T_M(\mathbf{H})]) \mathbf{n} = \sigma \mathbf{n} H, \quad V_n = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}, \quad x \in \Gamma_t, \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

$$\mathbf{n}_t[\mu \mathbf{H}] + [\mathbf{n}_x \times \mathbf{E}] = 0, \quad x \in \Gamma_t, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\mathbf{v}(x, 0) = \mathbf{v}_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega_{10}, \quad \mathbf{H}(x, 0) = \mathbf{H}_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega_{10} \cup \Omega_{20}, \quad (4.5)$$

where $\mathbf{n}_x = (\mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_2, \mathbf{n}_3)$ and \mathbf{n}_t are components of the normal vector \mathbf{n} to the surface $\mathfrak{G} = \{x \in \Gamma_t, t > 0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^4 . In particular, if $\mu_1 = \mu_2$, then the condition (4.4) takes a standard form $[\mathbf{n}_x \times \mathbf{E}] = 0$, i.e., $[\mathbf{E}_\tau] = 0$.

The condition (4.4) can be deduced from the assumption that the first equation in (4.2) is satisfied in the sense of the distributions theory, i.e.,

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_0+\tau} \int_K (-\mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}_t + \mathbf{E} \cdot \text{rot} \boldsymbol{\varphi}) dx dt = 0, \quad (4.6)$$

where $\mathbf{B} = \mu \mathbf{H}$, $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_0^\infty(K)$, $K \subset \Omega$, $K \cap \Gamma_t \neq \emptyset$. From (4.6) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_t + \text{rot} \mathbf{E} &= 0, \quad x \in K, \\ \mathbf{n}_t[\mathbf{B}] + [\mathbf{n}_x \times \mathbf{E}] &= 0, \quad x \in K \cup \Gamma_t, \quad t \in (t_0, t_0 + \tau). \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition is important for the construction of \mathbf{E} .

Theorem 6. *If the relations*

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}(x, t) &= 0, \quad x \in K \cap (\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2), \quad [\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{n}] = 0, \quad x \in K \cap \Gamma_t, \\ \mathbf{n}_t[\mathbf{B}] + [\mathbf{n}_x \times \mathbf{E}] &= 0, \quad x \in K \cap \Gamma_t \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

are satisfied, then

$$[\mathbf{n} \cdot \text{rot} \mathbf{E}] = -[\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{B}_t], \quad x \in \Gamma_t \cap K. \quad (4.8)$$

Proof. Suppose the surface $\mathfrak{G}_\tau = \mathfrak{G} \cap (K \times (t_0, t_0 + \tau))$ is given by the equation $\xi_3 = z(\xi_1, \xi_2, t)$, where (ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3) are Cartesian coordinates in \mathbb{R}^3 . The functions given

on \mathfrak{G}_τ can be considered as functions of ξ_1, ξ_2, t . The normal \mathbf{n} to \mathfrak{G}_τ is parallel to the vector $(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}, z_t)$, where $\tilde{\mathbf{n}} = (z_{\xi_1}, z_{\xi_2}, -1)$.

It is easily verified that

$$\begin{aligned} -[\tilde{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{rot} \mathbf{E}] &= [z_{\xi_1}(E_{2,3} - E_{3,2}) + z_{\xi_2}(E_{3,1} - E_{1,3}) - (E_{1,2} - E_{2,1})] \\ &= \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}(z_{\xi_2}E_3 + E_2) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}(-E_1 - z_{\xi_1}E_3) \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}[\tilde{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E}]_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}[\tilde{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E}]_2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

where $E_{i,j}$ is the partial derivative of E_i with respect to ξ_j and

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial \xi_\alpha} = \mathbf{E}_{,\alpha} + z_{\xi_\alpha} \mathbf{E}_{,3}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2,$$

are derivatives calculated taking the dependence of \mathbf{E} on ξ_3 into account. By (4.7), the equation (4.9) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} -[\tilde{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{rot} \mathbf{E}] &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}z_t[B_1] - \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}z_t[B_2] \\ &= -[z_{\xi_1,t}B_1 + z_tB_{1,\xi_1} + z_{\xi_1}z_tB_{1,3} + z_{\xi_2,t}B_2 + z_tB_{2,\xi_2} + z_{\xi_2}z_tB_{2,3}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Now we differentiate $[\tilde{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{B}] = 0$ with respect to t , which leads to

$$[z_{\xi_1,t}B_1 + z_{\xi_1}B_{1,t} + z_tz_{\xi_1}B_{1,3} + z_{\xi_2,t}B_2 + z_{\xi_2}B_{2,t} + z_{\xi_2}z_tB_{2,3} - B_{3,t} - z_tB_{3,t}] = 0. \quad (4.11)$$

When we add (4.11) to (4.10) and make use of the equation $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$, we obtain

$$-[\tilde{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{rot} \mathbf{E}] = [z_{\xi_1}B_{1,t} + z_{\xi_2}B_{2,t} - B_{3,t}] = [\tilde{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{B}_t],$$

q.e.d.

The proof of the theorem is due to Dr. N.Filonov.

The transformation (1.2) converts (4.1)-(4.4) in

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}_t - \rho_t^*(\mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathbf{N}^*(y) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} + (\mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \\ - \tilde{\nabla} \cdot \tilde{T}(\mathbf{u}, q) - \tilde{\nabla} \cdot T_M\left(\frac{\mathcal{L}}{L}\mathbf{h}\right) = 0, \\ \nabla \cdot \hat{\mathcal{L}}\mathbf{u} = 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \quad t > 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.12)$$

$$\begin{cases} \mu(\mathbf{h}_t - \frac{1}{L}\hat{\mathcal{L}}_t\mathcal{L}\mathbf{h} - \rho_t^*\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathbf{N}^*(y) \cdot \nabla)\frac{1}{L}\mathcal{L}\mathbf{h}) = -\mathbf{rot}\mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2, \\ \mathcal{P}\mathbf{rot}\mathcal{P}\mathbf{h} = \alpha(\mathcal{P}\mathbf{e} + \mu(\mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{h})), \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{h} = 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \\ \mathbf{rot}\mathcal{P}\mathbf{h} = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{h} = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{e} = 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}_2, \end{cases} \quad (4.13)$$

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0, \quad \mathbf{e}_\tau = 0, \quad y \in S, \\ [\mu\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{N}] = 0, \quad [\mathbf{h} - \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^T\mathbf{N}}{|\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^T\mathbf{N}|^2}(\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{N})] = 0, \\ \tilde{T}(\mathbf{u}, q)\mathbf{n}(e_\rho) + [T_M\left(\frac{\mathcal{L}}{L}\mathbf{h}\right)\mathbf{n}(e_\rho)] = \sigma H\mathbf{n}, \quad \rho_t = \frac{\mathbf{u} \cdot \hat{\mathcal{L}}^T\mathbf{N}}{\Lambda(y, \rho)}, \\ -\Lambda\rho_t[\mu\mathbf{h}] + L[\mathbf{N} \times \mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}] = 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{G}, \end{cases} \quad (4.14)$$

and (4.7), (4.8) (with $K = \Omega$) in

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} &= 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2, \quad [\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{N}] = 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{G}, \\ [\mathbf{N} \times \mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}] &= \Psi(\mathbf{b}, \rho), \quad y \in \mathcal{G},\end{aligned}\tag{4.15}$$

$$[\mathbf{N} \times \text{rot} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}] = \Phi(\mathbf{b}, \rho), \tag{4.16}$$

where $\mathbf{e} = \widehat{\mathcal{L}}\mathbf{E}(e_\rho, t)$, $\mathbf{b} = \widehat{\mathcal{L}}\mathbf{B}(e_\rho, t)$, $\mathbf{h} = \widehat{\mathcal{L}}\mathbf{H}(e_\rho, t)$, $y \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(\mathbf{b}, \rho) &= \frac{1}{L} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_t^T \mathcal{L}\mathbf{b} + \rho_t^* \widehat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \mathbf{N} \cdot \nabla) \frac{1}{L} \mathcal{L}\mathbf{b}, \quad \Psi(\mathbf{b}, \rho) = \frac{\Lambda \rho_t}{L} [\mathbf{b}], \\ \Lambda(y, \rho) &= \mathbf{N}(y) \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{L}}^T(y, \rho) \mathbf{N}(y) = 1 - \rho \mathcal{H} + \rho^2 \mathcal{K}, \quad y \in \mathcal{G}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.17}$$

Hence (4.15) imply (4.16). We observe that the vector field $\Phi(\mathbf{h}, \rho)$ is divergence free in $\mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$ [1].

Now we pass to the construction of \mathbf{e} satisfying (4.13), (4.14) together with $\mathbf{u}, p, \rho, \mathbf{h}$ whose existence is established above. We introduce auxiliary vector field $\mathbf{e}_1(y, t)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{e}_1(y, t) &= 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2, \\ [\mathbf{N}(y) \times \mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}_1] &= \Psi(\mu\mathbf{h}, \rho), \quad [\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{e}_1] = 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{G}, \quad \mathbf{e}_1(y, t) = 0, \quad y \in S, \\ \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\mathbf{e}_1\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T^i)} &\leq c \|\Psi\|_{W_r^{1-1/r,0}(G_T)},\end{aligned}\tag{4.18}$$

and we solve the problem

$$\text{rot} \mathcal{E}(y, t) = -\mu\mathbf{h}_t + \Phi(\mu\mathbf{h}, \rho) - \text{rot} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}_1(y, t), \quad \nabla \cdot \mathcal{P}^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{E}(y, t) = 0, \quad y \in \Omega, \quad \mathcal{E}_\tau = 0, \quad y \in S. \tag{4.19}$$

In view of Theorem 6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathbf{N}(y) \cdot (\mu(\mathbf{h}_t - \Phi) + \text{rot} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}_1)] &= 0, \quad [\mu\mathbf{h}_t \cdot \mathbf{N}] = 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{G}, \\ \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mu(\mathbf{h}_t - \Phi) + \text{rot} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}_1(y, t)) &= 0, \quad y \in S,\end{aligned}$$

hence the problem (4.19) is solvable. The solution has the form $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_1 + \nabla Z(y, t)$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_1(y, t) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \text{rot} \int_{\Omega} \frac{-\mu\mathbf{h}_t + \mu\Phi - \text{rot} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}_1(z, t)}{|y - z|} dz, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathcal{P}^{-1} \nabla Z &= -\nabla \cdot \mathcal{P}^{-1} \mathcal{E}_1, \quad y \in \Omega, \quad Z(y, t) = -g(y, t), \quad y \in S.\end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that

$$\text{rot} \mathcal{E}_1 = -\mu\mathbf{h}_t + \mu\Phi - \text{rot} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}_1(y, t), \quad y \in \Omega.$$

The function g is defined as follows. Since $(\mu\mathbf{h}_t - \mu\Phi + \text{rot} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}_1) \cdot \mathbf{n}|_S = 0$, we have $\int_{\Sigma} \text{rot} \mathcal{E}_1 \cdot \mathbf{n} dS = 0$ for arbitrary $\Sigma \subset S$. Hence by the Stokes formula,

$$\int_{\gamma} \mathcal{E}_1 \cdot d\mathbf{l} = 0$$

for arbitrary closed contour $\gamma = \partial\Sigma \subset S$, which implies $\mathcal{E}_1|_S = \nabla_\tau g(y, t)$ with a certain single-valued g . Now the equations (4.19) are easily verified.

By the Calderon-Zygmund theorem,

$$\|\mathcal{E}_1\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T)} \leq c(\|\mu\mathbf{h}_t - \mu\Phi + \text{rot}\mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}_1\|_{L_r(Q_T)} + \|\Phi\|_{L_r(Q_T)} + \|\Psi\|_{W_r^{1-1/r,0}(G_T)}), \quad (4.20)$$

in addition,

$$\|\nabla Z\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T)} \leq c\|\mathcal{E}_1\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T)},$$

hence

$$\|\mathcal{E}\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T)} \leq c(\|\mathbf{h}_t\|_{L_r(Q_T)} + \|\Phi\|_{L_r(Q_T)} + \|\Psi\|_{W_r^{1-1/r,0}(G_T)}). \quad (4.21)$$

The norms of Φ and Ψ are estimated below in (5.37), (5.38).

Now we define $\mathbf{e}^{(1)}$ by

$$\mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}^{(1)} = \alpha_1^{-1} \mathcal{P} \text{rot} \mathcal{P} \mathbf{h} - \mu(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{h}). \quad (4.22)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rot} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}^{(1)} &= \text{rot}(\alpha_1^{-1} \mathcal{P} \text{rot} \mathcal{P} \mathbf{h} - \mu(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{h})) \\ &= -\mu\mathbf{h}_t + \mu\Phi = \text{rot}\mathcal{E} + \text{rot}\mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}_1, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}^{(1)} = \mathcal{E}^{(1)} + \mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}_1^{(1)} + \nabla\chi_1(y, t), \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_1 \quad (4.23)$$

with a certain single-valued $\chi_1(y, t)$. Finally we set

$$\mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}^{(2)} = \mathcal{E}^{(2)} + \mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}_1^{(2)} + \nabla\chi_2(y, t) + C(t)\nabla w(y), \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_2, \quad (4.24)$$

where χ_2 and w are solutions to the problems

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \mathcal{P}^{-1} \nabla \chi_2(y, t) &= 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_2, \quad \chi_2(y, t) = \chi_1(y, t), \quad y \in \mathcal{G}, \quad \chi_2(y, t) = 0, \quad y \in S, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathcal{P}^{-1} \nabla w(y) &= 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_2, \quad w(y) = 1, \quad y \in \mathcal{G}, \quad w(y, t) = 0, \quad y \in S. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

The vector field \mathbf{e} defined in this way satisfies (4.13), (4.14). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\mathbf{h}_t - \Phi + \text{rot}\mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}^{(i)} &= \mu\mathbf{h}_t - \Phi + \text{rot}\mathcal{E}^{(i)} + \text{rot}\mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}_1^{(i)} = 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(2)} &= \nabla \cdot \mathcal{P}^{-1} \mathcal{E}^{(2)} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{e}_1^{(2)} = 0, \quad y \in \mathcal{F}_2, \\ [\mathbf{N} \times \mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}] &= [\mathbf{N} \times \mathcal{E}] + [\mathbf{N} \times \mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}_1] = \Psi(\mu\mathbf{h}, \rho), \quad y \in \mathcal{G}, \quad \mathbf{e}_\tau|_S = \mathcal{E}_\tau + \mathbf{e}_{1\tau}|_S = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In order to find $C(t)$, we need to impose on $\mathbf{e}^{(2)}$ a normalization restriction, for instance of the form

$$\int_S \mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}^{(2)}(y, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(y) dS = 0, \quad (4.26)$$

which coincides with

$$\int_S \mathbf{E}^{(2)}(x, t) \cdot \mathbf{n}(x) dS = 0.$$

Since $I = \int_S \frac{\partial w}{\partial n} dS \neq 0$, we have

$$C(t) = -I^{-1} \int_S (\mathcal{E}^{(2)} + \mathcal{P}\mathbf{e}_1^{(2)} + \nabla \chi_2(y, t)) \cdot \mathbf{n}(y) dS. \quad (4.27)$$

As for the estimates of \mathbf{e} , we have, by (4.21)-(4.24),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{e}^{(1)}\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T^1)} &\leq c(\|\mathcal{P}\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^{2,0}(Q_T^1)} + \|(\mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{h})\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T^1)}), \\ \|\mathbf{e}^{(2)}\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T^2)} &\leq c(\|\mathcal{E}^{(2)}\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T^2)} + \|\mathbf{e}_1\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T^2)} \\ &+ \|\nabla \chi_2\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T^2)} + \|C\|_{L_r(0,T)}), \\ \|\nabla \chi_2\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T^2)} &\leq c\|\nabla \chi_1\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T^2)}, \\ \|\nabla \chi_1\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T^1)} &\leq c(\|\mathbf{e}^{(1)}\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T^1)} + \|\mathcal{E}^{(1)}\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T^1)} + \|\mathbf{e}_1\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T^1)}), \\ \|C\|_{L_p(0,T)} &\leq c(\|\mathbf{e}_1\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T^2)} + \|\mathcal{E}^{(2)}\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T^2)} + \|\nabla \chi_2\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T^2)}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

Taking (4.21), (4.18), (2.5), (2.15) into account, we conclude that $\mathbf{e} \in W_r^{1,0}(Q_T^i)$, $i = 1, 2$.

5 Estimates of nonlinear terms

In this section we estimate the expressions (3.2). For this we need some auxiliary propositions.

Proposition 1. *Arbitrary functions $u(x), v(x)$ given in a domain $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfy the following inequalities:*

$$\|uv\|_{W_p^1(\mathcal{D})} \leq c(\sup_{\mathcal{D}} |v(x)| \|u\|_{W_p^1(\mathcal{D})} + \sup_{\mathcal{D}} |u(x)| \|v\|_{W_p^1(\mathcal{D})}) \leq c\|u\|_{W_p^1(\mathcal{D})}\|v\|_{W_p^1(\mathcal{D})}, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\|uv\|_{W_p^2(\mathcal{D})} \leq c(\|u\|_{W_p^2(\mathcal{D})}\|v\|_{W_p^1(\mathcal{D})} + \|v\|_{W_p^2(\mathcal{D})}\|u\|_{W_p^1(\mathcal{D})}), \quad (5.2)$$

if $p > n$,

$$\|uv\|_{W_p^l(\mathcal{D})} \leq c(\|u\|_{W_p^l(\mathcal{D})} \sup_{\mathcal{D}} |v(x)| + \|v\|_{W_p^l(\mathcal{D})} \sup_{\mathcal{D}} |u(x)|), \quad (5.3)$$

if $pl > n$ and l is not an integer.

Proof. The inequality (5.1) is obvious, and (5.2) follows from (5.1) and

$$\|\nabla(uv)\|_{W_p^1(\mathcal{D})} \leq \|v\nabla u\|_{W_p^1(\mathcal{D})} + \|u\nabla v\|_{W_p^1(\mathcal{D})} \leq c(\|u\|_{W_p^2(\mathcal{D})}\|v\|_{W_p^1(\mathcal{D})} + \|v\|_{W_p^2(\mathcal{D})}\|u\|_{W_p^1(\mathcal{D})}). \quad (5.4)$$

To prove (5.3), we estimate the semi-norm

$$\|w\|_{\dot{W}_p^l(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\Delta^m(z)w\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \frac{dz}{|z|^{n+pl}} \right)^{1/p}, \quad m > l, \quad (5.5)$$

of the product $w(x) = u(x)v(x)$, assuming that $u, v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By $\Delta^m(z)w(x)$ we mean a finite difference

$$\Delta^m(z)w(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^{m-j} C_m^j w(x + jz)$$

and we notice that the semi-norms (5.5) are equivalent to each other for different $m > l$ [9]. We assume that $m > 2l$; then in every term of the sum

$$\Delta^m(z)u(x)v(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m C_m^j (\Delta^j(z)u(x)) \Delta^{m-j}(z)v(x + (m-j)z)$$

one of the numbers j or $m - j$ is greater than l . Hence

$$\|\Delta^m(z)uv\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c \left(\sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |v(x)| \|\Delta^k(z)u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x)| \|\Delta^k(z)v\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right),$$

$k > l$, and

$$\|uv\|_{\dot{W}_p^l(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c \left(\sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |v(x)| \|u\|_{\dot{W}_p^l(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x)| \|v\|_{\dot{W}_p^l(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right).$$

From this inequality and

$$\|uv\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c \left(\sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |v(x)| \|u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x)| \|v\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right)$$

it follows that

$$\|uv\|_{\widetilde{W}_p^l(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c \left(\sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |v(x)| \|u\|_{\widetilde{W}_p^l(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x)| \|v\|_{\widetilde{W}_p^l(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right), \quad (5.6)$$

where

$$\|u\|_{\widetilde{W}_p^l(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|u\|_{\dot{W}_p^l(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This norm is equivalent to the norm in $W_p^l(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined in Sec 1, if l is not an integer. Since every function from $W_p^l(\mathcal{D})$ can be extended in \mathbb{R}^n with preservation of class, (5.6) implies (5.3). The proposition is proved.

Now we formulate our assumptions concerning the extensions \mathbf{N}^* and ρ^* . We assume that $\mathbf{N}^*(y)$ is a sufficiently regular non-zero vector field in Ω and $\rho^* = E\rho$ where E is a linear extension operator with the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho^*(x, t)}{\partial N} \Big|_{\mathcal{G}} &= 0, \quad \rho^*(y, t) = 0 \quad \text{near S,} \\ \|\rho^*(\cdot, t)\|_{W_p^r(\Omega)} &\leq c \|\rho\|_{W_p^{r-1/p}(\mathcal{G})}, \quad r \in (1/p, 3]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

It follows that the time derivative of ρ^* satisfies similar inequalities:

$$\|\rho_t^*(\cdot, t)\|_{W_p^r(\Omega)} \leq c \|\rho_t\|_{W_p^{r-1/p}(\mathcal{G})}, \quad r \in (1/p, 2]. \quad (5.8)$$

Proposition 2. Let $\mathbf{R}(x, t) = \left(\frac{\partial N_i^* \rho^*}{\partial x_j} \right)_{i,j=1,2,3}$ and let the conditions $p > 3$ and

$$\|\rho\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \leq \delta \ll 1 \quad (5.9)$$

be satisfied. For arbitrary smooth function $f(\tilde{\mathbf{R}})$ defined for $|\tilde{\mathbf{R}}| \leq \delta_0$, $\delta_0 > \delta$, the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{R}f(\mathbf{R})\|_{L_p(\Omega)} &\leq c\|\mathbf{R}\|_{L_p(\Omega)}, \\ \|\mathbf{R}f(\mathbf{R})\|_{W_p^1(\Omega)} &\leq c\|\mathbf{R}\|_{W_p^1(\Omega)} \leq c\|\rho\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(\mathbf{R})\|_{W_p^1(\Omega)} &\leq c, \\ \|\mathbf{R}f(\mathbf{R})\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} &\leq c\|\rho\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

hold.

Proof. Inequalities (5.10) are obvious, and (5.11) follows from (5.10):

$$\|\mathbf{R}f(\mathbf{R})\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \leq c\|\mathbf{R}f(\mathbf{R})\|_{W_p^1(\Omega)} \leq c\|\mathbf{R}\|_{W_p^1(\Omega)} \leq c\|\rho\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})},$$

q.e.d.

We also have

$$\sup_{\Omega} |\mathbf{R}(x)| \leq c\|\mathbf{R}\|_{W_p^1(\Omega)} \leq c\|\rho\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\Omega)} \leq c\delta. \quad (5.12)$$

Examples of functions satisfying the assumptions of Proposition 2 are provided by the elements of the matrices $\mathcal{L}(x, \rho^*)$, $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(x, \rho^*)$, $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(x, \rho^*)$, whereas $\mathbf{n}(e_\rho)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(x, \rho)\mathbf{N}(x)(\Lambda(x, \rho)^{-1})$ are smooth functions of \mathbf{R} , ρ and x . They satisfy the inequality

$$\|\mathbf{R}f(\mathbf{R}, \rho, \cdot)\|_{W_p^1(\Omega)} + \|\rho^* f(\mathbf{R}, \rho, \cdot)\|_{W_p^1(\Omega)} \leq c \left(\|\mathbf{R}\|_{W_p^1(\Omega)} + \|\rho^*\|_{W_p^1(\mathcal{F})} \right) \leq c\delta. \quad (5.13)$$

It is obtained in the same way as (5.10).

We shall use similar estimate in the two-dimensional case:

$$\|\mathbf{R}f(\mathbf{R}, \rho, \cdot)\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} + \|\rho f(\mathbf{R}, \rho, \cdot)\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \leq c \left(\|\mathbf{R}\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} + \|\rho\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \right) \leq c\delta. \quad (5.14)$$

Now we estimate all the terms in (3.2), step by step. We always assume that $p > 3$.

1. Estimate of $(\nabla - \tilde{\nabla})q$.

Since

$$(\nabla - \tilde{\nabla})q = (I - \mathcal{L}^{-T})\nabla q$$

and $|I - \mathcal{L}^{-T}| \leq c|\mathbf{R}|$, we have

$$\|(\nabla - \tilde{\nabla})q\|_{L_p(Q_T^1)} \leq c \sup_{Q_T^1} |\mathbf{R}(x, t)| \|\nabla q\|_{L_p(Q_T)} \leq c\delta \|\nabla q\|_{L_p(Q_T)}. \quad (5.15)$$

2. Estimate of $(\tilde{\nabla}^2 - \nabla^2)\mathbf{u}$.

It is easily seen that

$$(\tilde{\nabla}^2 - \nabla^2)\mathbf{u} = \tilde{\nabla} \cdot (\tilde{\nabla} - \nabla)\mathbf{u} + (\tilde{\nabla} - \nabla) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = (\mathcal{L}^{-T} \nabla \cdot (\mathcal{L}^{-T} - I) \nabla) \mathbf{u} \\ + (\mathcal{L}^{-T} - I) \nabla \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = (\mathcal{L}^{-1} (\mathcal{L}^{-T} - I) : D^2) \mathbf{u} + (\mathcal{L}^{-T} - I) \nabla \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{M} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u},$$

where \mathbf{M} is the vector field whose components $M_j = \sum_{k,i=1}^3 l^{ki} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} l^{ji}$ are linear combinations of $\nabla \mathbf{R}g(\mathbf{R})$ (l^{ij} are elements of \mathcal{L}^{-1}). The first two terms on the right hand side are estimated in the same way as $(\hat{\nabla} - \nabla)q$:

$$\|(\mathcal{L}^{-T} - I) \nabla \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L_p(Q_T^1)} + \|(\mathcal{L}^{-1} (\mathcal{L}^{-T} - I) : D^2) \mathbf{u}\|_{L_p(Q_T^1)} \\ \leq c\delta \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{2,0}(Q_T^1)},$$

and

$$\|(\mathbf{M} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}\|_{L_p(Q_T^1)} \leq c \left(\int_0^T \sup_{x \in \mathcal{F}_1} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{M}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{F}_1)} \\ \leq c \sup_{t < T} \|\rho^*\|_{W_p^2(\mathcal{F}_1)} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{2,0}(Q_T^1)} \leq c \sup_{t < T} \|\rho\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{2,0}(Q_T^1)} \leq c\delta \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{2,0}(Q_T^1)}. \quad (5.16)$$

3. Estimate of $(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}$.

$$\|(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}\|_{L_p(Q_T^1)} \leq c \sup_{Q_T^1} |\mathbf{u}(x, t)| \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L_p(Q_T^1)} \leq cT^{1/p} (\sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^1(\mathcal{F}_1)})^2 \quad (5.17)$$

4. Estimate of $\rho_t^*(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \mathbf{N}^* \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}$.

$$\|\rho_t^*(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \mathbf{N}^* \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}\|_{L_p(Q_T^1)} \leq c \sup_{Q_T^1} |\rho_t^*(x, t)| \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L_p(Q_T^1)} \\ \leq c \sup_{t < T} \|\rho_t^*\|_{W_p^1(\mathcal{F}_1)} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{1,0}(Q_T^1)} \leq cT^{1/p} \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^1(\mathcal{F}_1)} \sup_{t < T} \|\rho_t\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})}. \quad (5.18)$$

5. Estimate of $(I - \hat{\mathcal{L}}^T) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = \nabla \cdot (I - \hat{\mathcal{L}}) \mathbf{u}$.

$$\|(I - \hat{\mathcal{L}}^T) \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{1,0}(Q_T^1)} \leq c \sup_{t < T} \|I - \hat{\mathcal{L}}^T\|_{W_p^1(\mathcal{F}_1)} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{1,0}(Q_T^1)} \\ \leq c \sup_{t < T} \|\rho\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{2,0}(Q_T^1)} \leq c\delta \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{2,0}(Q_T^1)}, \quad (5.19)$$

$$\|(I - \hat{\mathcal{L}}^T) \mathbf{u}\|_{L_p(Q_T^1)} + \|(I - \hat{\mathcal{L}}^T) \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L_p(Q_T^1)} \leq c\delta \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{0,1}(Q_T)}, \\ \|\hat{\mathcal{L}}_t^T \mathbf{u}\|_{L_p(Q_T^1)} \leq c \sup_{Q_T^1} |\mathbf{u}| \|\rho_t\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(G_T)} \leq cT^{1/p} \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^1(\mathcal{F}_1)} \sup_{t < T} \|\rho_t\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})}. \quad (5.20)$$

6. Estimate of $\nabla \cdot T_M(\frac{\mathcal{L}}{L} \mathbf{h})$.

If (1.5) holds, then

$$\|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_p^i(\mathcal{F}_1)} \leq c \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^{2-2/r}(\mathcal{F}_i)}, \quad (5.21)$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla \cdot T_M(\frac{\mathcal{L}}{L} \mathbf{h})\|_{L_p(Q_T^i)} &\leq c (\|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_p^{1,0}(Q_T^i)} + \|\rho^*\|_{W_p^{2,0}(Q_T^i)} \sup_{Q_T^i} |\mathbf{h}(y, t)|) \sup_{Q_T^i} |\mathbf{h}(y, t)| \\ &\leq cT^{1/p} (\sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^{2-2/r}(\mathcal{F}_i)} + \sup_{t < T} \|\rho\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^1(\mathcal{F}_i)}) \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^1(\mathcal{F}_i)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \| [T_M(\frac{\mathcal{L}}{L} \mathbf{h})] \|_{W_p^{1-1/p,0}(G_T)} &\leq c \sum_{i=1}^2 (\|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_p^{1,0}(Q_T^i)} + \|\rho^*\|_{W_p^{2,0}(Q_T^i)} \sup_{Q_T^i} |\mathbf{h}(y, t)|) \sup_{Q_T^i} |\mathbf{h}(y, t)| \\ &\leq c \sum_{i=1}^2 T^{1/p} (\sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^{2-2/r}(\mathcal{F}_i)} + \sup_{t < T} \|\rho\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^1(\mathcal{F}_i)}) \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^1(\mathcal{F}_i)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

7. Estimates of $\tilde{S}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{n} - S(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{N}$.

Since $\mathbf{n}(e_\rho) = \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^T \mathbf{N}(y)}{|\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^T \mathbf{N}(y)|}$, we have

$$\sup_{\mathcal{G}} |\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{N}| \leq c \|\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{N}\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \leq c \|\rho\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \leq c\delta,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{S}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{n} - S(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{N}\|_{W_p^{1-1/p,0}(G_T)} &\leq \|(\tilde{S}(\mathbf{u}) - S(\mathbf{u}))\mathbf{N}\|_{W_p^{1-1/p,0}(G_T)} \\ + \|\tilde{S}(\mathbf{u})(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{N})\|_{W_p^{1-1/p,0}(G_T)} &\leq c\delta \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{2-1/p,0}(G_T)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.24)$$

Now we pass to the estimate of

$$J = \int_0^T \frac{dh}{h^{1/2+p/2}} \int_h^T \|\Delta_t(-h)(\tilde{S}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{n} - S(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{N})\|_{L_p(\mathcal{G})}^p dt,$$

where $\Delta_t(-h)f(t) = f(t-h) - f(t)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_t(-h)(\tilde{S}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{n} - S(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{N})\|_{L_p(\mathcal{G})} &\leq \|\Delta_t(-h)(\tilde{S}(\mathbf{u}) - S(\mathbf{u}))\mathbf{N}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{G})} \\ + \|(\Delta_t(-h)\tilde{S}(\mathbf{u}))(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{N})\|_{L_p(\mathcal{G})} + \|S(\mathbf{u})\Delta_t(-h)\mathbf{n}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{G})} \\ &\leq c(\delta \|\Delta_t(-h)\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{G})} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{G})} \int_0^h \|\rho_t(\cdot, t-\xi)\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} d\xi), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$J^{1/p} \leq c(\delta \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{0,1/2-1/2p}(G_T)} + cT^{1/2-1/2p} \sup_{t < T} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{G})} \|\rho_t\|_{W_p^{2-1/p,0}(G_T)}),$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\tilde{S}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{n} - S(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{N}\|_{W_p^{1-1/p, 1/2-1/2p}(G_T)} \\ & \leq c\delta\|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^1)} + cT^{1/2-1/2p}\sup_{t < T}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{G})}\|\rho_t\|_{W_p^{2-1/p, 0}(G_T)} \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|l_3(\mathbf{u}, \rho)\|_{W_p^{1-1/p, 1/2-1/2p}(G_T)} \leq c(\delta\|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^1)} + T^{1/2-1/2p}\sup_{t < T}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{G})}\|\rho_t\|_{W_p^{2-1/p, 0}(G_T)}), \\ & \|l_4(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{h}, \rho)\|_{W_p^{1-1/p, 0}(G_T)} \leq c(\delta\|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^1)} \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^2(T^{1/p}\sup_{t < T}\|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^{2-2/r}(\mathcal{F}_i)} + T^{1/p}\sup_{t < T}\|\rho\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})}\sup_{t < T}\|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^1(\mathcal{F}_i)})\sup_{t < T}\|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^1(\mathcal{F}_i)}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

The next step is the estimate of $l_5(\rho)$ and $l_6(\mathbf{u}, \rho)$.

8. *Estimate of $\frac{d^2}{ds^2}\mathcal{L}^{-T}(x, s\rho)\nabla \cdot \frac{\hat{\mathcal{L}}^T(x, s\rho)N(x)}{|\hat{\mathcal{L}}^T(x, s\rho)N(x)|}$ and $\frac{d^2}{ds^2}\frac{\hat{\mathcal{L}}^T(x, s\rho)N}{\Lambda(x, s\rho)}$.*

The function $\frac{d^2}{ds^2}\mathcal{L}^{-T}(x, s\rho)\nabla \cdot \frac{\hat{\mathcal{L}}^T(x, s\rho)N(x)}{|\hat{\mathcal{L}}^T(x, s\rho)N(x)|}$ is a linear combination of the expressions $\nabla \mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}f(s\mathbf{R}, x)$ where $\mathbf{R} = \left(\frac{\partial N_i(x)\rho(x, t)}{\partial x_j}\right)_{i,j=1,2,3}$, $s \in (0, 1)$ and f is the function satisfying the assumptions of Proposition 2 but depending also on x . Making use of this proposition (in the two-dimensional case), we obtain

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}f(s\mathbf{R}, \cdot)\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \leq c\|\nabla \mathbf{R}\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})}\|\mathbf{R}\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})}.$$

This inequality implies

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}f(s\mathbf{R}, \cdot)\|_{W_p^{1-1/p, 0}(G_T)} \leq c\sup_{t < T}\|\rho\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})}\|\rho\|_{W_p^{3-1/p, 0}(G_T)} \leq c\delta\|\rho\|_{W_p^{3-1/p, 0}(G_T)},$$

hence

$$\|l_5\|_{W_p^{1-1/p, 0}(G_T)} \leq c\delta\|\rho\|_{W_p^{3-1/p, 0}(G_T)}. \quad (5.27)$$

The function $\frac{d^2}{ds^2}\frac{\hat{\mathcal{L}}^T(x, s\rho)N}{\Lambda(x, s\rho)}$ is a linear combination of the expressions

$$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}f_1(s\mathbf{R}, s\rho, x), \quad \rho\mathbf{R}f_2(s\mathbf{R}, s\rho, x), \quad \rho^2 f_3(s\mathbf{R}, s\rho, x)$$

with f_i as in Proposition 2, but depending also on ρ and x . We estimate $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}f_1$, since two other functions are estimated in a similar way, and ρ^* is more regular than \mathcal{R} . As above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}f_1(s\mathbf{R}, s\rho, \cdot)\|_{W_p^{2-1/p, 0}(G_T)} \leq c\delta\|\rho\|_{W_p^{3-1/p, 0}(G_T)}, \\ & \Delta_t(-h)\|\mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}f_1(s\mathbf{R}, s\rho, \cdot)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{G})} \leq c\sup_{\mathcal{G}}|\mathcal{R}|\int_0^h\|\rho_t(\cdot, t-\xi)\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})}d\xi, \\ & \left(\int_0^T\frac{dh}{h^{1/2+p}}\int_h^T\|\Delta_t(-h)\mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}f_1\|_{L_p(\mathcal{G})}^pdt\right)^{1/p} \leq cT^{1/2p}\sup_{t < T}\|\rho\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})}\|\rho_t\|_{W_p^{2-1/p, 0}(G_T)}. \end{aligned}$$

The same inequalities hold for $\frac{d^2}{ds^2} \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^T(x, s\rho) \mathbf{N}}{\Lambda(x, s\rho)}$.

It follows that

$$\mathbf{D} = \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^T \mathbf{N}}{\Lambda(y, \rho)} - \mathbf{N} + \nabla_\tau \rho = \int_0^1 (1-s) \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^T(x, s\rho) \mathbf{N}}{\Lambda(x, s\rho)} ds$$

satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{D}\|_{W_p^{2-1/p, 1-1/2p}(G_T)} &\leq c\delta(\|\rho\|_{W_p^{3-1/p, 0}(G_T)} + T^{1/2p}\|\rho_t\|_{W_p^{2-1/p, 0}(G_T)}), \\ \sup_{\mathcal{G}} |\mathbf{D}(y, t)| &\leq c\sup_{\mathcal{G}} |\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}| \leq c\delta\|\rho\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \end{aligned}$$

hence by (5.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{2-1/p, 0}(G_T)} &\leq c(\|\mathbf{D}\|_{W_p^{2-1/p, 0}(G_T)} \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{W_p^1(\mathcal{F}_1)} \\ &\quad + \|u\|_{W_p^{2-1/p, 0}(G_T)} \sup_{t < T} \delta\|\rho\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})}) \\ &\leq c\delta(\|\rho\|_{W_p^{3-1/p, 0}(G_T)} \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^1(\mathcal{F}_1)} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{2-1/p, 0}(G_T)} \sup_{t < T} \|\rho\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})}), \\ \|\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{0, 1-1/2p}(G_T)} &\leq c\delta(T^{1/2p}\|\rho_t\|_{W_p^{2-1/p, 0}(G_T)} \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^1(\mathcal{F}_1)} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{0, 1-1/2p}(G_T)} \sup_{t < T} \|\rho\|_{W_p^{2-2/p}(\mathcal{G})}). \end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

9. Estimate of $(\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{u}) \cdot \nabla_\tau \rho$.

We have, by (5.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{u}) \cdot \nabla_\tau \rho\|_{W_p^{2-1/p, 0}(G_T)} &\leq c\|\nabla_\tau \rho\|_{W_p^{2-1/p, 0}(G_T)} \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \\ &\quad + \left(\int_0^T \|\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})}^p \|\nabla_\tau \rho\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})}^p dt \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

We require that

$$\|\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{u}_0\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \leq \delta, \tag{5.30}$$

then

$$\sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \leq \delta + \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_0\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \leq (\delta + cT^\beta \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^1)}), \quad \beta = 1/2 - 1/p,$$

because $\mathbf{u} \in W_p^{1/2}(0, T; W_p^1(\mathcal{F}_1))$. Hence the first term in (5.29) does not exceed

$$c(\delta + T^\beta \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^1)}) \|\rho\|_{W_p^{3-1/p, 0}(G_T)},$$

and the second term is not greater than

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{V}\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \|\nabla_\tau \rho\|_{W_p^{1-1/p, 0}(G_T)} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{2,0}(Q_T^1)} \sup_{t < T} \|\nabla_\tau \rho\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \\ \leq c(T^{1/p} \sup_{t < T} \|\nabla_\tau \rho\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \|\mathbf{V}\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} + \delta \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{2,0}(Q_T^1)}) \\ \leq c\delta(\sup_{t < T} \|\rho\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{2,0}(Q_T^1)}), \end{aligned}$$

if

$$T^{1/p} \|\mathbf{V}\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \leq \delta. \quad (5.31)$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\Delta_t(-h)\mathbf{u}) \cdot \nabla_\tau \rho\|_{L_p(\mathcal{G})} + \|(\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{u}) \cdot \Delta_t(-h) \nabla_\tau \rho\|_{L_p(\mathcal{G})} \\ & \leq c \|\Delta_t(-h)\mathbf{u}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{G})} \|\rho\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} + c \|\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \|\Delta_t(-h) \nabla_\tau \rho\|_{L_p(\mathcal{G})}, \\ & \left(\int_0^T \frac{dh}{h^{1/2+p}} \int_h^T \|\Delta_t(-h)\mathbf{u}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{G})}^p dt \right)^{1/p} \sup_{t < T} \|\rho\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \\ & + c \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \left(\int_0^T \frac{dh}{h^{1/2+p}} \int_h^T \|\Delta_t(-h) \nabla_\tau \rho\|_{L_p(\mathcal{G})}^p dt \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq c \sup_{t < T} \|\rho\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{0,1-1/2p}(G_T)} \\ & + c T^{1/2p} (\delta + c T^\beta \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^1)}) \|\rho_t\|_{W_p^{2-1/p,0}(G_T)} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|l_6\|_{W_p^{2-1/p,1-1/2p}(G_T)} & \leq c\delta (\|\rho\|_{W_p^{3-1/p,0}(G_T)} + \sup_{t < T} \|\rho\|_{W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^1)}) \\ & + c T^{1/2p} (\delta + c T^\beta \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^1)}) \|\rho_t\|_{W_p^{2-1/p,0}(G_T)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

Now we pass to the estimate of $\mathbf{l}_8, \mathbf{l}_9, \mathbf{A}$.

10. Estimate of $(I - \mathcal{P})\mathbf{h}$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - \mathcal{P})\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^{2,0}(Q_T^i)} & \leq c(\delta \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^{2,0}(Q_T^i)} + \|\rho^*\|_{W_r^{3,0}(Q_T^i)} \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^1(\mathcal{F}_i)}), \\ \|\rho^*\|_{W_r^{3,0}(Q_T^i)} & = \left(\int_0^T \|\rho^*\|_{W_r^3(\mathcal{F}_i)}^r dt \right)^{1/r} \leq T^{1/r-1/p} \left(\int_0^T \|\rho^*\|_{W_r^3(\mathcal{F}_i)}^p dt \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq c T^{1/r-1/p} \|\rho\|_{W_p^{3-1/p,0}(Q_T^i)}; \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\|(I - \mathcal{P})\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^{2,0}(Q_T^i)} \leq c(\delta \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^{2,0}(Q_T^i)} + T^{1/r-1/p} \|\rho\|_{W_p^{3-1/p,0}(Q_T^i)} \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^1(\mathcal{F}_i)}).$$

Moreover,

$$\|((I - \mathcal{P})\mathbf{h})_t\|_{L_r(Q_T^i)} \leq c(\delta \|\mathbf{h}_t\|_{L_r(Q_T^i)} + T^{1/r} \sup_{t < T} \|\rho_t\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^1(\mathcal{F}_i)}),$$

and finally

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - \mathcal{P})\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^{2,1}(Q_T^i)} & \leq c(\delta \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^{2,1}(Q_T^i)} + T^{1/r-1/p} \|\rho\|_{W_p^{3-1/p,0}(Q_T^i)} \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^1(\mathcal{F}_i)} \\ & + T^{1/r} \sup_{t < T} \|\rho_t\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^1(\mathcal{F}_i)}), \quad i = 1, 2, \end{aligned} \quad (5.33)$$

The same inequalities hold for $\mathbf{l}_8(\mathbf{h}, \rho)$. Moreover, since the elements of the matrix

$$\frac{\widehat{\mathcal{L}}\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^T}{|\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^T \mathbf{N}^*(y)|^2} - \frac{\mathbf{I}}{|\mathbf{N}^*|^2} \equiv f(y, \mathbf{R})$$

in (3.2) satisfy the assumptions of Proposition 2 (just as the elements of $\mathbf{I} - \mathcal{P}$), (5.33) holds also for $\|\mathbf{A}^{(i)}\|_{W_r^{2,1}(Q_T^i)}$.

11. *Estimate of $\mathbf{l}_7(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{h}, \rho)$ and $\Phi(\mathbf{h}, \rho) = \frac{1}{L}\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_t \mathcal{L}\mathbf{h} + \rho^* \widehat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathbf{N} \cdot \nabla) \frac{1}{L}\mathcal{L}\mathbf{h}$.*
We estimate the expression

$$\text{rot}\mathbf{h} - \text{Prot}\mathcal{P}\mathbf{h} = \text{Prot}(\mathbf{h} - \mathcal{P}\mathbf{h}) + (1 - \mathcal{P})\text{rot}\mathbf{h}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - \mathcal{P})\text{rot}\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T^1)} &\leq c\delta\|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^{2,0}(Q_T^1)}, \\ \|\mathcal{P}\text{rot}(I - \mathcal{P})\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T^1)} &\leq c\|\text{rot}(I - \mathcal{P})\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T^1)}, \end{aligned}$$

and this norm has been estimated above in (5.32). Hence

$$\|\text{rot}\mathbf{h} - \mathcal{P}\text{rot}\mathcal{P}\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T^1)} \leq c(\delta\|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^{2,0}(Q_T^1)} + T^{1/r-1/p}\|\rho\|_{W_p^{3-1/p,0}(Q_T^1)} \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^1(\mathcal{F}_1)}). \quad (5.34)$$

Other terms in \mathbf{l}_7 are estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_t^* \widehat{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathbf{N} \cdot \nabla) \frac{1}{L} \mathcal{L}\mathbf{h}\|_{L_r(Q_T^1)} &\leq c \sup_{t < T} \|\rho_t^*\|_{W_p^1(\mathcal{F}_1)} \|\frac{\mathcal{L}}{L}\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^{1,0}(\mathcal{F}_1)} \\ &\leq cT^{1/r} \sup_{t < T} \|\rho_t\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^1(\mathcal{F}_1)}, \\ \|\frac{1}{L}\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_t \mathcal{L}\mathbf{h}\|_{L_r(Q_T^1)} &\leq c \sup_{Q_T^1} |\mathbf{h}(x, t)| \|\nabla \rho_t^*\|_{L_r(Q_T^1)} \\ &\leq cT^{1/r} \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^1(\mathcal{F}_1)} \sup_{t < T} \|\rho_t\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{L}^{-1}\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T^1)} &\leq c(\|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T^1)} \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^1(\mathcal{F}_1)} \\ &+ \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T^1)} \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_r^1(\mathcal{F}_1)}) \leq cT^{1/r} \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{W_r^1(\mathcal{F}_1)} \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^1(\mathcal{F}_1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.36)$$

hence

$$\|\Phi(\mathbf{h}, \rho)\|_{L_r(Q_T)} \leq cT^{1/r} \sum_{i=1}^2 \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^1(\mathcal{F}_i)} \sup_{t < T} \|\rho_t\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})}. \quad (5.37)$$

12. *Estimate of $\Psi(\mathbf{h}, \rho)$.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Psi\|_{W_r^{1-1/r,0}(G_T)} &= \left\| \frac{\Lambda(\rho)\rho_t}{L} [\mu \mathbf{h}] \right\|_{W_r^{1-1/r,0}(G_T)} \leq c \sum_{i=1}^2 \left\| \frac{\Lambda(\rho^*)\rho_t^*}{L(\cdot, \rho^*)} \mu \mathbf{h} \right\|_{W_r^{1,0}(Q_T^i)} \\
&\leq cT^{1/r} \|\rho_t\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \sum_{i=1}^2 \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^1(\mathcal{F}_i)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.38}$$

In conclusion, we show that Theorem 1 holds for $r = p$, i.e., that the solution of the problem under consideration possess the property $\mathbf{h} \in W_p^{2,1}(Q_T)$, if $\mathbf{h}_0 \in W_p^{2-1/p}(\mathcal{F}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. We restrict ourselves with obtaining the a-priori estimate. It suffices to estimate the norms

$$\|l_7(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{h}, \rho)\|_{L_p(Q_T^i)}, \quad \|l_8\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^i)}, \quad \|\mathbf{A}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^i)}, \quad \|\Psi\|_{W_p^{1-1/p,0}(G_T)}.$$

Instead of (5.33), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(I - \mathcal{P})\mathbf{h}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^i)} &\leq c(\delta \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^i)} \\
&+ (\|\rho\|_{W_p^{3-1/p,0}(Q_T^i)} + T^{1/p} \sup_{t < T} \|\rho_t\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})}) \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_p^1(\mathcal{F}_i)}).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.39}$$

With the help of this inequality and the estimate (5.21) we obtain

$$\|l_8\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^2)} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\mathbf{A}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^i)} \leq c \sum_{i=1}^2 (\delta \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^i)} + C_1(\rho) \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^{2-2/r}(\mathcal{F}_i)}) \tag{5.40}$$

with $C_1(\rho)$ depending on the norms of ρ estimated in (3.14).

In view of (5.35), (5.36), (5.38), $\|l_7\|_{L_p(Q_T^i)}$ also satisfies (5.40), and

$$\|\Psi\|_{W_p^{1-1/p,0}(G_T)} \leq cT^{1/p} \|\rho_t\|_{W_p^{1-1/p}(\mathcal{G})} \sum_{i=1}^2 \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^{2-2/r}(\mathcal{F}_i)}.$$

Hence by Theorem 4

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^i)} \leq c \sum_{i=1}^3 (\delta \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_p^{2,1}(Q_T^i)} + C_2(\rho, \mathbf{u}) \sup_{t < T} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{W_r^{2-2/r}(\mathcal{F}_i)} + c \|\mathbf{h}_0\|_{W_p^{2-2/p}(\mathcal{F}_i)})$$

with a certain $C_2(\rho, \mathbf{u}) < \infty$, which yields the desired estimate in the case of small δ .

Inequalities (4.18), (4.20), (4.21), (4.28) with p instead of r show that $\mathbf{e} \in W_p^{1,0}(Q_T^i)$, $i = 1, 2$.

References

1. M. Padula, V.A. Solonnikov, *On the free boundary problem of magnetohydrodynamics*, J. Math. Sci. **178** No 3 (2011), 313-344.
2. O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, V. A. Solonnikov. *Solution of some non-stationary problems of magnetohydrodynamics for a viscous incompressible fluid*, Proc. Mat. Inst. Steklov, **59** (1960), 115–173.
3. O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, V. A. Solonnikov. *The linearization principle and invariant manifolds for problems of magnetohydrodynamics*, J. of Math. Sci., **8** (1977), 384–422.
4. V.A.Solonnikov, *On the linear problem arising in the study of free boundary problem for the Navier-Stokes equations*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **22** No 6 (2011), 1023–1049.
5. V.A.Solonnikov, *L_p -estimates for the linear problem arising in the study of the motion of an isolated liquid mass*. J.Math. Sci. 189, No 4 (2013), p. 699.
6. V.A.Solonnikov, L_p -estimates for the solution of a linear problem that arises in magneto-hydrodynamics. (Russian) Algebra i Analiz 23 (2011), no. 1, 232-254.
7. M.Padula, V.A. Solonnikov, *On the local solvability of free boundary problem for the Navier-Stokes equations*, J.Math.Sci. **170** No 4 (2010), 522-553.
8. O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, V.A.Solonnikov, N.N.Ural'tseva, *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type*, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 1968.
9. K.K.Golovkin, *On the equivalent normalizations of fractional spaces*, Proc. Math. Inst. Steklov, **66** (1962), 364-383.
10. V.A.Solonnikov, E.V.Frolova, *L_p -theory for the Stefan problem*, Zapiski Nauchn. Semin. POMI **243** (1997), 299-323.